LICS
PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

LICS 2026

Topic: *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

Following The Money: Illicit Asset-Based Forfeiture as an Effective Legal Response to Human Trafficking

Fulan

Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA) Semarang, Indonesia, E-mail: fulan@gmail.com

Abstract. *Human trafficking remains one of the most profitable forms of transnational organized crime, generating substantial illicit financial gains for perpetrators while causing severe physical, psychological, and economic harm to victims. Traditional law enforcement approaches have primarily focused on criminal prosecution and imprisonment; However, such measures often fail to dismantle the economic foundations that sustain trafficking networks. In this context, illicit asset-based forfeiture has emerged as an important legal strategy aimed at depriving offenders of the proceeds and instrumentalities derived from human trafficking activities. This study aims to analyze the legal framework governing illicit asset-based forfeiture and to assess its effectiveness as a legal response to combating human trafficking. The research employs a normative legal research method using statutory, conceptual, and comparative approaches. Data were collected through library research involving legislation, legal doctrines, international instruments, and relevant scholarly literature, which were subsequently analyzed qualitatively. The findings indicate that asset-based forfeiture serves not only as a punitive mechanism but also as a preventive measure by weakening the financial capacity of trafficking networks. Furthermore, the confiscation of illicit assets may contribute to victim compensation and restitution programs, thereby enhancing access to justice and victim recovery. Nevertheless, several challenges remain, including difficulties in tracing assets, cross-border enforcement issues, and the need for stronger international cooperation. In conclusion, illicit asset-based forfeiture constitutes an effective legal response to human trafficking by targeting the economic incentives underlying the crime, although its effectiveness depends on comprehensive legal frameworks and coordinated enforcement mechanisms at both national and international levels.*

Keywords: *Asset Forfeiture; Human Trafficking; Illicit Assets; Transnational Organized Crime; Victim Compensation.*

LICS
PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

1. Introduction

Human trafficking is a serious, multidimensional crime, not only violating human rights and dignity but also generating economic benefits for perpetrators and criminal networks. Human trafficking can be carried out through various forms of exploitation, including sexual exploitation, forced labor, slavery, and other forms of exploitation. These characteristics make human trafficking a profit-oriented crime. As long as the perpetrators continue to enjoy the economic benefits of exploiting victims, there is a strong incentive for criminal networks to maintain and expand their human trafficking activities. Therefore, law enforcement must not only focus on arresting and punishing perpetrators but also on addressing the economic benefits derived from the crime. (Angkasa, Rani Hendriana, Filep Wamafma, Ogiandhafiz Juanda, and Bhanu Prakash Nunna; 2023).

The "follow the money" approach is a crucial strategy in addressing the nature of human trafficking. This approach prioritizes the flow of funds and assets as the primary object of investigation to identify the source, movement, and beneficiaries of criminal proceeds. By tracing financial transactions, asset ownership, accounts, companies, and other assets, law enforcement officials can gain insight into the economic structure of human trafficking networks. This approach also enables authorities to identify parties who may not be directly involved in victim exploitation but profit from the activity. (Ayuningsih, Irma Reisalinda, and Febby Mutiara Nelson; 2022).

Asset tracing then needs to be followed by an asset-based forfeiture mechanism implemented in accordance with legal provisions. Asset forfeiture aims to eliminate the economic benefits of the crime so that the perpetrator cannot retain or reuse the proceeds of crime to finance criminal activities. In the context of human trafficking, this mechanism becomes increasingly important because the proceeds of crime can be disguised through third-party accounts, companies, the purchase of property, vehicles, or other forms of wealth. Therefore, effective eradication of human trafficking requires integration between investigations of predicate crimes, financial investigations, and asset recovery. (Kurniawan, Wan Rahmat, Alwan Hadiyanto, and Ciptono; 2024).

Beyond its function as a repressive instrument, asset confiscation needs to be positioned from a victim-centered perspective. Human trafficking victims can experience material losses, loss of income, medical expenses, and various forms of suffering due to exploitation. The recovery of criminally obtained assets can be an instrument to support restitution and victim recovery. This approach shifts the focus of law enforcement from simply punishing perpetrators to eliminating criminal profits while providing legal benefits to victims. (Maghfiroh, Alfina N., Irma Cahyaningtyas, and Aju Putrijanti; 2025).

Based on this description, this study examines three main aspects: tracing and identifying illegal assets derived from human trafficking, implementing illicit asset-based forfeiture in recovering the proceeds of crime, and confiscating assets as a victim-oriented legal response. This research is expected to contribute to the development of more effective law enforcement strategies to disrupt the economic motives of human trafficking while strengthening asset recovery mechanisms and victim protection. (Siahaan, Hervyan, Lu Sudirman, and Junimart Girsang; 2023).

2. Research Methods

This research uses a normative legal research method with a statutory and conceptual approach. Primary, secondary, and tertiary legal materials were collected through literature review and analyzed qualitatively.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Following the Money: Tracing and Identifying Illicit Assets Derived from Human Trafficking

Human trafficking is a serious crime characterized by its transnational nature, organized nature, and economic profit. Human trafficking not only causes physical, psychological, and social suffering for victims but also generates financial gain for the perpetrators and their networks. The motive for profit is a key factor driving the continuation of human trafficking practices. Therefore, strategies to eradicate human trafficking must not only involve arresting and punishing perpetrators but must also target the economic resources obtained through the crime. In this context, the "follow the money" approach becomes crucial as a law enforcement strategy to trace and identify assets derived from human trafficking.

The "following the money" approach is essentially an investigative strategy that positions financial flows as the entry point for uncovering crimes. Unlike

LICS
PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

conventional approaches, which primarily focus on identifying perpetrators and relationships between individuals, this approach seeks to trace transactions, asset ownership, and the movement of funds related to the crime. In human trafficking cases, this approach can help law enforcement identify who receives the profits, how the proceeds are channeled, and whether other parties play a role in managing the proceeds.

The nature of human trafficking as a profit-making crime makes financial investigations highly relevant. Profits from victim exploitation can come in various forms, including direct receipts from the exploitative activity and profits obtained through businesses or transactions used to disguise the origin of the assets. Therefore, investigations should not stop at the visible criminal activity but should continue with the examination of assets and financial transactions related to the crime.

In the Indonesian legal system, this approach is closely linked to the anti-money laundering regime. Human trafficking can be a predicate crime that generates assets that can then be laundered through various transactions. The existence of a money laundering regime provides authorities with a basis not only to prove human trafficking but also to trace the assets derived from such crimes. Thus, investigations into human trafficking can be conducted in parallel with investigations into related assets and cash flows.

The asset tracing process requires the ability to identify links between financial transactions and human trafficking activities. The assets held by the perpetrator are not always directly registered in their name. The proceeds of crime can be placed in the names of family members, companies, third parties, or other entities to conceal the true relationship to the perpetrator. This situation requires an analysis of ownership patterns and economic relationships, not simply an examination of the names of formally registered owners.

Digital technology further expands the complexity of asset tracing. Electronic payment systems, digital banking, online commerce, and various forms of cashless transactions can facilitate the movement of funds by perpetrators. Furthermore, digital data can also be a valuable source of information for law enforcement. Transaction histories, payment records, electronic communications, and ownership data can help establish links between perpetrators, victims, beneficiaries, and assets obtained through criminal activity.

Asset tracing must be conducted within a legal framework that ensures a balance between law enforcement interests and the protection of individual rights.

Financial information is sensitive, and therefore, its access and use must be based on legitimate authority. Law enforcement officials must ensure that any action to acquire, inspect, freeze, or seize assets is legally based and conducted through accountable procedures. This principle is crucial to prevent abuse of authority and ensure that the asset tracing process remains within the bounds of the rule of law.

Identifying trafficking assets also requires inter-agency collaboration. The complexity of financial transactions means that a single institution often lacks all the necessary information. The police, prosecutors, the Financial Transaction Reports and Analysis Center, correctional institutions, victim protection agencies, and financial institutions all have distinct roles in the process. Information exchange and coordination are crucial to integrate information scattered across various institutions to create a comprehensive picture of the perpetrators' financial networks.

A follow-the-money approach can also help uncover the organizational structure of human trafficking. In organized crime, not all parties play the same role. Some recruit victims, provide transportation, manage the exploitation sites, arrange payments, launder the proceeds of crime, or enjoy the profits. By tracing the flow of funds, authorities can uncover economic relationships between individuals that might not be apparent through communication or social connections alone. Thus, financial investigations can broaden the scope of law enforcement from the perpetrators on the ground to those who derive the greatest benefit from the crime.

In addition to identifying assets, financial tracing is also crucial to prevent asset diversion before the legal process is complete. If a perpetrator knows their assets are under investigation, they may be moved, sold, or otherwise transferred to another party. Therefore, asset freezing and seizure mechanisms implemented in accordance with legal procedures can be an important tool to ensure that the proceeds of crime remain available for legal proceedings. These actions must be carried out carefully to avoid harming third parties who legally acquired the assets and are unaware of their origin.

In the context of human trafficking, the ultimate goal of asset tracing should not be solely economic punishment of the perpetrator. Asset tracing must also be linked to victim recovery. Victims of human trafficking can experience significant economic losses due to exploitation, loss of income, medical expenses, and other impacts arising from the crime. Therefore, assets successfully identified and subsequently seized based on a valid judgment or legal mechanism can play a crucial role in supporting restitution payments and victim recovery.

LICS PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

This demonstrates that the "follow the money" approach has two dimensions. First, it serves as a repressive instrument to deprive perpetrators of their economic gain. Second, it can be a restorative tool if the proceeds from asset management are used to fulfill victims' rights. This strategy can increase the effectiveness of law enforcement, as perpetrators not only lose their freedom but also the economic benefits derived from human exploitation.

3.2. Illicit Asset-Based Forfeiture and the Recovery of Trafficking Proceeds

Confiscation of assets derived from criminal activity is a crucial tool in combating human trafficking, as the crime is inherently economically motivated. Traffickers not only exploit victims but also profit from their actions. If these economic benefits remain in the hands of the perpetrators, the proceeds of crime may be reused to finance victim recruitment, expand networks, or engage in other criminal activities. Therefore, an asset-based confiscation approach is necessary to eliminate the economic benefits of human trafficking while simultaneously strengthening the effectiveness of law enforcement.

Asset-based forfeiture is essentially a legal mechanism that allows the state to seize or confiscate assets related to criminal acts based on procedures and authorities determined by law. In human trafficking cases, objects that can be traced include not only cash but also bank accounts, vehicles, land, buildings, companies, business profits, and other forms of wealth obtained directly or indirectly from criminal activity. Tracing these assets is crucial because perpetrators can disguise the proceeds of human trafficking through transactions or ownership in the name of another party.

Asset confiscation is also closely linked to eradicating money laundering. Proceeds from human trafficking can be deposited in specific accounts, diverted through various transactions, or used to purchase assets that formally appear to be legitimately acquired. Therefore, human trafficking investigations must consider the flow of funds and changes in asset form. Integrating predicate crime investigations with financial investigations can help authorities identify the link between profits and human trafficking activities.

3.3. Asset Forfeiture as a Victim-Centered Legal Response to Human Trafficking

Asset forfeiture is an increasingly important legal instrument in responding to human trafficking, particularly because this crime not only results in losses for the victim but also provides significant economic benefits for the perpetrator. Human trafficking is often committed as part of a profit-oriented criminal activity, so a legal approach that focuses solely on imposing penalties on the perpetrator has not fully eliminated the economic structure that supports the crime. In this context, asset forfeiture can be positioned as a mechanism to sever the link between the crime and the economic benefits obtained by the perpetrator while simultaneously being directed towards meeting the victim's interests.

A victim-centered approach places victim recovery as one of the primary goals of law enforcement. Human trafficking victims generally experience various forms of harm, including physical, psychological, social, and economic harm. Even after being freed from exploitation, victims often face difficulties in regaining economic independence and living a decent life. Therefore, the confiscation and confiscation of assets obtained from criminal activity should not be viewed solely as a means of punishment or eliminating the perpetrator's profits, but also as an instrument that can support the victim's recovery process.

Assets obtained through human trafficking can include cash, bank accounts, property, vehicles, companies, or other forms of wealth. Tracking and identifying these assets is crucial because profits from human trafficking are often disguised through financial transactions or transferred to other parties to avoid detection by law enforcement. By conducting asset tracing, the state can identify the link between assets and the crime, allowing legally binding assets to be frozen, seized, and subsequently confiscated for the state or returned to their rightful owners.

From the victim's perspective, the effectiveness of asset forfeiture is not solely measured by the amount of assets confiscated. Success also depends on the extent to which these assets provide tangible benefits to the victim. The mechanism for managing the proceeds of confiscation can be directed toward financing restitution, compensation, healthcare, psychological rehabilitation, education, skills training, and social reintegration of the victim. Thus, the asset forfeiture process can have a restorative dimension, as some of the economic value generated from the victim's exploitation is returned to support the victim's recovery.

However, implementing victim-oriented asset forfeiture requires a clear legal and institutional framework. Seizure and confiscation procedures must ensure the protection of the rights of good-faith third parties and uphold the principle of due process of law. Furthermore, the mechanism for distributing confiscation proceeds to victims must be simple, transparent, and accessible, and not burden victims with complex legal procedures.

LICS
PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

Thus, asset forfeiture can be developed not simply as a repressive instrument to exploit human traffickers' economic gains, but as part of a victim-centered legal strategy. This approach allows law enforcement to move beyond simply punishing perpetrators to rehabilitating victims and eliminating the economic incentives that are a key factor in the continuation of human trafficking.

Asset forfeiture should be understood not merely as an instrument for depriving human traffickers of illicit proceeds, but as a victim-centered legal response capable of supporting justice, recovery, and long-term protection for victims of human trafficking. Trafficking is fundamentally an economic crime in which perpetrators generate substantial profits through the exploitation of human beings. Consequently, tracing, freezing, confiscating, and ultimately recovering assets derived from trafficking activities can weaken the financial structures that sustain trafficking networks while creating opportunities for victims to obtain meaningful forms of redress.

A victim-centered approach requires asset forfeiture mechanisms to move beyond the conventional objective of transferring criminal proceeds to the state. Recovered assets should, where legally appropriate, contribute to victim compensation, restitution, rehabilitation, and reintegration. Such mechanisms are particularly important because many trafficking victims experience severe economic deprivation, psychological harm, social exclusion, and barriers to accessing justice. Effective asset recovery can therefore complement criminal prosecution by addressing the financial consequences suffered by victims and ensuring that perpetrators do not retain economic benefits obtained through exploitation.

However, the effectiveness of asset forfeiture depends on a clear legal framework, effective financial investigation, inter-agency cooperation, and safeguards protecting the rights of both victims and other legitimate asset holders. Law enforcement authorities must develop the capacity to identify complex financial transactions, hidden ownership structures, digital assets, and cross-border flows of illicit proceeds. International cooperation is equally essential because trafficking networks frequently operate across jurisdictions and transfer proceeds through sophisticated financial channels.

Ultimately, asset forfeiture should be integrated into a broader victim-centered framework that combines criminal accountability, financial disruption, victim compensation, and social recovery. The success of forfeiture should not be measured solely by the amount of property confiscated, but also by its contribution to restoring victims' dignity, economic security, and access to justice.

By placing victims at the center of asset recovery policies, legal systems can transform forfeiture from a purely punitive mechanism into a meaningful instrument for justice, accountability, and sustainable recovery from human trafficking.

4. Conclusion

Human trafficking is a crime oriented toward economic gain, so eradication strategies need to be directed not only at the perpetrators but also at the assets and profits obtained from the crime. A "follow the money" approach can be a strategic tool for tracing the flow of funds, identifying asset ownership, and uncovering the financial structure of human trafficking networks. Through financial investigations integrated with investigations into predicate crimes, law enforcement officials can prevent the diversion, disguise, or reuse of criminal proceeds to support criminal activities. The implementation of illicit asset-based forfeiture can further eliminate the economic benefits obtained by perpetrators through human trafficking. Asset confiscation not only serves a repressive and deterrent function but can also serve as an asset recovery instrument. Successfully recovered assets can be directed to support the fulfillment of victims' rights, particularly through restitution mechanisms and other forms of recovery. Therefore, the effectiveness of asset confiscation depends heavily on legal certainty, adequate evidence, inter-agency coordination, and the implementation of due process of law. A victim-oriented approach also needs to be an integral part of asset recovery policies. This strategy enables law enforcement to achieve the broader goals of disrupting the economic profits of human trafficking, weakening criminal networks, and providing more tangible redress for victims.

5. References

Journals:

Angkasa, Rani Hendriana, Filep Wamafma, Ogiandhafiz Juanda, and Bhanu Prakash Nunna. “Development of a Restitution Model in Optimizing Legal Protection for Victims of Human Trafficking in Indonesia.” *Journal of Indonesian Legal Studies* 8, no. 1 (2023): 93–128. https://doi.org/10.15294/jils.v8i1.67866.

Ayuningsih, Irma Reisalinda, and Febby Mutiara Nelson. “Perampasan Aset Tanpa Pemidanaan Dalam Perspektif Hukum Responsif.” *Jurnal Ius Constituendum* 7, no. 2 (2022): 246–261. https://doi.org/10.26623/jic.v7i2.5142.

Kurniawan, Wan Rahmat, Alwan Hadiyanto, and Ciptono. “Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang Dalam Perspektif Tindak Pidana Pencucian Uang Di

LICS
PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

Indonesia." *JURNAL USM LAW REVIEW* 7, no. 2 (2024): 688–698. https://doi.org/10.26623/julr.v7i2.8900.

Maghfiroh, Alfina N., Irma Cahyaningtyas, and Aju Putrijanti. "Urgensi Pembaharuan Hukum Perampasan Aset Tindak Pidana Pencucian Uang Di Indonesia." *Diponegoro Law Journal* 14, no. 2 (2025). https://doi.org/10.14710/dlj.2025.49972.

Siahaan, Hervyan, Lu Sudirman, and Junimart Girsang. "Polemik Implementasi Pemberian Hak Restitusi dalam TPPO: Perdagangan Orang atau Pekerja Migran Ilegal?" *Legal Standing: Jurnal Ilmu Hukum* 7, no. 2 (2023): 464–475. https://doi.org/10.24269/ls.v7i2.7608.

Ubwarin, Erwin, Febby M. Nelson, R. N. Jatna, and Valentino D. Soplantila. "Reconstructing The Role of Confiscation and Seizure in Realizing The Right to Restitution for Victims of Criminal Acts." *LAW REFORM* 22, no. 1 (2026): 294–314. https://doi.org/10.14710/lr.v22i1.73378.

Books:

Adrian Sutedi. *Tindak Pidana Pencucian Uang*. Bandung: Citra Aditya Bakti, 2008.

Aziz Syamsuddin. *Tindak Pidana Khusus*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika, 2011.

Barda Nawawi Arief. *Bunga Rampai Kebijakan Hukum Pidana: Perkembangan Penyusunan Konsep KUHP Baru.* Jakarta: Kencana, 2017.

Marlina and Azmiati Zuliah. *Hak Restitusi Terhadap Korban Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang*. Bandung: Refika Aditama, 2015.

Soekanto, Soerjono, dan Sri Mamudji. *Penelitian Hukum Normatif: Suatu Tinjauan Singkat*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers, 2015.

Yenti Garnasih. *Penegakan Hukum Anti Pencucian Uang dan Permasalahannya di Indonesia*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers, 2016.