Law Development Journal
SINTA 2 Degree No. 0228/DTS/C3/DT.05.00/2026
ISSN: 2747-2604
Volume 8 No. 3, September 2026

An Integrative Eco-Entrepreneurial Legal Framework for Climate-Resilient Coral Reef Protection

Risti Dwi Ramasari[1), Erlina B[2), Tami Rusli[3) & Zainab Ompu Jainah[4)

[1)Doctor in Law Program, Universitas Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, E-mail : risti@ubl.ac.id
[2)Doctor in Law Program, Universitas Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, E-mail : erlina@ubl.ac.id
[3)Doctor in Law Program, Universitas Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, E-mail : tami@ubl.ac.id
[4)Doctor in Law Program, Universitas Bandar Lampung, Indonesia, E-mail : zainab@ubl.ac.id

Abstract. *Climate change poses increasing threats to coral reef ecosystems through rising sea temperatures, ocean acidification, and extreme weather events, while coastal communities remain dependent on marine resources for their livelihoods. This study aimed to develop an integrative eco-entrepreneurial legal framework for climate-resilient coral reef protection by examining the fragmentation of existing legal frameworks and the regulatory gaps affecting sustainable coastal economic development. The research employed a socio-legal approach, combining normative legal analysis and empirical investigation to examine legal norms, institutional structures, and their implementation in coastal contexts. Statutory, conceptual, comparative, and socio-legal approaches were applied, supported by document analysis, semi-structured interviews, and field observations. The findings revealed that coral reef protection remains governed by fragmented and sectoral regulations, resulting in institutional overlap, regulatory inconsistency, and limited integration between climate adaptation and economic development. The research further identified regulatory gaps in legal recognition, economic incentives, financing, and institutional support for eco-entrepreneurial activities. The novelty of this study lies in the formulation of an integrative eco-entrepreneurial legal framework that positions eco-entrepreneurship as a legal instrument for climate resilience rather than merely an economic activity. The proposed framework consists of three interconnected pillars: regulatory integration, economic incentive mechanisms, and community-based governance. The study concluded that integrating these three pillars can provide a more adaptive and interdisciplinary legal governance model for strengthening coral reef resilience while promoting sustainable coastal livelihoods.*

Keywords: *Climate-Change; Coral-Reef; Eco-Entrepreneurship; Legal Framework; Resilience.*

1. Introduction

Climate change represents one of the most pressing global environmental challenges, with significant consequences for marine ecosystems, particularly coral reefs. Rising sea surface temperatures, ocean acidification, and increasingly frequent extreme weather events have accelerated coral bleaching and ecosystem degradation worldwide (Hoegh-Guldberg et al., 2023). Although coral reefs cover less than 1% of the ocean floor, they support approximately 25% of marine biodiversity and provide essential ecological and socio-economic services (Moberg & Folke, 1999; Spalding et al., 2001). These ecosystems contribute to coastal protection, fisheries productivity, food security, and the livelihoods of millions of people. Their ecological and socio-economic importance makes coral reef protection an increasingly urgent issue in the context of climate change.

Indonesia faces particularly significant challenges because of its extensive marine territory and its position within the Coral Triangle. The country contains approximately 40,000 km² of coral reefs and has a large coastal population whose livelihoods depend on marine resources (Razak et al., 2024). However, Indonesian coral reefs are increasingly exposed to climate-induced stressors, including rising ocean temperatures and marine heatwaves, as well as anthropogenic pressures such as overfishing, pollution, and coastal development (Razak et al., 2024; Razak et al., 2025; Susanti et al., 2024). The interaction between climate change and these local pressures has accelerated coral reef degradation, reduced ecosystem resilience, and increased risks to coastal livelihoods. This condition demonstrates that coral reef protection requires not only ecological interventions but also a legal and governance framework capable of responding to interconnected environmental and socio-economic challenges.

From a legal perspective, various regulatory instruments have been established to protect the environment and manage coastal and marine resources. Nevertheless, the existing legal regime remains fragmented and sectoral, with no unified and comprehensive approach to climate change governance. The absence of a single overarching legal framework specifically addressing climate change has contributed to limitations in policy coordination, particularly in integrating climate adaptation with marine ecosystem protection (Sulistiawati, 2024). Regulatory fragmentation may also create overlapping institutional authority, regulatory inconsistency, and limitations in enforcement. Consequently, legal responses to climate-related impacts on coral reefs tend to remain reactive rather than adaptive, creating a structural challenge to effective and climate-resilient coral reef governance.

At the same time, coral reef protection cannot be separated from the economic conditions of coastal communities. The blue economy has increasingly been promoted as an approach for balancing economic development with environmental sustainability through sustainable marine resource utilization

(Sabatira et al., 2024). Within this context, eco-entrepreneurship offers a potential mechanism for connecting conservation objectives with economic incentives. Activities such as sustainable marine tourism, coral reef restoration enterprises, and community-based fisheries demonstrate the potential to support environmental sustainability while creating economic opportunities for coastal communities (Pasaribu et al., 2024; Yusoff et al., 2022). However, the development of such activities requires legal support that can align environmental protection, climate adaptation, and sustainable economic development.

Despite the growing relevance of eco-entrepreneurship in sustainable coastal development, its legal foundation remains underdeveloped. Existing legal studies have generally addressed climate governance, marine conservation, and sustainable business as separate areas rather than examining their integration within a single legal framework. This fragmentation limits the capacity of the legal system to address the interconnected challenges of climate change, coral reef degradation, and socio-economic vulnerability. Furthermore, the absence of clear legal recognition, economic incentives, financing mechanisms, and institutional support for eco-entrepreneurial activities creates uncertainty for environmentally based enterprises. Therefore, a significant research gap remains concerning how legal governance can integrate coral reef protection and climate adaptation with economic empowerment through eco-entrepreneurship.

Addressing this gap requires a shift from fragmented sectoral regulation toward an integrative legal design that connects ecological protection, climate governance, and sustainable economic development. Such an approach is also relevant to environmental justice because coastal communities may experience disproportionate impacts from climate change and ecosystem degradation (Soemarmi & Rafsanjani, 2023). An integrative legal framework should therefore not only strengthen regulatory coordination but also establish economic incentives and ensure meaningful community participation in coastal governance. On this basis, this study conceptualizes an **integrative eco-entrepreneurial legal framework** that positions eco-entrepreneurship not merely as an economic activity, but as a legal instrument for strengthening climate resilience and supporting sustainable coastal livelihoods. The proposed framework integrates regulatory integration, economic incentive mechanisms, and community-based governance as interconnected components of climate-resilient coral reef protection. This approach extends existing environmental law scholarship by connecting climate governance, coral reef protection, and sustainable economic development within a unified legal framework.

Accordingly, this study aimed to develop an integrative eco-entrepreneurial legal framework for climate-resilient coral reef protection by examining the existing legal framework governing coral reef protection in the context of climate change, identifying regulatory fragmentation and institutional gaps affecting marine environmental governance, and formulating an integrative legal model that connects climate adaptation with sustainable economic development in coastal communities.

2. Research Methods

This study employed a socio-legal research approach to examine the relationship between legal norms, institutional arrangements, and socio-economic realities in coral reef protection and climate governance. The research combined normative legal analysis with an empirical component to assess both the regulatory framework and its implementation in coastal contexts. The normative component examined statutory regulations, legal principles, and policy frameworks concerning climate change, environmental protection, coastal governance, and coral reef protection. The empirical component examined the implementation of these frameworks and the perspectives of stakeholders involved in coral reef management and eco-entrepreneurial activities. The socio-legal approach was selected because the research concerned not only the content of legal norms but also their interaction with institutional structures and socio-economic conditions.

3. Result and Discussion

3.1 Fragmentation of Legal Governance in Coral Reef Protection

The findings demonstrated that the legal governance of coral reef protection remained fragmented and sectoral. Various regulatory instruments governed environmental protection, coastal management, and fisheries, but these frameworks operated largely within separate regulatory and institutional domains. This fragmentation created overlapping institutional authority, regulatory inconsistency, and limitations in enforcement capacity. Rather than functioning as an integrated governance system, the existing framework therefore produced a dispersed regulatory structure for addressing coral reef degradation.

From a legal perspective, this fragmentation reflected the absence of an integrated climate governance regime that systematically connected climate adaptation with marine ecosystem protection. The absence of a comprehensive climate change framework incorporating marine ecosystem protection weakened regulatory coherence and contributed to predominantly reactive responses to climate-related impacts on coral reefs (Sulistiawati, 2024). This condition was inconsistent with the principle of sustainable development, which requires environmental protection and economic development to be considered within an integrated governance framework (WCED, 1987).

The fragmentation also raised concerns from the perspective of the **public trust doctrine**, because marine resources constitute public resources that require effective state stewardship. When regulatory authority and responsibilities are dispersed without adequate coordination, the capacity of the state to ensure the protection and sustainable use of marine ecosystems may be weakened. Thus, the problem identified was not merely the existence of multiple regulations, but the absence of effective legal integration among them. This finding established the need for an adaptive legal framework capable of coordinating climate governance, coral reef protection, and sustainable coastal development.

3.2 Regulatory Gaps in Eco-Entrepreneurial Development

The study further identified regulatory gaps in supporting eco-entrepreneurship as a mechanism for sustainable coastal development. Eco-entrepreneurial activities, including marine ecotourism, coral restoration enterprises, and sustainable fisheries, have emerged as potential mechanisms for linking environmental conservation with local economic opportunities (Pasaribu et al., 2024; Yusoff et al., 2022). However, these activities have not been supported by a sufficiently clear and coherent legal framework.

The absence of formal legal recognition and specific regulatory mechanisms limits access to incentives, financing, and institutional support for environmentally based enterprises. Existing regulations also provide limited guidance concerning how environmental objectives should be incorporated into business development, thereby creating legal uncertainty for eco-entrepreneurs. This condition demonstrates that sustainable entrepreneurship requires an institutional environment capable of connecting environmental objectives with economic innovation and enterprise development (Yusoff et al., 2022).

The finding demonstrates that the regulatory gap is not simply an absence of rules governing eco-entrepreneurship. More fundamentally, it reflects the separation between environmental regulation and economic policy. Sustainable development principles cannot be effectively operationalized when environmental protection and economic development are governed through disconnected institutional arrangements (WCED, 1987). The absence of regulatory support may consequently discourage innovation in environmentally based economic activities and weaken their potential contribution to local climate adaptation.

3.3 Integrating Climate Adaptation and Coastal Economic Empowerment

The findings demonstrated that climate adaptation and coastal economic empowerment could not be treated as separate policy objectives. Eco-entrepreneurship provided a potential mechanism for connecting environmental conservation with economic incentives, thereby creating opportunities to strengthen ecological resilience while supporting coastal livelihoods. Existing studies have similarly highlighted the potential of sustainable entrepreneurship and blue economy approaches to connect economic activities with environmental sustainability in coastal sectors (Pasaribu et al., 2024; Yusoff et al., 2022).

However, the existing legal framework has not adequately facilitated this integration. Climate adaptation policies tend to emphasize regulatory and environmental measures, while economic policies do not consistently incorporate environmental sustainability as a core objective. The resulting policy disconnect limits the ability of legal governance to generate economic incentives for conservation-oriented activities. This condition demonstrates the continuing need to integrate climate governance with sustainable economic development within coastal governance frameworks (Sabatira et al., 2024).

This condition indicates the need for a shift from sectoral regulation toward integrative legal design. In this model, eco-entrepreneurship is positioned as a bridging mechanism between climate governance and sustainable economic development. Such an approach also supports environmental justice by expanding opportunities for coastal communities that may experience disproportionate impacts from climate change and ecosystem degradation (Soemarmi & Rafsanjani, 2023). The integration of environmental protection, climate adaptation, and economic empowerment therefore provides a basis for developing a more inclusive and adaptive legal framework.

3.4 An Integrative Eco-Entrepreneurial Legal Framework

Based on the identified legal fragmentation, regulatory gaps, and the need to integrate climate adaptation with coastal economic empowerment, this study formulated an **Integrative Eco-Entrepreneurial Legal Framework** for climate-resilient coral reef protection. The framework positions eco-entrepreneurship as a legal instrument that connects environmental protection, climate adaptation, economic incentives, and community participation. It therefore represents a shift from fragmented and reactive regulation toward adaptive and interdisciplinary legal governance.

The proposed framework consists of three interconnected components: **regulatory integration, economic incentive mechanisms, and community-based governance**.

Table 1. Components of the Integrative Eco-Entrepreneurial Legal Framework

Framework Component	Legal Direction	Governance Function	Expected Outcome
Regulatory Integration	Harmonization of climate change, environmental, and coastal regulations; incorporation of ecosystem-based adaptation	Coordinate fragmented legal and institutional arrangements	Coherent and adaptive coral reef governance
Economic Incentive Mechanisms	Legal recognition, green financing, and environmental incentives	Connect conservation objectives with	Growth of environmentally based

Law Development Journal
SINTA 2 Degree No. 0228/DTS/C3/DT.05.00/2026
ISSN: 2747-2604
Volume 8 No. 3, September 2026

		sustainable economic activities	enterprises and sustainable livelihoods
Community-Based Governance	Community participation, legal protection for community enterprises, and recognition of traditional ecological knowledge	Strengthen local involvement in decision-making and resource management	Inclusive and socially equitable coastal governance

a. Regulatory Integration

Regulatory integration constitutes the first pillar because the findings demonstrated that fragmented and sectoral regulation was a fundamental obstacle to effective coral reef governance. The integration of climate change law, environmental regulation, and coastal governance is therefore necessary to establish a coherent legal basis for ecosystem-based adaptation. Such integration is consistent with the sustainable development principle, which requires environmental and developmental interests to be addressed through an integrated approach (WCED, 1987). It also responds to the regulatory fragmentation identified in Indonesia's climate and environmental governance (Sulistiawati, 2024).

b. Economic Incentive Mechanisms

Economic incentive mechanisms constitute the second pillar. The regulatory gaps identified in this study indicate that eco-entrepreneurial activities require more than general environmental obligations. Legal mechanisms such as access to green financing, environmental incentives, and formal recognition of environmentally based enterprises are necessary to create economic conditions that encourage conservation-oriented innovation. The integration of sustainability into entrepreneurial activities has been recognized as an important mechanism for connecting environmental objectives with economic development (Yusoff et al., 2022). Accordingly, legal incentives should be designed not merely to promote business growth, but to direct economic activity toward measurable environmental outcomes.

c. Community-Based Governance

Community-based governance constitutes the third pillar. Effective climate-resilient coral reef protection requires participation from communities whose livelihoods are directly connected to marine resources. Legal protection for community-based enterprises, participation in decision-making, and integration of traditional ecological knowledge can strengthen the legitimacy and effectiveness of coastal governance. Community participation is particularly important from an environmental justice perspective because coastal communities may experience disproportionate environmental and socio-economic burdens resulting from climate change and ecosystem degradation (Soemarmi & Rafsanjani, 2023).

The proposed framework was theoretically grounded in the principle of sustainable development, because it sought to reconcile environmental protection with sustainable economic development (WCED, 1987). The precautionary principle further supports proactive legal responses to uncertain and potentially irreversible climate impacts, while the environmental justice perspective requires attention to the equitable distribution of environmental benefits and burdens, particularly among coastal communities affected by ecosystem degradation (Soemarmi & Rafsanjani, 2023). The public trust doctrine provides an additional legal foundation for strengthening state responsibility in protecting marine resources for public benefit. The integration of these theoretical perspectives demonstrates that the proposed framework is not merely a policy model, but an interdisciplinary legal framework connecting ecological protection, climate governance, economic development, and community participation.

4. Conclusion

This study concluded that the legal governance of coral reef protection remained fragmented and sectoral, limiting the effectiveness of climate adaptation, marine ecosystem protection, and sustainable coastal development. The findings demonstrated that regulatory fragmentation, institutional gaps, and the limited legal support for eco-entrepreneurship created barriers to integrating environmental protection with economic empowerment in coastal communities. In response, this study formulated an Integrative Eco-Entrepreneurial Legal Framework consisting of three interconnected pillars: regulatory integration, economic incentive mechanisms, and community-based governance. Regulatory integration is intended to strengthen coherence among climate change, environmental, and coastal regulations; economic incentive mechanisms are designed to support environmentally based enterprises through legal recognition, financing, and incentives; while community-based governance strengthens participation, legal protection, and the incorporation of local ecological knowledge. The framework positions eco-entrepreneurship not merely as an economic activity but as a legal instrument for strengthening climate resilience and sustainable coastal livelihoods. The study therefore recommended strengthening regulatory coordination, establishing supportive economic instruments, and enhancing community participation as complementary elements of climate-resilient coral reef governance, while further empirical and comparative research is needed to assess the implementation and effectiveness of the proposed framework across different coastal contexts.

5. References

Endarto, B., Indriastuty, D. E., & Mardiana, F. (2025). Legal transplantation of blue bond regulation in Indonesia. *Environmental Development, 53*, 101118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envdev.2024.101118

Fischer, C., & Newell, R. G. (2008). Environmental and technology policies for climate mitigation. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management, 55*(2), 142–162. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeem.2007.11.001

Flick, U. (2023). *An introduction to qualitative research* (7th ed.). SAGE Publications.

Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Poloczanska, E. S., Skirving, W., & Dove, S. (2017). Coral reef ecosystems under climate change and ocean acidification. *Frontiers in Marine Science, 4*, 158. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2017.00158

Moberg, F., & Folke, C. (1999). Ecological goods and services of coral reef ecosystems. *Ecological Economics, 29*(2), 215–233.

Pasaribu, I. F., et al. (2024). Blue economy application in coral reef management. *International Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Studies*.

Razak, T. B., Lamont, T. A. C., Hukom, F. D., Alisa, C. A. G., Asri, A. R., & Ferse, S. C. A. (2024). A review of the legal framework for coral reef restoration in Indonesia. *Ocean & Coastal Management, 248*, 106944. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2023.106944

Sabatira, F., et al. (2024). Blue economy and climate change framework in Indonesia. *Journal of Law and Policy Transformation*.

Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2011). Sustainable entrepreneurship and sustainability innovation: Categories and interactions. *Business Strategy and the Environment, 20*(4), 222–237. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.682

Soemarmi, A., & Rafsanjani, L. H. (2023). Climate justice in coral reef management: Learning from the Savu Sea Marine National Park Area. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 1270*(1), 012023. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/1270/1/012023

Spalding, M. D., Ravilious, C., & Green, E. P. (2001). *World atlas of coral reefs*. University of California Press.

Sulistiawati, L. Y. (2024). Climate change related litigation in Indonesia. *Communications Earth & Environment, 5*, 522. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01684-1

United Nations Environment Programme. (2024). *Adaptation gap report 2024*. United Nations Environment Programme.

World Commission on Environment and Development. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.

Yusoff, M., et al. (2022). Sustainable entrepreneurship in coastal sectors. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.