The 2nd Proceeding "Indonesia Clean of Corruption in 2020"

International Conference and Call for Paper

"Comparative Law System of Procurement of Goods and Services around Countries in Asia, Australia and Europe"

IMAM AS SYAFEI BUILDING

December

2016

UNISSULA PRESS

Faculty of Law, Sultan Agung Islamic University Jalan Raya Kaligawe, KM. 4 Semarang, Indonesia

ISBN. 978-602-1145-41-8

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Front Page Information of the International Seminar	
Committee Composition Preface	
Greeting From The Dean Faculty of Law	
INDONESIA'S KPK AND NSW'S ICAC: COMPARISONS AND CONTRASTS Prof. Simon Butt	1
CAN INDONESIA FREE ITSELF FROM CORRUPTION IN 2020? Prof. Dr. Hikmahanto.,S.H.,LLM	4
AN ACT TO ESTABLISH THE ANTI-CORRUPTION AGENCY, TO VEST POWERS ON OFFICERS OF THE AGENCY AND TO MAKE PROVISIONS CONNECTED THEREWITH. Rohimi Shapiee	7
STRATEGY TO CREATE INDONESIA FREE CORRUPTION IN 2020 Dr. Jawade Hafidz, S.H., M.H	11
THE NETHERLANDS INGLOBAL CORRUPTION Siti Malikah Marlou Feer, M.A.	28
ROBUST YET FRAGILE: EFFORTS IN COMBATING CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Laras Susanti.,S.H., LLM	33
LEGAL STATUS OF AKTOR'S FOR CORRUPTION (In the Perspective of Islamic Law) Sumarwoto Umar	37
THE ROLE OF LAW IN THE POVERTY REDUCTION STRATEGY Lantik Kusuma Aji	46
THE INDEPENDENCY OF THE INSTITUTION FOR THEPROTECTION AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OFHUMAN RIGHTS TOWARDS THE GLOBALIZATION ERA 2020	
Khalid	55
THE URGENCY OF ANTI CORRUPTION EDUCATION FOR COLLEGES IN INDONESIA Siska Diana Sari	62
THE PROBLEMS OF DIVORCE IN CUMULATION AT THE RELIGIOUS COURTS BASED ON THE PRINCIPLES OF SIMPLE, FAST AND LOW COST Elis Rahmahwati	78
DISPARITIES DECISION RELATED TO INTERPRETATION OF ARTICLE 2 AND 3 CORRUPTION ERADICATION ACT Agung Widodo	87
DIVERSITY ADULT AGE LIMITS POSITIVE LAW IN INDONESIA (Studies in Multidisciplinary Perspective) Muhammad Andri	

THE APPLICATION OF BALANCE IDEA IN SETTLEMENT	
OF DOCTOR MALPRACTICE CASE THROUGH PENAL MEDIATION Yati Nurhayati	111
MODERNIZATION LAW AS A CRIME CORRUPTION VERY EXCEPTIONAL THROUGH ENFORCEMENT OF ETHICS Dr. Sukresno, SH, M.Hum	118
CORRUPTION POTENCIES IN LAND USE POLICY (A Case Study in Kuningan Regency) Haris Budiman	126
CORRUPTION PREVENTION AND CONTROLS INP Budiartha	133
ISLAMIC LAW VALUES TRANSFORMATION IN THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE LEGALITY PRINCIPLE OF INDONESIAN CRIMINAL CODE Sri EndahWahyuningsih	145
JUSTICE AND CHARITY IN JAKARTA'S NORTH COAST RECLAMATION PROCESS THAT WILL LEAD TO INDONESIA CLEAN OF CORRUPTION Untoro	155
CORRUPTION CRIMINAL SANCTIONS WITH VALUES OF JUSTICE-BASED Zulfiani	162
THE REFLECTION OF ISLAMIC BANKING IN THEORY AND PRACTICE Anis Mashdurohatun	171
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF LOCAL WISDOM SIRI'NA PACCE AS AN EFFORT OF CORRUPTION ERADICATION IN INDONESIA Muh. Afif Mahfud	181
DISCOURSE POLITICAL LAW IN INDONESIA ON A COMPLETATION OF PLATO PHILOSOPHY Adrianus M. Nggoro,SH.,M.Pd	189
STUDY OF INDONESIA'S PARTICIPATION IN ICSID Agus Saiful Abib	202
NOTARY ROLE IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF EXECUTION PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES ARE FREE OF CORRUPTION BASED ON THE PRINCIPLE OF GOOD GOVERNANCE Aris Yulia	211
ANALYSIS WIRETAPPING AUTHORITY UPPER KPK LAW ENFORCEMENT IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF HUMAN RIGHTS Ariyanto,.SH,.MH	221
SOCIAL WORKING PENALTY AS SOLUTION IN ERADICATING CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Desy Maryani	232
LEGAL POLITICSOF EMPLOYMENT IN TERM OF PART OF TASK HANDOVER TO OTHER COMPANIES IN INDONESIA Endah Pujiastuti	244

RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES OF OUTSOURCING WORK FORCE IN THE COMPANY EMPLOYING OUTSOURCING SERVICE Pupu Sriwulan Sumaya	256
THE APPLICAT ION OF CORRUPTION LAW TO WARD CRIMINAL ACT IN THE FIELD OF FORESTRY Ifrani	267
THE EFFORTS OF ERADICAT ION OF CORRUPTION THROUGH INSTRUMENTS OF MONEY LAUDER ING LAW AND RETURN ACTORS 'ASSETS Yasmirah Mandasari Saragih	276
AFFIRM ROLE OF EXISTEN CE RECHTSVERWERKING TO ACHIEVING LEGAL CERTA INTY IN LAND REG ISTRAT ION Rofiq laksamana, Setiono, I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rachmi Handayani, Oloan Sitorus	287
ANTI-CORRUPTION EDUCAT ION AT AN EARLY AGE AS A STRATEG IC MOVE TO PREVENT CORRUPTION IN INDONES IA Ida Musofiana	304
FREED INDONES IA'S CORRUPTION BETWEEN HOPE AND REAL ITY Dr. Tongat, SH., MHum., Said Noor Prasetyo, SH., MH	313
UTILIZAT ION OF INDONES IA MARINE RESOUR CES IN AN EFFORT TO REAL IZE INDONES IA TO WARDS THE SHAFT OF THE MARITIME WORLD Dr.Lathifah Hanim, SH.M.Hum., M.Kn. and Letkol (mar) MS.Noorman, S. Sos., M.Opsla	319
POTENT IAL CORRUPTION IN THE VALIDAT ION POLICIES ON ACQUISITION TA X OF LAND AND OR BUILDING Lilik Warsito	325
THE EFFORT OF LAW ENFORCEMENT IN COMBAT ING CORRUPTION IN SOUTH SUMATERA Sri Suatmiati	334
ETHICAL PERS PECTIVE AND THE MAPPING OF NORM IN CORRUPTION ACT Siti Zulaekhah AN EXPANSION OF CONCEPT THE STATE ECONOMIC LOSS	344
IN CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Supriyanto, Hartiwiningsih, Supanto	354
JURIDICAL STUD IES ON SUBSTAN CE AND PRO CEDURE OF THE DISMISSAL OF THE PRES IDENT AND/OR VICE-PRES IDENT AFTER THE REFORMAT ION Siti Rodhiyah Dwi Istinah	364
THE ROLE OF THE SHARIA SUPERVISORY BOARD IN THE FRAMEWORK ENFORCIN SHARIA PRINCIPLES AT THE INST ITUTE OF ISLAMIC BAN KING IN SEMARANG Aryani Witasari	
SEMARANG CITY GOVERNMENT ROLE IN CONSERVATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION TO THE CAPITAL OF THE NATIONAL HERITAGE IN INDONESIA Achmad J Pamungkas (Indonesia), Carlito Da Costa (<i>Timor Leste</i>)	390

STUDYING THE WISDOM OF ZAKAT Moch. Gatot Koco (Indonesia), Basuki R Suratno (Australia)	398
HOMOLOGATION RECONSTRUCTION IN BANKRUPTCY THAT IS BASED ON DIGNIFIED JUSTICE Agus Winoto	410
RECONSTRUCTION OF EXECUTIVE AND LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY IN MAKING GOOD GOVERNANCE (GOOD GOVERNANCE) VALUES BASED ON WELFARE Mohamad Khamim	420
THE TASK RECONSTRUCTION AND BPKP'S AUTHORITY IN THE CASE OF JUSTICE VAUE BASED CORRUPTION Sarbudin Panjaitan	429
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF MADLIYAH AND IDDAH MAINTENANCE AND MUT'AH IN DIVORCE CASE FOR JUSTICE AND WELFARE Mustar	. 438
JURIDICAL ANALYSIS OF THE ALLEGED CRIMINAL OFFENSE TO MANUFACTURE A NOTARY DEED Subiyanto	446
REVITALIZATION DEAL IN AKAD HYBRIDS IN SHARIA BANKING VALUE BASED ISLAMIC JUSTICE Masduqi	. 452
RECONSTRUCTION OF LEGAL PROTECTION DISTRICT HEAD IN THE ELECTION IMPLEMENTATION OF VALUE-BASED JUSTICE Kukuh Sudarmanto Alugoro	462
ABUSE OF AUTHORITY OFFENSE THEOLOGICAL RECONSTRUCTION LAW ERADICATION OF CORRUPTION (LAW NUMBER 31 OF 1999 JO. LAW NUMBER 20 OF 2001) BASED ON VALUE OF JUSTICE As'adi M. Al-ma'ruf	472
RECONSTRUCTION OF THE DAILY PAID WORK AGREEMENT IN THE EMPLOYMENT LAW BASED ON JUSTICE Christina N M Tobing	
THE LAW AND THE IMPACT OF MARRIAGE SIRRI Sahal Afhami	489
CRIMES AGAINST CHILDREN AS ACTORS Muhammad Cholil	. 503
RECONSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL PROCEDURAL LAW (KUHAP) ABOUT THE DETENTION Muhammad Khambali	. 512

BASED ON JUSTICE PROBLEMS OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION REGIONAL CHIEF ELECTION (GOVERNOR REGENTS AND MAYOR) Esti Ningrum	
RECONSTRUCTION REGIONAL MINIMUM WAGE (UMR) IN RENEWAL OF EMPLOYMENT LEGAL REMEDIES BASED INDONESIA THE VALUE JUSTICE PANCASILA Urip Giyono	531
IMPLEMENTATION OF LAW AS TO MAINTAIN SECURITY IN THE CONTEXT OF PROFESSIONAL POLICE POLMAS (CASE STUDY IN LAMPUNG POLICE) Muhammad Yaman	539
RECONSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL SANCTIONS PENAL CODE ACTORS ON ABORTION CRIME BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Hanuring Ayu Ardhani Putri	549
REGISTRATION FIDUCIARY GUARANTEE REALIZE LEGAL PROTECTION OF CREDITORS AND DEBTOR Ansharullah Ida	556
RECONSTRUCTION OF LEGAL DISPUTES MEDIATION IN HEALTH CARE FOR PATIENTS HOSPITAL BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Teguh Anindito	569
RECONSTRUCTION OF CRIMINAL SANCTIONS AGAINST CRIME OF ACTO AND MURDER MURDER IN PLAN BASED ON VALUE OF JUSTICE CRIMINA CODE	Ĺ
Maria Marghareta Titiek Pudji Angesti Rahayu Teguh Anindito	579
IMPLEMENTATION OF PENAL MEDIATION IN CRIMINAL LAW Aji Sudarmaji	587
FAIR SETTLEMENT RECONSTRUCTION OF PROBLEMATIC CREDIT DISPUTE AT E RAKYAT INDONESIA (STUDY CASE AT MEDAN-SINGAMANGARAJA BRI BRANCH OFFICE)	
Bachtiar Simatupang	594
RECONSTRUCTION OF THE WASTE MANAGEMENT LAW BASED ON WELFARE VALUE M. Hasyim Muallim	616
RECONSTRUCTION LAW OF PUNISHMENT AGAINST CHILDREN NARCOTICS ABUSE-BASED PROGRESSIVE LAW	605
Salomo Ginting	625
LEGAL PROTECTION PROBLEM OF WIFE AND CHILDREN OF POLYGAMY SIRRI IN INDONESIA Mubles	630
Muhlas	039

IDEAL RECONSTRUCTION OF REHABILITATION PUNISHMENT FOR NARCOTICS ADDICTS AND ABUSER'S VICTIMS JUSTIFIED BASED ON THE LAW OF THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA NO. 35 YEAR 2009 (CASE STUDY IN SUMATERA UTARA PROVINCE)	
Àhmad Zaini	. 648
IMPLEMENTATION OF ACCELERATION SYSTEMATIC LAND REGISTRATION FULL IN HUMBANG HASUNDUTAN DISTRICT Ruslan	. 658
RECONSTRUCTION OF STATUS AND AUTHORITY OF THE SHARIA COURT IN THE NATIONAL JUDICIAL SYSTEM BASED ON JUSTICE Jufri Ghalib	. 667
RECONSTRUCTION OF LIABILITY NOTARY PUBLIC OFFICERS TO ACT AS A VALUE-BASED JUSTICE Elpina	679
RECONSTRUCTION OF CONSUMER PROTECTION LAW IN MAKING THE BALANCE BUSINESS BASED BUSINESS AND CONSUMER VALUE OF JUSTICE Ramon Nofrial	693
RECONSTRUCTION OF LAND USED RIGHT EIGENDOM VALUES BASED ON JUSTICE AND LEGAL CERTAINTY Hakim Tua Harahap	. 706
RECONSTRUCTION OF DIVERSION CONCEPT IN CHILD PROTECTION OF CONFLIC WITH THE LAWS BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Ulina Marbun	
RECONSTRUCTION OF PARATE EXECUTION MORTGAGE RIGHTS TO LAND BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE Zaenal Arifin	. 740
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF DIVORCE DUE TO MARITAL STATUS UNDER THE UNAUTHORIZED GUARDIAN AS VALUE OF JUSTICE Abdul Kholiq	. 751
THE RECONSTRUCTION OF LEGAL AID LAW FOR CHILDREN WHO GET CONFLICT WITH LAW IN PROCESS OF JUSTIFICATION FOR CHILDREN BASED ON THE VALUE OF PANCASILA Adi Mansar	. 767
MEDIATION RECONSTRUCTION AS ONE OF THE ALTERNATIVE SETTLEMENT OF DECLINE IN THE COURTS BASED ON THE VALUE OF JUSTICE (S at the Simalungun District Court) Mariah S.M. Purba	tudy
POLYGAMIC POLICY IN INDONESIA (Analysis of Polygamic Arrangements and Practices 1959-2015) Warman	790

LAW ENFORCEMENT AGAINST CORRUPTION IN PERSPECTIVE OF HUMAN RIGHTS IN INDONESIA Sekhroni	798
THE PRINCIPLE OF NATURAL JUSTICE AND HUMAN'S RIGHT PROTECTION FOR CITIZENS IN ERADICATION OF CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Indriyana Dwi Mustikarini	. 809
PREVENTING LAND MAFIA USING POSITIVE LAND REGISTRY SYSTEM Bambang Sulistyo Widjanarko	. 816
UNRULY PASSENGER IN AVIATION: THE REGULATIONS AND CASES IN INDONESIA Adya Paramita Prabandari	826
EDUCATION ANTI-CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA: PROBLEMS, CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS Alwan Hadiyanto	. 839
SPIRITUAL URGENCY OF RELIGIOUS AND EXPENSES OF EVIDENCE IN COMBATING CORRUPTION IN INDONESIA Sulistyowati	
SUE FOR THE STATE ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE IN INDONESIA Sarjiyati	863
CONSISTENCY MODEL OF COURT DESIGNATION TO FOSTER PARENT RIGHTS AUTHORITY DUE TO DIVORCE ON CHILDREN Erna Trimartini	873
AN INVESTIGATION AUTHORITY OF CRIMINAL ACT ON CORRUPTION IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM IN INDONESIA Sukmareni	885
PRO CONS THE EXISTENCE OF DEATH PENALTY IN CORRUPTION ACT OF 1999 IN INDONESIA Anis Rifai	903
PENAL MEDIATION IN SOLVING MEDICAL MALPRACTICE CASES AS AN ALTERNAT OF PENAL SANCTIONS BASED ON LOCAL WISDOM Sri Setiawati	
SPECIAL PROTECTION OF CHILDREN IN CRIMINAL JUSTICE SYSTEM Achmad Sulchan	922
MORAL REFORM BUREAUCRACY AS PREVENTION OF ILLEGAL PAYMENTS TO INDONESIA CLEAN OF CORRUPTION Herwin Sulistyowati	932
STANCE AND AUTHORITY OF PEOPLE'S CONSULTATIVE ASSEMBLY DURING REFORMATION ERA 1945 Ahmad Mujib Rohmat	944

TAXES AND ALMS SEEN FROM ISLAMIC LAW Mohammad Solekhan	. 954
DIVERSION IN COURT (Case Studies in Karanganyar District Court) Anita Zulfiani	. 964
International Seminar Photos	. 971

DIVERSION IN COURT (Case Studies in Karanganyar District Court)

Anita Zulfiani

Student of the Doctoral Law Program, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta Email : <u>zulfianianita@gmail.com</u>

A. Introduction

Children are the future generation. But in society, children can make mistakes and errors, and sometimes those errors can be categorized as a criminal offense, thus making a Children in conflict with the law.

Child in conflict with the law should undergo the legal process. But children have different psychological maturity from adults, so Children should not be treated the same as adults when they in conflict with the law.

Concern for children as one of human resources, which is a potential successor to the nation's future, bringing awareness that children need special attention when dealing with the law. It encourages the establishment of rules on the treatment of children who commit criminal acts and must deal with the law.

Regulations on handling children who commit criminal acts is Act No. 3 of 1997 on Juvenile Court. This rule is considered not giving enough protection to the child in conflict with the law, then Act Number 11 of 2012 on Juvenile Justice System enforced. In these rules there is a relatively new thing, the rules regarding Diversion. Diversion procedure resembles mediation, conducted before the trial phase in the Court. If the diversion succeed, the case will be terminated and did not proceed to trial.

Diversion process aimed at realizing the restorative justice, where all the parties involved (victims, children, and the community) and jointly to solve the problems and create an obligation to make things better in seeking solutions to repair, reconciliation and reassurance, which not by retaliation¹.

To achieve the restorative justice on the Juvenile Justice System, it is necessary to succeed the diversion process, at the level of investigation, prosecution, and examination in the courts, for the child in conflict with the law. Therefore, the author will attempt to find the answer of the questions: What is diversion? Why diversion should be pursued? How to succeed the diversion process? So that at the end of this writing, we can find conclusion about the things that can support the success of the diversion process.

¹ Explanation for Act Number. 11 of 2012 on The Juvenile Justice System

B. What Is Diversion? Why should Diversion be pursued?

According to the historical development of criminal law, the word "diversion" was first mentioned on the juvenile justice implementation report, delivered by Australian Crime Commission President in the United States in 1960 (Cunnen and White, 1995; 1).

Before the term diversion proposed, similar practices as diversion had existed before 1960, marked by the establishment of children's courts before the 19th century, it is diversion from the formal system of criminal justice, and the formalization of police cautioning².

Article 1 point 7 Act Number 11 of 2012 on Juvenile Justice System mentioned Diversion is the transfer of child case settlement from the criminal justice process to outside the criminal justice process.

In Blacks Law Dictionary³ diversion means is a deviation or alteration from the natural course of things. An act in violation of regulations or a criminal act, is processed by the criminal procedural law, which requires that the perpetrator be examined in court, diversion is a deviation or alternative, where the perpetrators doesn't undergoing examination at the trial which is a natural process of handling a criminal case.

In the criminal law, the sentencing theory are divided into three:

- Absolute Theory (vergeldingstheorien) by Immanuel Kant which argues that the purpose of sentencing as a retaliation against the perpetrators for committing a crime that resulted in misery against another person or members of the public⁴.
- 2. Relative Theory (doeltheorien) based on the purpose (doel) as follows⁵:
 - a. Sentencing to make deterrent, the offender or convicted person is expected to be deterrent and doesn't repeat his actions, and the general public can understand that if done the deed will receive similar punishment.
 - b. Fixing the convict, with the treatment and education in the sentence, so that the convict felt sorry and will not repeat the act and return to the community as a good and useful person.

² Marlina, 2008, at Hossiana M. Sidabalok, *Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Sebagai Korban Tindak Pidana Pemerkosaan Yang Dilakukan Oleh Anak* (Legal Protection for Children As Rape Victims by Children), (Varia Peradilan Year XXVII Number. 325, Desember 2012).

 ³ Bryan A. Garner. 2002. *Black's Law Dictionary*. (United States Of America: West Publishing co. Page 491)
 ⁴Juhaja S. Praja, *Teori Hukum dan Aplikasinya* (Legal Theory and The Application). (Bandung: Pustaka Setia, 2011, Page 89).
 ⁵ *Ibid*

3. Combined Theory / Modern Theory (vereningingstheorien) that one of its adherents is Van Bemmelen and Grotius which emphasizes the absolute justice embodied in retaliation, but useful for society. The basic of every sentencing is severe suffering according to the severity of acts committed by the convict. But the sentencing limit and severity of acts committed by the convict, can be measured and determined by what is good for society⁶.

Another theory associated with criminal sanctions is the Utilitarianism theory proposed by Jeremy Bentham, that the punishment must be specific for each crime and the severity of punishment should not exceed the amount necessary to avoid the establishment of a specific attack. Punishment is only justified if it gives hope to avoid a greater evil⁷.

Based on some sentencing theories above, diversions have relevance to the child objective sentencing:

- Diversion as the deviation of judicial process to non-judicial process, intended to avoid Children from the pricess of criminal law which often lead to a bitter experience in the form of prolonged negative stigmatization, dehumanization (alienation from society), and prevent children from the possibility of child gets transfer of crimes in the prison.
- 2. Deprivation of children liberty in the form of imprisonment or in the other form of deprivation through the mechanism of criminal justice gave a traumatic experience for children, so the child development and the growth of children's soul can be disturbed. The bitter experience of contact with the justice world will be the dark shadow in the children's life which is not easily forgotten;
- Diversion prevent children from criminal law enforcement, which in many theories have been postulated as one of the kriminogen factors, also prevent children from the possibility of being recidive, and prevent people from possibility of becoming a crime victim.
- 4. Diversion will provide two (2) benefits to the child, first: the child can stay in touch with their environment, so they don't need to make social adaptation after the crime occurrence. Second: children are protected from the negative impacts of prissionisation which can be a transfer of crime.

⁶ Amir Ilyas, 2012, at Sofian Parerungan, *Penerapan Diversi Dalam Persidangan Anak* (Diversion Implementation In Child Trial), (Varia Peradilan Year XXX Number. 347 Oktober 2014).

⁷ Darji Darmodoharjo & Sidharta, 1999, at Sofian Parerungan, *Penerapan Diversi Dalam Persidangan Anak* (Diversion Implementation In Child Trial), (Varia Peradilan Year XXX Number. 347 Oktober 2014).

In line with the objective of child sentencing, the purpose of Diversi mentioned in Article 6 of the Juvenile Justice System Act, are:

- 1. Achieving peace between victims and children;
- 2. Resolving cases of children outside the judicial process.
- 3. Prevent children from deprivation of liberty.
- 4. Encouraging people to participate; and
- 5. Instill sense of responsibility to the child;

The success of the diversion process of is very important, because the success of the diversion would reduce the potency of Children In Conflict With The Law become worse off, after undergoing criminal proceedings and sentencing in jail.

C. Implementation of Diversion in Karanganyar District Court

Based on Act Number. 11 of 2012 on the Juvenile Justice System, the diversion process began to be applied to the case being examined by investigators from the date of July 30, 2014. thus, the case examined before the date of July 30, 2014 do not apply the process of diversion.

During the period of July 2014 to November 2016, in Karanganyar District Court there are two (2) Children's case through the diversion process in court. In 1 (one) case the diversion process did not succeed so that the case investigation continued into the proceedings, and 1 (one) case the diversion process has been successfully so that the case investigation was not continued the proceedings.

Below is the children case, which go through the diversion procedure, in Karanganyar District Court during the period July 2014 to November 2016:

 Case Number: 01/Pid.Sus.Anak/2014/PN.Krg, the diversion process did not success, the case proceeded to trial proceedings.

A 15-year-old child charged with the persecution of victims who are still children (called the Child Victim). The diversion process is run in the presence of Diversion facilitators (Judge), Registrar, General Prosecutor, Supervisor Community from BAPAS Surakarta, The defendant (called Child), Child surrogate, Child Victim, Parent of the Victim, and the head village.

The process of diversion are as follows:

- Children are willing to apologize and promised not to repeat his actions again, child surrogate promised to nurture and educate Child with surveillance assistance from Local Village Government, and willing to pay compensation to the Child

Victim, and promised if in future the Child doing persecution again, or do other things that harm Child Victims, Child disposed to prosecuted and account for his actions before the law.

- The head village expressed that basicly wants Child to take responsible for his actions against Child Victims, because the villagers have urged the Government to solved this problem legally.
- The Child Victims Parents feel unfair if the problem resolved peacefully because he was worried the same thing would happen to other children, therefore, he requested the case be resolved legally.
- The diversion process failed to reach an agreement because the head village and Child Victim Parents do not want the case solved in diversion process, and wants the case resolved legally, which means that the case proceed to trial.

After the trial conducted, the judge decides that: Child proven legally and convincingly guilty of committing a criminal offense: "together commit violence against Child Victims" then dropping imprisonment for 1 (one) year with probation for 1 (one) year and 6 (six) months, and convict to the Child to undergo job training for 3 (three) months

 Case Number. 03/Pid.Sus.Anak/2014/PN.Krg, diversion process succeed in the court A 15-year-old boy were charged with theft. Diversion process carried out in the presence of Diversion Facilitator (Judge), Registrar, General Prosecutor, Supervisor Community BAPAS Surakarta, Child, Child Parents, Child Legal Counsel, Victims, and Chairman of environment.

The results of the diversion process:

- Child admitted stealing, feel sorry, then apologize to the victim, and promised not to repeat his actions again.
- The victim stated forgive, and approve the process of the case was suspended on condition that the victim's belongings be returned in good condition as before.
- Child promise to meet the requirements of the victims.
- If the agreement is not implemented within a period of 1 (one) month from the signing of this agreement, the inspection process is continued in the trial process.

The diversion process has reached an agreement because both Child and the victim, as well as all parties involved in this process, wants the case did not proceed to court proceedings, and after the agreement executed, the judge issued a Determination of Termination examination of the case, so that this case did not proceed to trial.

From the description above, it can be concluded for the successful of diversion process required the following circumstances:

- 1. The good faith of the Child and the parent / guardian to apologize to the victim.
- 2. The willingness of victims to forgive and make requirements.
- 3. The positive support of the parties involved in the diversion process.
- 4. The Requirements Fulfillment by Child and / or parent / guardian.
- 5. Judges, investigators, and prosecutors who ensoul the diversion process.

From the elements above, it can be concluded that the success of diversion determined by the positive subjective will of the parties involved in the diversion, to resolve cases outside the criminal proceedings, and requirements compliance of the agreement.

D. Conclusion

Diversion is the transfer of child case settlement from the criminal justice process to outside the criminal justice process.

The success of the diversion process of is very important, because the success of diversion would reduce the potency of Children In Conflict With The Law become worse off, after undergoing criminal proceedings and sentencing in jail.

For the successful of diversion process required the following circumstances:

- 1. The good faith of the Child and the parent / guardian to apologize to the victim.
- 2. The willingness of victims to forgive and make requirements.
- 3. The positive support of the parties involved in the diversion process.
- 4. The Requirements Fulfillment by Child and / or parent / guardian.
- 5. Judges, investigators, and prosecutors who ensoul the diversion process.

After understanding the things that can affect the success of the diversion process, hopefully more and more Child cases can be resolved in diversion psocess, so it will reduce the number of child which should undergo a trial process in court, and / or undergoing punishment in prison, which can adversely affect the mental development of children.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Amir Ilyas, 2012, at Sofian Parerungan, Penerapan Diversi Dalam Persidangan Anak (Diversion Implementation In Child Trial), (Varia Peradilan Year XXX Number. 347 Oktober 2014).
- Bryan A. Garner. 2002. Black's Law Dictionary. (United States Of America: West Publishing co. Page 491).
- Darji Darmodoharjo & Sidharta, 1999, at Sofian Parerungan, *Penerapan Diversi Dalam Persidangan Anak* (Diversion Implementation In Child Trial), (Varia Peradilan Year XXX Number. 347 Oktober 2014).
- Juhaja S. Praja, *Teori Hukum dan Aplikasinya* (Legal Theory and The Application) . (Bandung: Pustaka Setia, 2011, Page 89).
- Kusno Adi, 2009, at Abintoro Prakoso, 2013, dalam Sofian Parerungan, *Penerapan Diversi Dalam Persidangan Anak* (Diversion Implementation In Child Trial), (Varia Peradilan Year XXX Number. 347 Oktober 2014).
- Marlina, 2008, at Hossiana M. Sidabalok, Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Sebagai Korban Tindak Pidana Pemerkosaan Yang Dilakukan Oleh Anak (Legal Protection for Children As Rape Victims by Children), (Varia Peradilan Year XXVII Number. 325, Desember 2012).
- Setya Wahyudi, 2011, at Sofian Parerungan, Penerapan Diversi Dalam Persidangan Anak (Diversion Implementation In Child Trial), (Varia Peradilan Year XXX Number. 347 Oktober 2014).

Indonesia Act Number 3 of 1997 on Juvenile Court.

Indonesia Act Number 11 of 2012 on Juvenile Justice System.