Ratio Legis Journal
ISSN 2830-4624
published by
Master of Law, Faculty of Law
Universitas Islam Sultan Agung

The Role of Investigators from the Special Criminal Investigation Directorate of the Riau Islands Regional Police in Handling Criminal Acts of Corruption of Grant Funds

Eris Parianto
Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, Indonesia, E-mail: erisparianto.std@unissula.ac.id

Abstract. *Indonesia as a constitutional state mandates law enforcement for justice and order. Within the criminal justice system, police investigators hold a strategic role in uncovering crimes, including corruption categorized US an extraordinary crime. This study focuses on the role of investigators at the Riau Islands Regional Police Special Crimes Directorate in handling grant fund corruption, ensuring legal certainty and state financial recovery through professional investigation. The research objective is to identify and analyze the role of investigators at the Riau Islands Regional Police Special Crimes Directorate in handling grant fund corruption and to identify and analyze the obstacles in these investigations along with their solutions. This empirical legal research examines legal practices in society through statutory, historical, and case approaches. Primary and secondary data were collected via literature studies, observations, and interviews. Analysis was conducted descriptively-qualitatively through stages of data reduction, data presentation, and conclusion drawing to produce a comprehensive legal overview. The role of investigators at the Riau Islands Regional Police Special Crimes Directorate in handling grant fund corruption is crucial, involving three main functions. First, conducting investigations and early detection to filter References of deviation and distinguish malicious intent (menstruation) rea) from administrative errors. Second, performing formal and material verification to ensure consistency of grant documents with physical facts. Third, acting US a coordinator for calculating state financial losses through synergy with BPK/BPKP to determine actual loss. This effectiveness was proven in the case of Defendant D, sentenced to 4 years in prison and a fine of IDR 200,000,000 based on a final court decision. Law enforcement is guided by Law 31/1999 Jo Law 20/2001, Police Regulation 6/2019, and the transition toward Law 1/2023 and Law 20/2025. Investigation obstacles include complex evidence manipulation, witness intimidation, and geographical challenges of an archipelagic region. The primary solution is Scientific Crime Investigation, using digital forensics and investigative audits to ensure accurate evidence in court.*

Keywords: *Corruption; Investigators; Islands; Regional.*

1. Introduction

Indonesia is a unitary state in the form of a Republic designed by the nation's founders as a state of law. This formulation is emphasized in the 1945 Constitution of the Republic of Indonesia, the fourth amendment, Article 1 paragraph (3) which states "The State of Indonesia is a State of Law". According to Logemann, the state is a social organization that aims to regulate and organize the life of society through the use of power . Achmad Ali defines law as a set of rules arranged in a system, regulating human actions in society. This meaning emphasizes the position of law as an instrument for controlling the behavior of citizens within the framework of an orderly and regular social life .

Indonesia, as a nation governed by law, requires that every aspect of society comply with applicable legal norms. The state has the authority to ensure the enforcement of regulations to ensure order and justice. The nation's founders formulated the principle of the supremacy of law as the foundation of the state, ensuring that all citizens have an obligation to obey the rules. Law enforcement is the state's responsibility. through apparatus Which authorized. Objective main from principle This is about building a social order based on justice, order, and prosperity. This concept connects the role of law with the function of monitoring societal behavior to ensure it aligns with the shared goals of national life .

Law nature abstract Because no own form physique, However, its primary purpose is to regulate human interactions. In everyday life, humans constantly interact with others who have diverse goals and interests. These differences have the potential to cause conflict, so legal norms are needed as a means of control. The function of law is to maintain balance and regulate behavior to achieve order. Through binding rules, law can prevent conflict and maintain peace. The presence of law allows every individual to live side by side harmoniously while respecting the rights and interests of others in society .

The law provides certainty and protection for every individual with set limitation right and obligation. Clarity rules allow public understand actions Which allowed and prohibited. The existence of these guidelines not only maintains public order but also fosters a sense of security and justice. In dispute resolution, law serves as a reference for determining the rights of the parties. Which at odds as well as set sanctions to violation.

This mechanism binds all citizens, creating social order. Consistent enforcement of the rules is a crucial element in ensuring the effectiveness of law as a regulator of relationships between individuals and entities within society.

Law play a role build structure social and political Which stable through a clear regulatory framework. The government can carry out its functions in a way effective if there is norm Which arrange mechanisms for the use of power and interaction with citizens. Legal order support realization system government

Which accountable and fair. This stability creates space for economic development, social, and political Which balanced. Certainty law ensuring that every action of state administrators and citizens is in line with agreed-upon principles. The sustainability of this order is a prerequisite for creating an orderly, just, and prosperous society .

Mustawa argues that laws are created to benefit individuals and society. Law is viewed as a set of principles and norms that regulate relationships between members of society. The objective measure of legal benefit is the creation of security, order, and peace. Law enforcement is understood as the process of concretely realizing these goals. In the context of This, existence law No only nature repressive, but it is also preventive, namely preventing violations from occurring. The law's orientation toward the public interest is a pillar in building an orderly and harmonious society based on the values of justice .

Criminal law in Indonesia is regulated comprehensively in the Criminal Code. Constitution Law Criminal (Criminal Code) as part from Positive law. Like other branches of law, criminal law has general and specific functions. [7] Its general function is to regulate social life and maintain public order. 8 Its specific function is to protect legal interests from acts that threaten them (*Rechtsguterschutz)* through the application of stricter criminal sanctions than other branches of law. Criminal law is closely related to views on law, the state, society, and the concept of crime, making its role very strategic in upholding justice. [8]

The police, as state officials, play a strategic role in law enforcement, particularly in maintaining security and preventing and addressing violations and crimes. Police authority is divided into two main areas: legal authority and government authority. These two areas give rise to three primary functions: law enforcement, public service, and public service. Which covers maintenance order general, and protectors of citizens. This role places the police not only as implementer regulation, but also as connector between state and society in creating a safe, orderly and conducive atmosphere [9] .

Corruption is categorized as an extraordinary crime *and* has long been a classic problem. In Indonesia, corruption has had a serious impact on the decline of the economic system, giving rise to... loss country, as well as violate right the social and economic impacts of society. The modus operandi often begins within government and state-owned enterprises, where officials exploit their power for personal gain. This practice is detrimental to state finances. while simultaneously damaging the integrity of public institutions. Corruption is not only material in nature, but also undermines public trust. to government, which should act for the sake of interests of the people and the state [10] .

Investigators play a central role in the criminal justice system as parties authorized by law to conduct investigations. The position of investigators is strictly regulated, whether as police officers or certain civil servants who meet legal requirements. This authority includes searching for and gathering evidence to uncover crimes. These duties include: demand integrity, professionalism, And compliance to applicable legal procedures. The investigative process focuses not only on identifying the perpetrator, but also on ensuring that every legal action taken is legally accountable and meets the principles of justice .

The implementation of investigations is based on statutory provisions governing the procedures, requirements, and limits of investigators' authority. The primary goal of an investigation is to uncover material truth through the collection of valid evidence. In practice, investigators can take various steps, such as examining witnesses and confiscating items. proof, until arrest to party Which allegedly committing a crime. Every action must be carried out legally and in accordance with procedures so that the results of the investigation can be used in the judicial process. Violating procedures can result in the invalidation of evidence or the dismissal of the case.

Arrest is a legal action inherent in the investigator's authority to support the investigation process. This action is taken if there is sufficient preliminary evidence regarding the crime. involvement somebody in something action criminal. The purpose of arrest is to restrict the perpetrator's movement, prevent the loss of evidence, and facilitate further investigation. The relationship between investigation and arrest is interconnected, as the successful resolution of a case often depends on the outcome. times determined by the accuracy and legality of the arrest. implementation arrest must notice right human rights, especially right suspect for get protection law during the process.

The existence of the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) does not remove the authority of police investigators in corruption cases. The police retain their mandate to investigate and prosecute corruption crimes as stipulated in the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP). This duty includes maintaining security and order and ensuring effective law enforcement. This authority includes arresting, detaining, and questioning suspects based on sufficient evidence. This regulation is affirmed in Article 14 paragraph (1) of the KPK Law. (1) letter g Constitution Number 2 Year 2002 about The Republic of Indonesia National Police, which provides the legal basis for the Police in carrying out its role.

Internal factors such as greed, excessive ambition, and a consumerist lifestyle are the dominant triggers for corrupt behavior. [12] Economic incentives often lead individuals to excessively fulfill their needs, even through unlawful means. Political factors encourage power struggles without regard for ethics, while poor organizational cultures foster personal interests above the public interest. Weak law enforcement exacerbates the situation by failing to provide an adequate deterrent. All of these factors are interconnected in creating an environment Which vulnerable corruption, so that prevention need improvement mental, legal, and culture integrity in all levels.

Corruption eradication faces serious obstacles due to weak political commitment from the government and the ruling elite. Public statements condemning corrupt practices are often at odds with the reality. action real in field. Contradiction This reflect there is a lack of synchronization between rhetoric And reality political Which filled conflict of interest. Practice corruption, collusion, And nepotism (KKN) Still rampant among bureaucrats, former officials, and the general public. Corruption prevention requires a combination of consistent law enforcement, transparent public policies, and a commitment from all parties to make integrity a key principle in national development.

Collective awareness is key to breaking the chain of corruption in Indonesia. Prevention efforts cannot rely solely on enforcer law, but need participation active society. Education anti-corruption since early important For form generation that upholds integrity. Public oversight mechanisms must be strengthened to effectively control government policies and the use of the state budget. Bureaucratic reform is needed to close the gaps for abuse of authority. Improving the political system, strengthening oversight institutions, and implementing strict sanctions will narrow the gap. room movement perpetrator corruption as well as build public trust in the government.

2. Research Methods

The type of research used in this study is empirical legal research. Empirical legal research is research Which study law as behavior real Which happen in society. Approach This No only examine norm law in legislation, but also to examine how the law is applied in practice. Through this research, the author seeks to obtain an overview of the effectiveness of legal implementation and the problems that arise in the field.

3. Results And Discussion

3.1. The Role of Investigators from the Special Criminal Investigation Directorate of the Riau Islands Regional Police in Handling Criminal Acts of Corruption of Grant Funds

The Republic of Indonesia places eradication of criminal acts of corruption as a top priority because the practice systematically harms state finances and the national economy. The negative impacts from crime outside normal This No only hamper economic growth national, but also damage joints life socialize and a state based on Pancasila [47]. National development to create a just and prosperous society is often hampered by budget misuse by certain individuals. High efficiency in state management is a strict requirement so that every rupiah of the state budget is used wisely. truly give benefit real for welfare all Indonesian people equally and sustainably in the future.

The main legal basis in efforts to combat budget crimes is Law Number 31 of 1999 concerning the Eradication of Criminal Acts of Corruption as amended by Law Number 20 Year 2001 Which has experience changes to increase the effectiveness of law enforcement. The provisions in the regulations are designed in such a way as to reach various mode operations new Which the more complex in detrimental to state finances. State administrators are required to uphold integrity in carrying out their duties to avoid unlawful acts that could result in criminal charges or imprisonment. fine. Certainty law in every process enforcement is a crucial aspect so that justice can be upheld for all people without discrimination in its implementation throughout Indonesia.

The Republic of Indonesia National Police has a strategic position as a state apparatus which plays a role in maintaining security, public order, and consistently enforce the law. Based on Law Number 2 of 2002 concerning the Police, this institution carries out police functions which include protection, patronage, and service to public with still upholding human rights. these main tasks include handling various type action criminal, including corruption which need special expertise in the field evidence process. Synergy between various elements within the police organization is key to maintaining the rule of law and ensuring that every violation of state regulations receives legal consequences commensurate with the degree of the offense. [48]

The management of criminal investigations carried out by police personnel currently refers to the Republic of Indonesia National Police Regulation Number 6 of 2019 as an operational technical guide. Regulation the set steps systematically aligned with objective certainty and benefits law. The professionalism of investigators is at stake in handling cases that are of concern. public, especially related with management funds public which should be used for regional development purposes in a transparent and accountable manner [49] .

Grant funds sourced from the Regional Revenue and Expenditure Budget are a crucial instrument for supporting social and economic development at the local level through various community organizations. The management of these funds is strictly regulated through the Regional Grant Agreement, which stipulates the recipient's obligation to use the funds according to the agreed-upon allocation with the government. Misuse of grant funds often appear in form report accountability fictitious or activities Which No Once realized in field The same very. Condition This requires strict supervision from law enforcement officials so that state financial assistance is not misused for personal interests that could damage the economic order of society at the regional level.

Directorate Detective Criminal Special Police Area Riau islands hold role vital in handle case corruption funds grants occurring within the province's jurisdiction. The strategic geographic location of the Riau Islands presents unique challenges in overseeing the distribution of state funds to the surrounding islands and remote areas. Investigators in this unit are required to possess the necessary skills. analytical Which sharp detect intention wicked or administrative errors in every report received by the institution. Professional case handling is key to building public trust in the police's commitment to eradicating fraudulent practices. Which harm finance area in a way significant for the sake of maintaining the sustainability of development in the jurisdiction of the Riau Islands province.

Investigators have comprehensive responsibility for handling grant fund corruption cases, encompassing the entire process from initial identification to submission of files to the Public Prosecutor. The following details their primary:

1) Implementation Function Investigation (Inquiry) And Detection Early

Investigator Directorate Detective Criminal Special Regional Police the Riau Islands holds a significant responsibility in eradicating corruption involving grant funds, as mandated by Law No. 2 of 2002 concerning the National Police. The Indonesian National Police (Polri) is tasked with maintaining security and public order, as well as enforcing the law fairly and transparently for all citizens. case corruption funds grant need accuracy outside It is common practice to ensure that every rupiah of state funds is used for its intended purpose. Law enforcement officers must act as the number one line of defense in protecting state finances from irregularities by certain individuals for the benefit of individuals or certain groups illegally in the regions.

The implementation of the investigation or *inquiry function* is a crucial stage that positions investigators as the number one gateway in filtering various information related to indications of misappropriation of grant funds. This initial stage is crucial in determining the direction of handling. case so that in harmony with objective law for achieve certainty, justice, and benefit for the wider community. Investigators carry out detection early on potential state losses Which emerged from management funds grant Which No transparent or No Accountable. Success in the investigation stage will facilitate the legal process furthermore in reveal fact truth Which happen in the field based on authentic evidence found by field investigators [51] .

Collection of Information Materials or *Pulbaket* is carried out by do deepening in a way intensive on report Public complaints or findings from criminal intelligence that come to investigators' desks. The case involving the initials D shows how important initial data verification is in uncovering corrupt practices. structured and massive in bureaucracy government area.

Investigators collect supporting documents, initial witness statements, and conduct field observations to strengthen the suspicion of a crime. criminal corruption. Process This demand professionalism tall so that there is no wrong targeting of the parties being questioned in the initial clarification process by the police in the jurisdiction of the Riau Islands Regional Police.

Legal filtering is a crucial process for investigators to determine whether a finding constitutes a criminal act of corruption with mens rea or malicious intent. Investigators must be able to distinguish between purely criminal acts and mere administrative errors or maladministration that can be resolved through the Government Internal Supervisory Apparatus (APIP) mechanism. Certainty regarding the malicious intent of the legal subject is key in determining the case. status law somebody in case corruption funds grants being handled. This cautious approach is necessary to maintain the integrity of the investigation process and ensure it remains within the legal corridors applicable throughout the jurisdiction of the Republic of Indonesia. [52]

Constitution 31 Year 1999 about Eradication the Corruption Act provides a strong legal basis for investigators to prosecute those who embezzle grant funds that harm state finances. Article 2 paragraph 1 and Article 3 are often used as instruments in this case. evaluate actions oppose law Which profitable yourself or others illegally. This law enforcement aims to realize public fair and prosperous based on Pancasila and Constitution Base 1945 through eradication corruption Effective investigations must be able to prove the element of state economic loss arising from the corrupt acts committed by the perpetrators of the grant fund corruption crime.

Regulation Police Country Republic Indonesia 6 Year 2019 is the latest regulation governing the management of criminal investigations following the revocation of the old regulation Number 14 of 2012. This adjustment was made to align investigative steps with the Constitutional Court's ruling and the dynamics of the development of criminal procedural law which continues to change according to the needs of the times. Investigators of the Riau Islands Regional Police are required to comply with every stage of investigation management regulated in this Police Regulation to ensure accountability and transparency in every case handling. Compliance with administrative investigation procedures is crucial to prevent the emergence of pretrial lawsuits that can hinder the process of law enforcement of corruption crimes in the jurisdiction of the Riau Islands.

Constitution 20 Year 2025 about Book the Criminal Procedure Code provides a new framework for law enforcement officials. law in carry out task investigation in a way modern. Protection of the rights of suspects remains a priority without reducing firmness in reveal truth material from a case corruption funds grant Which handled. Investigator ensuring that all coercive measures comply with the provisions of Law No. 20 of 2025 concerning Criminal Procedure in order to uphold the supremacy of law. This transformation of procedural law requires investigators to adapt to advances in information technology. gather tool proof electronic Which legitimate according to legislation Which valid moment This for apparatus enforcer police law.

The corruption case involving the initials D has reached the verdict stage cassation

Court Great as poured out in excerpt from decision Number 1/Pid.Sus-TPK/2024/PN Tpg dated September 24, 2024. This case is clear evidence of the seriousness of law enforcement officers in the Riau Islands region in monitoring the use of state funds so that no misused party certain. Assembly Judge Which Led by DBS, the team provided an objective assessment of the entire body of evidence presented by the Public Prosecutor during the trial. The success of the investigation up to the execution stage demonstrates the moral responsibility and professionalism of the Regional Police's Directorate of Special Criminal Investigations. Archipelago Riau in handle case action criminal very complex corruption in its jurisdiction [53] .

Defendant initials D based on decision cassation the sentenced to prison prison during 4 year and criminal a fine of 200,000,000 rupiah Which must paid to country. If fine If the fine is not paid, the person concerned must serve a substitute sentence of four months in prison in accordance with applicable Indonesian law. This decision reflects an effort to recover state financial losses and provide a deterrent effect. for future perpetrators of grant fund corruption. Strict law enforcement against the person with the initials D is expected to increase public trust in the Indonesian National Police and the integrated criminal justice system that the central government is continuously developing to eradicate corruption. [54]

Law Number 1 of 2023 concerning the Criminal Code is an important reference in understanding the evolution of law. criminal national Which uphold tall justice social. Synergy between investigators, public prosecutors, and judges is key to realizing the legal certainty envisioned by the independent rule of law of the Republic of Indonesia. Handling of corruption in grant funds by Directorate of Special Criminal Investigation Regional Police Archipelago Riau must Keep going strengthened through development capacity personnel as well as utilization technology state-of-the-art forensics. Corruption prevention remains a priority to ensure continuity development Which demand efficiency without any leakage budget country Which harm public wide in the archipelago.

2) Verification Formal and material to Object Grant

Investigator Police Country Republic Indonesia own crucial role in enforcing the law against corruption crimes that harm state finances. Based on the Law Number 2 Year 2002, function police covering Maintaining security and professional law enforcement. In the context of grant funds, investigators from the Special Criminal Investigation Directorate of the Riau Islands Regional Police act to monitor every flow of funds to prevent any misappropriation that harms the public. This process requires thoroughness. high in inspect every file administration and facts on the ground to ensure transparency and accountability in the implementation of clean regional government for the benefit of the wider public.

Formal verification is the initial step taken by law enforcement officers. law to examine the validity of documents Supporting parties in granting grants. Investigators act as field auditors, ensuring that regional grant agreements or NPHDs are drafted in accordance with applicable regulations. Review This covers identity recipient, objective granting of grants, as well as the previously agreed upon fund distribution mechanism. Legal certainty in the administrative aspect is very important in determining the next steps of the investigation in determining whether or not there is a violation. whether or not element criminal corruption Which happen on management the state funds in their entirety for the sake of real legal justice.

Aspect material become focus main investigator for ensuring synchronization between documents on paper and the physical reality found at the activity location. Physical inspections are conducted to verify whether the budget realization in the field truly reflects the planned use of funds as stated in the agreement. [56] Discrepancies between written reports and the facts of the building or activity can be a strong indication of corruption. Investigators must be astute in identifying potential state losses through in-depth and objective field audits of the grant objects that are the main focus in the case handling process to uphold material truth in court.

The case involving Defendant D as stated in Decision Court Great Number 1/Pid.Sus-TPK/2024/PN TPG is a concrete example of how corruption cases are handled in the Riau Islands. Defendant D has been found guilty of corruption. And sentenced criminal prison during 4 year by Assembly Judge. Besides punishment body, Defendant D Also required pay a fine of IDR 200,000,000.00, with the provision that if not paid, it will be replaced with imprisonment for 4 months. This decision shows effectiveness synergy between investigator and institution justice in eradicating corrupt practices that damage the foundations of national and state life fairly for all the people.

Handling case funds grant need proof Which Strong evidence of state financial or economic losses. Through the authority granted by the law, investigators from the Riau Islands Regional Police are consistently working to recover state assets and provide a deterrent effect to perpetrators of corruption in the jurisdiction.

Audits of Regional Grant Agreements (NPHD) are conducted to verify the alignment between the agreed-upon fund use plan and budget realization in the field. Investigators examine each point of the agreement to determine any irregularities in the use of grant funds, which should be used for the public interest. This evaluation includes examining purchase orders, accountability reports, and other supporting evidence submitted by grantees. Success in uncovering corruption cases depends heavily on investigators' ability to identify loopholes in budget misuse, which are often disguised through administrative reports that appear to conform to established operational procedures.

The Integrity Pact test is an important tool for investigators to ensure that grant recipients comply with the commitments signed at the beginning of the funding application process. The integrity pact emphasizes that use funds must appropriate target and appropriate in accordance with the proposal submitted previously. Violation of commitment not this one only is a problem administrative, but can develop into a criminal offense if an element of intent is found for enrich self. Investigator will collect promise as stated in the document to ensure that every rupiah of grant funds truly provides real benefits for the development of local communities in a responsible manner.

Law Number 20 of 2025 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code provides the latest guidelines for implementing transparent and accountable investigations. This regulation emphasizes the protection of human rights for suspects and defendants during the legal process at the police station. and prosecutor's office. Investigator must follow order method as regulated in Article 1 of the Criminal Procedure Code and subsequent articles to ensure that the evidence collected is legally valid. The correct application of procedural law is crucial to supporting the success of the prosecution in court, as seen in the final legal decision handed down to Defendant D by the Supreme Court.

Regulation Police Country Republic Indonesia Number 6 The 2019 Regulation regulates the management of criminal investigations as a guide for police personnel. This regulation revokes the previous regulation. use adapt with dynamics law and b development need organization Indonesian National Police in uphold justice. Good investigative management enables the handling of corruption cases funds grant done in a way systematic start from From investigation to case file submission. Efficiency in the investigation process will accelerate the achievement of legal certainty for all parties involved in the case. Coordination between police functions is key to resolving highly complex corruption cases.

Law enforcement against criminal acts of corruption is a major responsibility borne by the Special Criminal Investigation Directorate. Regional Police Archipelago Riau for the sake of guard integrity area. The synergy between formal and material verification conducted by investigators has proven effective in uncovering practices of misappropriation of grant funds that harm the state. Through the implementation of appropriate regulations, it is hoped that the judicial process will be fairer and have a positive impact on national development. Consistent prevention and enforcement efforts will create a clean and authoritative government climate for all Indonesians. at a time give certainty that law stand upright without discrimination [59] .

Synchronization between written documents and facts in the field requires technical expertise from investigators in conducting physical audits. comprehensive. Investigator must capable identify If there is a reduction in the quality or quantity of the grant object funded by the state, these findings in the field will be strong evidence that will be difficult to refute in the corruption trial process. The presence of field auditors is very helpful in interpreting the data. data technical become fact the law that can understood by the panel of judges. Law enforcement based on real facts is foundation main in reach justice for public who are harmed by budget corruption practices.

The imposition of legal sanctions against perpetrators of grant fund corruption aims to provide restitution for losses suffered by the state. In Decision Number 1/Pid.Sus-TPK/2024/PN Tpg, the imposition of a fine and the obligation to pay court costs are an integral part of the sentence received by Defendant D. This step is in line with the spirit of corruption eradication, which prioritizes the restitution of state financial losses in addition to imposing a prison sentence. Investigators continue to attempt to trace the suspect's assets. For ensure that treasure object Which originate from the proceeds of crime can be confiscated and returned to the state treasury in accordance with the provisions of currently applicable laws and regulations.

Transparency in every stage of the investigation is a mandate from Constitution Number 2 Year 2002 about Police use build trust public to police. The public needs to know that every report of alleged corruption involving grant funds is followed up seriously and professionally by the Riau Islands Regional Police. This accountability is demonstrated through strict internal and external oversight mechanisms throughout the legal process. Investigators with integrity will maintain their independence from pressure. party wherever for the sake of upright supremacy law in Indonesia. Public trust is the main capital for the police in carrying out their duties. task maintenance security as well as order communities throughout the sovereign territory of the beloved Republic of Indonesia.

The importance of coordination between law enforcement agencies is evident in the process of executing Supreme Court decisions in corruption cases. The Prosecutor's Office, as the implementing agency, collaborates with the Court. with party police If required in process detention of convicts. Every stage after the verdict must be carried out quickly to ensure legal certainty for those seeking justice. law No end on reading decision, but on the implementation of sanctions that have been determined by the judge in a final and binding manner. The success of the integrated criminal justice system depends heavily on the harmonization of cooperation between investigators, public prosecutors, and judges in handling each corruption case handled together. [62]

Comparison of sanctions in Law Number 31 of 1999 as has changed with Constitution Number 20 of 2001 with Law No. 1 of 2023 indicates an evolution in the national criminal justice system. Whereas Law No. 31 of 1999, as amended by Law No. 20 of 2001, Article 2 paragraph 1 provides a minimum prison sentence of 4 years. Therefore, in Criminal Code latest that is Constitution Number 1 Year 2023

Article 603, however, states that perpetrators of corruption are subject to a minimum of two years' imprisonment and a maximum of 20 years' imprisonment. This change reflects a new approach that balances corporal punishment with heavier fines to provide a more effective deterrent effect on future perpetrators of corruption.

3) Coordinator for Calculation of State Financial Losses (KKN) Investigator of the Special Criminal Investigation Directorate of the Indonesian Islands Regional Police

Riau in carrying out its authority to enforce criminal law corruption funds grant stand on on runway operational Constitution Number 2 Year 2002 about Police. Position Investigators within the law enforcement structure are a manifestation of the police's function as the primary investigators authorized to conduct investigations into all criminal acts in accordance with procedural law. The implementation of these duties must be integrated with investigative management as stipulated in the Republic of Indonesia National Police Regulations. Indonesia Number 6 Year 2019 Which emphasize on aspects of legal certainty and justice. Professionalism of investigators in collecting evidence tool proof become determinant main in proving the elements of the articles alleged against the perpetrators of corruption.

The existence of a coordinator for calculating state financial losses in the structure of investigating corruption of grant funds is a strategic need. For bridge correlation between fact law with Accounting facts. Investigators, in their capacity, do not have the attributive authority to independently determine the extent of state losses without relying on audit results from institutions with absolute competence. Inter-institutional synergy between the Directorate of Special Criminal Investigation of the Riau Islands Regional Police with Body Examiner Finance or BPK become validation instrument to determine the real value of losses. Coordination steps This in harmony with principle efficiency tall Which mandated in Law Number 31 Year 1999 about Eradication of Criminal Acts of Corruption as amended by Law Number 20 of 2001 for the sake of the continuity of national development which is free from the practice of budget misappropriation [63] .

The technical guidelines for conducting investigations within the integrated criminal justice system now refer to the provisions of Law Number 20 of 2025 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code. This regulation strengthens the authority of Directorate of Special Criminal Investigation investigators in conducting investigations. effort force Which must documented in a way Accountable and transparent procedures to guarantee the rights of suspects. Modern investigative management requires the integration of information technology in the filing process for corruption cases. grant in region law Archipelago Riau. Every action The law taken by investigators must have a strong logical ratio and be supported by minimum 2 tool proof Which legitimate. Strengthening procedure This event aims to prevent abuse of authority in the criminal law enforcement process [66] .

Investigation into the case involving the initials D shows existence continuity proof from level from the first to the cassation level at the Supreme Court. The legal facts collected by investigator Directorate of Special Criminal Investigation has tested through a series of judicial verification processes by the panel of judges who decided the case. The cassation decision, which upheld the guilty verdict against the defendant with the initials D, proves that the legal construction established since the investigation stage has met legal qualifications. This success serves as a reference for investigators in handling similar cases in the future, encouraging them to be more careful in compiling case files. The integrity of the investigation results is largely determined by strict and consistent adherence to applicable procedural law.

The legal costs in the investigation and trial process for corruption crimes have been regulated by a mechanism so as not to burden the process. search justice for country. Based on Chapter 348 Law Number 20 of 2025 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code states that if there is more than one defendant in a case, the court costs are shared equally. Investigators must record every detail of the operational costs of the investigation as a form of accountability for the use of the state budget. enforcement law. Matter This in line with principle Accountability in investigative management is regulated comprehensively by internal police regulations. Transparent management of investigative costs is part of bureaucratic reform within the National Police to achieve clean governance.

The purpose of law is to achieve certainty, justice and benefit as poured out in considerations letter a Police Regulation Number 6 Year 2019 must become guidelines investigator. In In grant fund corruption cases, legal benefits are measured by the extent to which state funds can be recovered through the seizure of the suspect's assets. Investigators have the authority to conduct asset tracing *to identify the flow of funds originating from the proceeds of corruption. Success in recovering state losses is a key indicator of successful investigations in corruption cases,* in addition to imposing corporal sanctions. This action is part of a preventive and repressive law enforcement effort against financial crimes.[68]

Article 1 of Law Number 1 of 2023 stipulates that no act can be punished except by virtue of the provisions of criminal law. This principle requires Directorate of Special Criminal Investigations investigators to always refer to specific articles in the Corruption Law when conducting investigations. to subject law. Determination status suspect must be based on on actions oppose law Which in a way explicitly prohibited by norm law Which valid moment action criminal committed. Compliance with the principle of legality protects citizens from arbitrary actions by law enforcement officers during the investigation process. Therefore, every investigation report must contain a description of the actions consistent with the alleged offense.

Confiscation of the Fund Disbursement Order document was carried out by investigator track current cash go out Which No in accordance with the allocation of grant [72.] This document is crucial written evidence in proving the disbursement of funds based on manipulative suggestions or proposals. Investigators conducted field verification. to realization use funds use ensure whether the accountability report submitted is true or just a formality fictitious. Action foreclosure asset Which originate from results criminal act corruption Also done in accordance with authorization court local government. The rescue of state assets is in line with the spirit of eradicating corruption. corruption give effect deterrent in a way financial for the perpetrator.

Investigators are taking action against assets derived from corruption as part of a results-oriented law enforcement strategy. Asset identification is conducted through tracing financial transactions and coordination with banking institutions and other relevant agencies in the Riau Islands region. this asset functioning ensure availability goods which will be confiscated for the state to cover the state financial losses incurred. This firm action shows that investigators are not only focus on criminalization body, but also on recovery The country's economic condition. The confiscated assets are kept secure by investigators until the prosecutor executes them based on a court decision. [77]

The implementation of coercive measures in investigations must comply with the standard operating procedures stipulated in Police Regulation No. 6 of 2019 concerning Investigation Management. This provision ensures that every step taken by investigators, from searches to... until foreclosure, done in a way professional And Accountable. Orderly investigation administration is key to the validity of investigators' legal actions in facing potential pretrial lawsuits from suspects. Enforcing the law on corruption in grant funds requires extraordinary precision, given the large number of documents whose authenticity must be verified by the investigative team. Professionalism in investigation management will enhance the credibility of the National Police institution in the eyes of the public and other institutions. justice.

Strengthening tool proof through inspection witness expert law criminal assistance investigator in give qualification juridical which appropriate to the suspect's actions. expert give views on limitations actions oppose law and abuse authority in context administration government and law criminal corruption. opinion expert this very required especially when there is slices between violations administration and action criminal in management grant funds. article 186 of law number 20 of 2025 states that information expert is what which a expert declare in court hearing. however, in stage investigation, opinion expert poured into news program inspection expert for strengthen file matter. every action investigator in secure tool proof so that not manipulated is a form of responsibility profession in maintain integrity process justice criminal. manipulation document accountability reports are a real obstacle that investigators often face when investigating systemic grant fund corruption cases. therefore, that, speed investigator in do effort force immediately after the start of the investigation, it is a determining factor in successfully solving the case. The firm action taken by the Special Criminal Investigation Directorate of the Riau Islands Regional Police aims to break the chain of impunity for perpetrators of corruption. The certainty that the evidence awake its authenticity will give belief for judge to make a fair decision.

The absence of physical evidence of goods or services consistent with accountability reports is a primary focus for investigators in proving elements of corruption. Perpetrators often exploit the lack of internal oversight within the granting agency to make unilateral claims for activities that were never actually carried out. Investigators require specialized expertise in this area. do audit internal in a way independent before submit files to institution auditor country For calculation final. Each sheet document confiscated must go through a verification process digital to ensure that No There is date step back or forgery signature in a way electronics [80] .

Process proof become the more difficult when document the original required for the purposes of the investigation was deliberately removed by party Which involved in case the. Disappearance Documentary evidence is often used to break the chain of involvement between the donor and the recipient at the grassroots level. Investigators must use their search authority, as stipulated in Law Number 20 of 2025 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code, to secure any remaining documents that have not yet been destroyed. The success of an investigation depends heavily on the speed of personnel in securing evidence letter before the perpetrator aware that his actions were being monitored by the authorities.

There are obstacles in the form of overlapping accountability reports between one activity and another funded by different state financial sources. The phenomenon of using one proof of expenditure for multiple activity reports is a classic tactic still frequently encountered in corruption cases. funds grant. Matter This need accuracy in Conduct a cross-audit of all funding sources received by the community organization that is the subject of the investigation. The audit process cross This eat energy Which very big Because involving thousands document from various agency government Which spread in the region islands [81] .

Investigator often find existence effort from for suspect for do revision document in a way sudden moment process the law has begun to run its course in the police. The perpetrator tried to legalize his actions Which Wrong with make document follow-up Which as if to formally correct past administrative errors. Investigators must be observant in assessing the authenticity of the ink or paper through the help of a forensic laboratory to prove that the document is genuine. results manipulation new. Action the show the great resistance from corruptors of grant funds to obscure the legal facts that actually occur in the management of the state budget.

Obstacle technical appear moment investigator must sorting Thousands of documents of evidence are reviewed to determine which have strong evidentiary value in court. The limited number of personnel specializing in forensic accounting is an internal constraint that is significantly felt by regional police forces. Each document must be categorized based on its relevance to the case. Chapter 3 Law Number 31 of 1999 concerning the Eradication of Criminal Acts of Corruption as amended by Law Number 20 of 2001 regarding abuse of authority or position. Poor data management at the local government agency level also slows down process collection proof letter Which authentic by investigative team.

Constraint proof often clash with principle presumption of no guilty Which used in a way overdone by advisor law to prevent the seizure of assets. Resistance through pretrial lawsuits is often filed only to test the validity of the procedure foreclosure document Which done by investigator Directorate of Special Criminal Investigation. Although this is a legal right, However in a way technical matter This often hinder smooth processing of corruption cases. Investigators must ensure every step administration investigation done with great be careful so that not to be a gap for the suspect to be free from legal responsibility.

a. Constraint Information Witness (Relation Power)

Examination of witnesses in corruption cases of grant funds often meet road dead end consequence existence pressure psychological experienced by for recipient help. Witness Which originate from lower class people are afraid to give honest information about existence cutting funds by individual officials certain. Fear This appear Because existence dependence economy Which very strong against the grantor who has great influence in the region. Unbalanced power relations make witnesses tend to cover up the true facts in order to maintain good relations or avoid veiled threats [82] .

Crown witnesses who are expected to expose the role of intellectual actors in corruption cases often choose to remain silent during police questioning. They feel that by providing incriminating testimony to their superiors, their position will be compromised. work or security family they will threatened seriously in the future. Pressure from external parties who have influence political strong in region Archipelago Riau make witness often provide changing information. Investigators must use a humanistic approach to convince witnesses that the information they very protected by Constitution And important for public justice.

Phenomenon witness Which suddenly experience forget memory collectively often found in the examination room for corruption crimes funds grant the. The witness as if already given specific directions by certain parties regarding what points may be conveyed to investigator police. Uniformity answer the witness who seen very technical show existence effort systematic to obscure role real from for perpetrator main crime. Investigators must capable do technique inspection cross use found inconsistencies in witness statements that had been prepared in advance by certain individuals.

4. Conclusion

The role of investigators from the Riau Islands Regional Police's Special Criminal Investigation Directorate in handling corruption cases involving grant funds. Investigators from the Riau Islands Regional Police's Special Criminal Investigation Directorate play a crucial role in eradicating corruption involving grant funds through three main functions. First, conducting investigations and early detection to filter out indications of irregularities and distinguish malicious intent (*mens rea)* from administrative errors. Second, conducting formal and material verification. For ensure suitability document NPHD with physical facts in field. Third, act as coordinator loss calculation finance country through synergy together BPK/BPKP to determine actual losses. The effectiveness of this role was proven in the handling of the case of Defendant D, who was sentenced to 4 years in prison and a fine of IDR 200,000,000 based on a final and binding decision. This law enforcement is guided by Law Number 31 of 1999 in conjunction with Law Number 20 of 2001 concerning the Eradication of Criminal Acts of Corruption, Police Regulation Number 6 of 2019, and the transition to Law Number 1 of 2023 concerning the Criminal Code and Law Number 20 of 2025 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code to ensure legal certainty and the recovery of state assets. Obstacles in investigation directorate detective criminal specifically for the Regional Police Archipelago Riau in handling action criminal corruption Grant funds and their solutions. Investigations into corruption involving grant funds face complex obstacles. Obstacles to evidence arise from neat administrative manipulation, while witness testimony is hampered by power relations and intimidation of the public. Technically, the calculation loss country eat time long Because limited number of auditors. In addition, the geographical conditions of the archipelago region trigger cost logistics tall as well as hinder mobility personnel to remote islands to conduct field verification. The primary solution implemented is the Scientific Criminal Investigation Method. Investigators use digital forensics, investigative audits, and tracking. flow funds minimize dependence on witnesses. Scientific approach through laboratory tests and human resources experts ensure accurate and objective material evidence in court.

5. References

Journals:

Abdul Mujib, *Konsep Amanah dalam Pengelolaan Keuangan Publik Perspektif Islam*, Jurnal Ekonomi Syariah Indonesia, Vol. 8 No. 1, 2018.

Ahmad Hafidh, Sri Endah Wahyumingsih, *Analisis Terhadap Putusan Hakim Berupa Pemindanaan Terhadap Perkara Tindak Pidana Anak*, Konstelasi Karya Ilmiah Mahasiswa Unissula (KIMU), Semarang, 2021.

Dwi Wibowo, Sri Endah Wahyuningsih, *Kebijakan Hukum Pidana Terhadap Warga Negara Indonesia*, Jurnal Hukum Khairu Umah, Unissula, Vol 17 No 3 September 2022, Semarang.

M. Nurul Irfan, *Korupsi dalam Perspektif Hukum Pidana Islam*, Al- Mawarid: Jurnal Hukum Islam, Vol. 13 No. 2, 2013.

Nur Kholis, *Prinsip Keadilan dalam Ekonomi Islam*, La Riba: Jurnal Ekonomi Islam, Vol. 6 No. 2, 2012.

Sri Endah Wahyuningsih, *Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Anak Sebagai Korban Tindak Pidana Kesusilaan Dalam Hukum Pidana Positif Saat Ini*, Jurnal Pembaruan Hukum, Vol III No 2 Mei-Agustus 2016.

Sri Endah Wahyuningsih, *Urgensi Pembaruan Hukum Pidana Materil Indonesia Berdasarkan Nilai-Nilai Ketuhanan Yang Maha Esa*, Jurnal Pembaruan Hukum, Vol 1, No 1, Januari-April, Unissula, 2014.

Sri Endah Wahyuningsih, Wilsa, HR Mahmutarom, *Terselenggaranya Kesejahteraan Anak Dalam Kelembagaan Masyarakat Indonesia*, Jurnal Hukum Internasional, Jilid 4, Edisi 5, September, Unissula 2018.

Books:

Abdoel Jamali, *Pengantar Hukum Indonesia*, RajaGrafindo Persada, Jakarta, 2018.

Adami Chazawi, *Pelajaran Hukum Pidana Bagian 1*, RajaGrafindo Persada, Jakarta, 2020.

Adrian Sutedi, *Hukum Keuangan Negara*, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta, 2010. Ahmad Ali, *Menguak Teori Hukum dan Teori Peradilan*, Kencana, Jakarta, 2015.

Andi Hamzah, *Hukum Acara Pidana Indonesia*, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta, 2019.

Anwar Bashori, *Pengawasan Keuangan Negara*, Gadjah Mada University Press, Yogyakarta, 2019.

Arifin P. Soeria Atmadja, *Keuangan Negara Dalam Perspektif Hukum*, Badan Penerbit Fakultas Hukum Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta, 2005.

Aris Purnomo, *Manajemen Penyidikan Tindak Pidana*, Kepolisian Negara Republik Indonesia, Jakarta, 2021.

Aswanto, *Hukum dan Kekuasaan*, Litera, Yogyakarta, 2018. Atmasasmita Romli, *Sistem Peradilan Pidana Kontemporer*, Kencana, Jakarta, 2017.

Bachtiar Kusuma Atmata, *Hukum Tata Negara Indonesia*, Alumni, Bandung, 2015.

Bagir Manan, *Teori dan Politik Konstitusi*, FH UI Press, Jakarta, 2016. Baharuddin Lopa, *Kejahatan Korupsi dan Penegakan Hukum*, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta, 2014.

Bambang Poernomo, *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana*, Liberty, Yogyakarta, 2017.

Bambang Sunggono, *Metodologi Penelitian Hukum*, RajaGrafindo Persada, Jakarta, 2016.

Bambang Waluyo, *Pemberantasan Korupsi Dalam Strategi Dan Optik*, Sinar Grafika, Jakarta, 2016.

Bernard Arief Sidharta, *Pengantar Ilmu Hukum*, Alumni, Bandung, 2015.

Chairul Huda, *Dari Tiada Pidana Tanpa Kesalahan Menuju Kepada Tiada Pertanggungjawaban Pidana Tanpa Kesalahan*, Kencana, Jakarta, 2016.

Regulation:

Constitution Base Country Republic Indonesia Year 1945

Constitution Number 1 Year 2023 about Book Criminal Code

Law Number 20 of 2025 concerning Criminal Procedure Law Number 31 Year 1999 about Eradication Action

Criminal Corruption as has changed with Law Number 20 of 2001

Constitution Number 2 Year 2002 about Police Republic of Indonesia

Republic of Indonesia National Police Regulations Indonesian Law Number 6 of 2019 concerning Criminal Investigation Management