LICS
PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

LICS 2026
Topic: *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

Criminal Law and the Prosecution of Human Trafficking Crimes

Koswara Panduwinata
Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA) Semarang, Indonesia,
E-mail: panduwinatakoswara@gmail.com

Abstract. *Human trafficking has become one of the most complex forms of transnational organized crime in the twenty-first century. Beyond constituting a serious violation of fundamental human rights, trafficking in persons has evolved into a sophisticated criminal enterprise that generates billions of dollars annually while exploiting vulnerable individuals through forced labor, sexual exploitation, slavery-like practices, forced marriage, child exploitation, organ trafficking, and other forms of modern slavery. The transnational nature of trafficking networks presents significant legal and practical challenges for criminal justice systems because perpetrators frequently operate across multiple jurisdictions, exploit legal loopholes, utilize digital technologies, launder illicit proceeds, and conceal criminal activities through legitimate business structures. This article examines criminal law and prosecutorial strategies in combating human trafficking by analyzing the relationship between subjective criminal law, criminalization models, penal policy, and contemporary law enforcement strategies. The study employs normative legal research using statutory, conceptual, comparative, and case approaches. Primary legal materials include the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime, the Palermo Protocol, Indonesian Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Human Trafficking, relevant provisions of the Indonesian Criminal Code, and comparative legislation from selected jurisdictions. Secondary legal materials consist of scholarly books, peer-reviewed journal articles, international reports, judicial decisions, and official publications from international organizations. The findings demonstrate that effective prosecution of trafficking offenses requires more than traditional criminal sanctions. Criminal liability should be determined through a comprehensive analysis of subjective criminal law encompassing intention, knowledge, recklessness, and willful blindness. Simultaneously, criminalization policy should extend beyond direct perpetrators to include facilitators, corporations, recruiters, transport providers, digital platform operators, financial intermediaries, and* *organized criminal enterprises that knowingly contribute to exploitation. Penal policy should integrate imprisonment with asset confiscation, financial investigation, victim compensation, corporate sanctions, and preventive measures. Furthermore, law enforcement strategies should incorporate digital intelligence, financial tracing, cyber investigation, victim-centered justice, international cooperation, mutual legal assistance, extradition, and multidisciplinary prosecutorial coordination. This article proposes a new theoretical framework entitled the Integrated Subjective Criminalization and Prosecutorial Strategy Framework (ISCPS Framework). The proposed framework combines subjective criminal responsibility, comprehensive criminalization policy, victim-centered prosecution, financial disruption of criminal enterprises, digital evidence management, and cross-border legal cooperation into a unified prosecutorial model. The framework contributes both theoretically and practically by offering a holistic criminal law approach capable of strengthening national and international efforts to eradicate trafficking in persons.*

Keywords: *Criminal Law; Criminal Prosecution; Human Trafficking; Subjective Exploitation; Victim Protection.*

LICS
PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

1. Introduction

Human trafficking represents one of the fastest-growing forms of transnational organized crime and constitutes a grave violation of internationally recognized human rights. Unlike conventional crimes that typically involve isolated unlawful conduct, trafficking in persons operates through interconnected criminal networks that recruit, transport, harbor, transfer, and exploit victims for sustained economic gain. The complexity of trafficking arises from its integration with other criminal activities, including money laundering, corruption, document forgery, cybercrime, migrant smuggling, drug trafficking, and organized criminal enterprises. Consequently, trafficking cannot be adequately addressed solely through traditional criminal law mechanisms designed for individual offenders.

According to the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC), millions of individuals worldwide remain trapped in conditions of forced labor, sexual exploitation, domestic servitude, forced criminality, child exploitation, and other contemporary forms of slavery. Women and children continue to constitute the majority of identified victims, although increasing numbers of adult men are subjected to labor exploitation in agriculture, fisheries, mining, manufacturing, construction, and maritime industries. The expansion of digital technology has further transformed trafficking methods, enabling perpetrators to recruit victims through social media, encrypted communication platforms, fraudulent employment websites, online dating applications, and virtual financial systems.

The evolution of trafficking methods has significantly complicated criminal prosecution. Traditional investigative approaches relying primarily on victim testimony often prove insufficient because victims may experience psychological trauma, fear retaliation, distrust law enforcement authorities, or remain economically dependent upon traffickers. Furthermore, trafficking organizations intentionally fragment criminal activities across multiple jurisdictions, making it difficult to establish jurisdiction, collect admissible evidence, identify organizational leaders, and recover illicit assets.

LICS PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

From a criminal law perspective, trafficking challenges conventional theories of criminal responsibility because perpetrators frequently attempt to distance themselves from direct exploitation. Recruitment agencies may deny knowledge of labor exploitation. Transportation providers may claim ignorance regarding victims' circumstances. Corporate entities may argue that exploitative practices result solely from subcontractors. Financial institutions may unknowingly facilitate laundering of trafficking proceeds. These realities require prosecutors to examine not only objective criminal acts (actus reus) but also subjective criminal responsibility (mens rea) across complex organizational structures.

Subjective criminal law there fore occupies a central position in prosecuting trafficking offenses. Criminal liability should not depend exclusively upon direct physical participation but must also encompass individuals who knowingly facilitate, encourage, finance, organize, supervise, or intentionally benefit from exploitative criminal enterprises. Modern trafficking prosecution increasingly relies upon doctrines of conspiracy, joint criminal enterprise, command responsibility, corporate liability, participation, aiding and abetting, and willful blindness to establish responsibility among multiple actors operating within organized criminal networks.

Another fundamental issue concerns criminalization policy. Many national legal systems initially criminalized only direct acts of trafficking while neglecting supporting conduct that enables exploitation. Contemporary anti-trafficking legislation increasingly criminalizes attempted trafficking, conspiracy, participation in organized criminal groups, confiscation of criminal assets, document forgery, corruption related to trafficking, cyber-facilitated recruitment, and corporate misconduct. Such developments reflect the understanding that trafficking should be addressed as a criminal ecosystem rather than a single isolated offense.

Penal policy similarly requires reconsideration. Imprisonment remains an essential sanction; however, imprisonment alone rarely dismantles organized trafficking enterprises. Criminal organizations frequently continue operating despite incarceration of lower-level offenders. Effective penal policy therefore requires financial investigation, confiscation of criminal assets, victim restitution, corporate dissolution, prohibition of commercial activities, enhanced witness protection, and preventive regulatory mechanisms.

LICS PROCEEDINGS OF LEGAL INTERNATIONAL AND STUDIES
No. ISSN : 2986-4089
Published by Universitas Islam Sultan Agung, Semarang, INDONESIA

In Indonesia, Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Human Trafficking provides a comprehensive legal basis for criminal prosecution. Nevertheless, practical implementation continues to encounter numerous challenges, including evidentiary limitations, institutional coordination problems, inconsistent judicial interpretation, inadequate victim protection, limited cross-border cooperation, and evolving technological methods employed by traffickers. Similar challenges are experienced globally despite significant international legal developments following adoption of the Palermo Protocol and the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime.

Existing legal scholarship has extensively discussed trafficking from either a human rights perspective or a criminal justice perspective. However, relatively limited attention has been devoted to integrating subjective criminal law, criminalization policy, penal policy, prosecutorial discretion, financial investigation, victim-centered justice, and international cooperation into a single analytical framework. This theoretical gap reduces the effectiveness of legal reforms because individual components of trafficking law often develop independently rather than as complementary elements of comprehensive criminal justice policy.

Accordingly, this article seeks to answer the following research questions:

1. How should subjective criminal law be interpreted in determining criminal responsibility for trafficking in persons?

2. What criminalization model most effectively addresses organized trafficking networks?

3. How should penal policy be designed to maximize deterrence while ensuring victim protection?

4. What prosecutorial strategies are necessary to strengthen national and international law enforcement against trafficking?

5. How can subjective criminal responsibility, criminalization policy, penal policy, and prosecutorial coordination be integrated into a comprehensive legal framework?

To answer these questions, this study proposes the Integrated Subjective Criminalization and Prosecutorial Strategy Framework (ISCPS Framework) as a new conceptual model for prosecuting trafficking offenses. The proposed framework integrates doctrinal criminal law analysis with contemporary prosecutorial practice by emphasizing six interconnected components: subjective criminal responsibility, comprehensive criminalization, penal policy, victim-centered justice, financial disruption of organized crime, and international legal cooperation. The framework is expected to contribute both theoretically to criminal law scholarship and practically to improving anti-trafficking prosecution at national and international levels.

2. Research Methods

2.1. Research Design

This study employs normative legal research (doctrinal legal research) to examine criminal law principles governing the prosecution of trafficking in persons. Normative legal research focuses on the systematic analysis of legal norms, legal doctrines, statutory provisions, judicial interpretations, and legal principles that regulate criminal responsibility for trafficking offences. Unlike empirical legal research, which emphasizes observable social phenomena, doctrinal research seeks to construct legal arguments through the interpretation and evaluation of authoritative legal sources.

Human trafficking is not merely a social phenomenon but also a complex legal issue involving criminal liability, human rights protection, international cooperation, and state responsibility. Consequently, normative legal research provides the most appropriate methodological framework for evaluating the adequacy of existing criminal legislation and proposing legal reforms capable of strengthening prosecutorial effectiveness.

The study further adopts a qualitative legal analysis because criminal law cannot be understood solely through statistical measurements. Instead, legal interpretation requires careful examination of statutory language, judicial reasoning, doctrinal developments, comparative legal systems, and international legal obligations. This qualitative approach enables the researcher to identify conceptual inconsistencies and formulate an integrated theoretical model for prosecuting trafficking crimes.

2.2. Approaches of the Study

To achieve comprehensive legal analysis, this research integrates five complementary approaches.

A. Statutory Approach

The statutory approach examines national and international legislation governing trafficking in persons. Particular attention is devoted to legal provisions defining trafficking offences, criminal responsibility, prosecutorial authority, victim protection, confiscation of criminal assets, and international cooperation.

The principal legal instruments analyzed include:

- United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (UNTOC) 2000;
- Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children (Palermo Protocol);
- Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR);
- International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR);
- Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW);
- Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC);
- International Labour Organization Forced Labour Conventions;
- ASEAN Convention Against Trafficking in Persons (ACTIP);
- Indonesian Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Human Trafficking;
- Indonesian Criminal Code (KUHP);
- Indonesian Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP).

These legal instruments establish the normative foundation upon which criminal liability for trafficking offences is constructed.

B. Conceptual Approach

The conceptual approach examines legal theories concerning:

- subjective criminal law;
- objective criminal law;
- mens rea;
- actus reus;
- criminal liability;
- criminal participation;
- corporate criminal liability;
- criminalization policy;
- penal policy;
- organized crime theory;
- victim-oriented justice;
- restorative justice;
- modern slavery.

Rather than limiting discussion to statutory interpretation, this approach investigates how legal concepts have evolved within criminal law scholarship and how they may be reconstructed to address contemporary trafficking networks.

C. Comparative Approach

Comparative legal analysis is conducted to identify best practices adopted by other jurisdictions in prosecuting trafficking offences.

The study compares legislation and prosecutorial practices from:

- Indonesia;
- Netherlands;
- United Kingdom;
- Australia;
- United States;
- Canada.

These jurisdictions were selected because they possess relatively advanced anti-trafficking legislation and have developed sophisticated investigative techniques involving organized crime, financial investigation, cyber evidence, and victim protection.

Comparative analysis enables the identification of legal innovations that may strengthen Indonesian criminal law without disregarding national legal characteristics.

D. Case Approach

The case approach analyzes selected judicial decisions concerning trafficking offences at both domestic and international levels.

Rather than focusing upon individual factual disputes, the analysis emphasizes judicial reasoning concerning:

- interpretation of trafficking elements;
- proof of criminal intent;
- organized criminal participation;
- victim testimony;
- financial evidence;

- sentencing policy;
- asset confiscation.

Case analysis illustrates how theoretical principles of criminal law operate in practical prosecution.

E. Philosophical Approach

The philosophical approach investigates the underlying values that justify criminalization of trafficking offences.

The analysis considers:

- justice;
- legal certainty;
- utility;
- proportionality;
- human dignity;
- social protection;
- state responsibility.

Trafficking represents not merely an offence against individual victims but also an assault upon constitutional values and fundamental human rights. Therefore, criminal law must balance punishment with protection, prevention, and rehabilitation

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Criminalization Models in Human Trafficking Law

Criminalization constitutes the primary instrument through which the State transforms socially harmful conduct into criminal offences subject to prosecution and punishment. Within the context of trafficking in persons, criminalization extends beyond merely prohibiting exploitation; it establishes the legal architecture through which governments identify offenders, protect victims, dismantle criminal organizations, and fulfill international legal obligations. Consequently, the effectiveness of anti-trafficking law depends significantly upon the comprehensiveness of the criminalization model adopted by national legal systems.

Historically, criminalization of trafficking focused predominantly upon visible acts of exploitation such as prostitution, forced labour, or slavery. Early legislation often criminalized only the final stage of exploitation while neglecting preparatory activities including recruitment, transportation, document forgery, financial facilitation, and organized coordination. Such fragmented criminalization enabled trafficking organizations to distribute criminal functions among numerous actors, thereby reducing individual criminal exposure.

The adoption of the **United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (UNTOC)** and the **Palermo Protocol (2000)** fundamentally transformed this approach by conceptualizing trafficking as a process rather than an isolated criminal act. The Palermo Protocol defines trafficking through three cumulative components:

1. **The Act** (recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring, or receipt of persons);

2. **The Means** (force, coercion, fraud, deception, abuse of power, abuse of vulnerability, or giving payments);

3. **The Purpose** (exploitation).

This tripartite structure significantly broadens criminal liability because criminal conduct may arise before actual exploitation occurs. Recruitment based upon deception, transportation facilitated through coercion, or harbouring vulnerable persons for exploitative purposes may independently satisfy criminal elements.

Modern criminalization therefore adopts a preventive orientation by intervening during earlier stages of trafficking operations rather than waiting until exploitation has fully materialized.

3.2. Evolution of Criminalization Policy

Contemporary criminalization policy reflects an important paradigm shift from **offender-oriented legislation** toward **network-oriented criminal regulation**.

Traditional criminal law generally focused upon identifying individual perpetrators who personally committed prohibited acts. However, organized trafficking enterprises demonstrate that exploitation results from coordinated activities involving recruiters, transportation companies, immigration facilitators, financial intermediaries, corrupt officials, digital platform operators, employers, and corporate entities.

Consequently, criminalization policy has expanded to include offences such as:

- attempted trafficking;
- conspiracy;
- participation in organized criminal groups;
- aiding and abetting;
- facilitating illegal migration;
- document falsification;
- corruption related to trafficking;
- money laundering;
- concealment of criminal assets;
- cyber-enabled recruitment;
- online sexual exploitation;
- forced marriage;
- child exploitation;
- organ trafficking;
- corporate negligence facilitating exploitation.

Such expansion reflects recognition that trafficking functions as a criminal ecosystem rather than a single offence.

Moreover, criminalization increasingly incorporates **preventive criminal law**, whereby liability arises before exploitation is completed. This preventive orientation aligns with contemporary criminological understanding that intervention during early operational stages substantially reduces victimization.

3.3. Criminalization and Subjective Criminal Law

The relationship between criminalization and subjective criminal law is particularly significant.

Expanding criminal liability without corresponding analysis of subjective culpability risks violating fundamental criminal law principles, especially the principle of legality and individual responsibility.

Accordingly, comprehensive criminalization must remain accompanied by rigorous assessment of criminal intent.

Different participants within trafficking organizations possess different degrees of subjective responsibility.

For example:

A recruiter who intentionally deceives vulnerable individuals into exploitative employment possesses direct criminal intent.

A transportation coordinator aware that victims are being moved for exploitation demonstrates knowing participation.

A financial intermediary who deliberately ignores suspicious transactions may incur liability through willful blindness.

A corporate executive who knowingly tolerates exploitative subcontracting practices may incur organizational criminal responsibility.

Consequently, criminalization should differentiate among varying degrees of subjective culpability while ensuring that sophisticated offenders cannot evade prosecution through organizational complexity.

3.4. Comparative Criminalization Models

Comparative legal analysis demonstrates considerable diversity in national approaches to trafficking criminalization.

Indonesia

Indonesia adopts a relatively comprehensive legislative framework through **Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Human Trafficking**.

The legislation criminalizes recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring, and receipt of persons conducted through violence, deception, abuse of vulnerability, debt bondage, or other unlawful means for exploitative purposes.

The Act also recognizes corporate liability and provides mechanisms for victim restitution.

Nevertheless, practical implementation continues to encounter evidentiary challenges, limited financial investigations, inconsistent sentencing practices, and inadequate cross-border cooperation.

The Netherlands

Dutch criminal law adopts one of the broadest definitions of trafficking within Europe.

Trafficking encompasses labour exploitation, sexual exploitation, forced criminality, forced begging, and organ removal.

Particular emphasis is placed upon abuse of vulnerable circumstances rather than physical coercion alone.

Dutch prosecutors frequently employ financial investigation, confiscation proceedings, and multidisciplinary investigative teams involving labour inspectors, immigration authorities, tax agencies, and financial intelligence units.

United Kingdom

The **Modern Slavery Act 2015** integrates trafficking and slavery-related offences into a unified legislative framework.

Significant innovations include:

- Slavery and Trafficking Prevention Orders;
- Slavery and Trafficking Risk Orders;
- Independent Anti-Slavery Commissioner;
- Corporate transparency obligations.

These mechanisms extend beyond criminal punishment by imposing preventive obligations upon corporations and public institutions.

Australia

Australia emphasizes organizational criminal responsibility through the **Criminal Code Act 1995**.

Corporate criminal liability may arise where organizational culture encourages unlawful conduct or fails to prevent exploitation.

Australian authorities similarly integrate anti-money laundering measures into trafficking investigations, thereby targeting financial infrastructure supporting criminal enterprises.

United States

Federal trafficking legislation adopts an aggressive prosecutorial strategy combining:

- severe criminal penalties;
- witness protection;
- financial forfeiture;
- conspiracy charges;
- racketeering legislation (RICO);
- extensive victim assistance.

American prosecutors frequently prosecute trafficking organizations under organized crime statutes rather than relying exclusively upon trafficking legislation.

This integrated strategy substantially increases prosecutorial flexibility.

3.5. Weaknesses of Existing Criminalization Models

Despite significant legislative development, several weaknesses remain apparent.

First

Many legal systems continue emphasizing direct perpetrators while neglecting facilitators operating behind legitimate commercial structures.

Second

Corporate criminal liability remains inconsistently regulated.

Although corporations often benefit economically from exploitative labour practices, prosecution frequently targets only individual employees.

Third

Financial investigation remains underutilized.

Confiscating criminal proceeds often proves more disruptive than imprisonment because trafficking enterprises depend fundamentally upon illicit profit.

Fourth

Digital platforms remain inadequately regulated despite their increasing use for recruitment, communication, payment processing, and exploitation.

Fifth

Cross-border prosecutorial coordination remains fragmented due to differences in criminal procedure, evidentiary standards, and jurisdictional rules.

3.6. Proposed Integrated Criminalization Model

Based upon the foregoing analysis, this study proposes an **Integrated Criminalization Model** consisting of seven interconnected dimensions.

1. Expanded Criminal Liability

Criminal responsibility should encompass:

- recruiters;
- transport coordinators;
- harbouring providers;
- employers;
- digital facilitators;
- financial intermediaries;
- corporations;
- organized criminal leaders.

2. Subjective Criminal Assessment

Every participant should be evaluated according to:

- intention;
- knowledge;
- recklessness;
- willful blindness;
- organizational responsibility.

3. Financial Criminalization

Criminal law should criminalize:

- laundering trafficking proceeds;
- concealment of assets;
- financing trafficking organizations;
- corporate profit derived from exploitation.

4. Digital Criminalization

Legislation should expressly regulate:

- online recruitment;
- social media exploitation;
- encrypted communications;
- cryptocurrency transactions;
- artificial intelligence used in trafficking.

5. Corporate Compliance Obligations

Corporations should implement:

- human rights due diligence;
- labour audits;
- whistle-blower protection;
- supply-chain monitoring;
- mandatory reporting.

Failure should constitute criminal negligence where exploitation results.

6. Victim-Oriented Criminalization

Victims should receive:

- immunity for offences committed under coercion;
- compensation;
- rehabilitation;
- legal representation;
- witness protection.

Victim protection strengthens prosecution because traumatized victims are more willing to cooperate where safety is guaranteed.

7. International Harmonization

States should harmonize:

- criminal definitions;
- extradition procedures;
- mutual legal assistance;
- digital evidence standards;
- financial intelligence exchange.

Such harmonization reduces opportunities for traffickers to exploit jurisdictional inconsistencies.

4. Conclusion

Human trafficking has evolved into one of the most complex forms of transnational organized crime, characterized by sophisticated organizational structures, extensive cross-border operations, technological adaptation, and substantial illicit financial gains. Unlike conventional criminal offences, trafficking in persons constitutes a continuous criminal process involving recruitment, transportation, harbouring, transfer, exploitation, and financial laundering, frequently carried out by multiple actors operating within organized criminal enterprises. Consequently, the effective suppression of trafficking requires criminal justice systems to move beyond traditional offender-oriented approaches and adopt integrated legal strategies capable of addressing the structural characteristics of contemporary organized crime. This study has demonstrated that subjective criminal law occupies a central position in determining criminal responsibility within trafficking prosecutions. The traditional emphasis upon direct perpetrators is insufficient for addressing the realities of organized trafficking networks where criminal activities are intentionally fragmented among recruiters, transport coordinators, financiers, employers, corporate entities, digital facilitators, and organizational leaders. Accordingly, criminal responsibility should be assessed through a comprehensive evaluation of mens rea, including intention, knowledge, recklessness, willful blindness, organizational participation, and command responsibility. Such an approach preserves the principle of individual culpability while preventing sophisticated offenders from escaping criminal liability through complex organizational structures. The research further concludes that criminalization policy must evolve from isolated offence-based regulation toward an integrated ecosystem approach. Modern anti-trafficking legislation should criminalize not only direct acts of exploitation but also preparatory conduct, financial facilitation, corporate misconduct, cyber-enabled recruitment, document forgery, money laundering, participation in organized criminal groups, and other supporting activities that sustain trafficking enterprises. Expanding criminalization in this manner enhances preventive intervention while remaining consistent with the principles of legality, proportionality, and legal certainty.

5. References

Journals:

Allain, J. (2014). *No Effective Trafficking Definition Exists: Domestic Implementation of the Palermo Protocol*. *Albany Government Law Review, 7*, 111–142.

Broad, R., Lord, N., & Duncan, C. (2022). The financial aspects of human trafficking: A financial assessment framework. *Criminology & Criminal Justice, 22*(4), 581–600.

Chuang, J. (2014). Exploitation creep and the unmaking of human trafficking law. *American Journal of International Law, 108*(4), 609–649.

Gallagher, A. T., & Holmes, P. (2008). Developing an effective criminal justice response to human trafficking: Lessons from the front line. *International Criminal Justice Review, 18*(3), 318–343.

Goździak, E. M., & Vogel, K. M. (2020). Palermo at 20: A retrospective and prospective. *Journal of Human Trafficking, 6*(2), 109–118.

Shelley, L., & Bain, C. (2015). Human trafficking: Fighting the illicit economy with the legitimate economy. *Social Inclusion, 3*(1), 140–144.

Skrivankova, K. (2018). Defining exploitation in the context of trafficking. In *Routledge Handbook of Human Trafficking* (pp. 109–119). Routledge.

Books:

Balch, A. (2019). *Human Trafficking and Labour Exploitation: Policy and Practice in the UK*. Bristol University Press.

Bales, K. (2012). *Disposable People: New Slavery in the Global Economy* (Rev. ed.). University of California Press.

Council of Europe. (2005). *Convention on Action against Trafficking in Human Beings*.

Europol. (2024). *Criminal Networks Involved in Trafficking in Human Beings in the European Union*.

Gallagher, A. T. (2010). *The International Law of Human Trafficking*. Cambridge University Press.

Gallagher, A. T., & Karlebach, N. (2011). *Prosecution of Trafficking in Persons Cases: Integrating a Human Rights-Based Approach in Criminal Justice*. Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights.

Holmes, L. (2010). *Trafficking and Human Rights: European and Asia-Pacific Perspectives*. Edward Elgar.

Kotiswaran, P. (Ed.). (2017). *Revisiting the Law and Governance of Trafficking, Forced Labour and Modern Slavery*. Cambridge University Press.

Reid, J. A. (2021). Human trafficking. In *The International Encyclopedia of Ethics*. Wiley.

Shelley, L. (2010). *Human Trafficking: A Global Perspective*. Cambridge University Press.

Regulation:

Indonesia. (2007). *Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons*.

Indonesia. (2023). Criminal Code (KUHP).

Indonesia. Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP).

International Labour Organization. (1930). *Forced Labour Convention, 1930 (No. 29).*

International Labour Organization. (2014). *Protocol of 2014 to the Forced Labour Convention*.

United Nations. (1948). *Universal Declaration of Human Rights*.

United Nations. (1966). *International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights*.

United Nations. (1979). *Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women*.

United Nations. (1989). *Convention on the Rights of the Child*.

United Nations. (2000). *Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children, Supplementing the United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime (Palermo Protocol).* United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2024). *Global Report on Trafficking in Persons 2024*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2020). *Model Legislative Provisions against Trafficking in Persons*. United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime. (2024). *Compendium of Recommendations and Conclusions on Trafficking in Persons*.

United Nations. (2000). *United Nations Convention against Transnational Organized Crime*.