



LICS 2026

**Topic:** Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children

## Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children

**Andri Wanda**

Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA) Semarang, Indonesia,  
E-mail: [andriwanda.std@unissula.ac.id](mailto:andriwanda.std@unissula.ac.id)

**Abstract.** Human trafficking remains one of the most complex and pervasive forms of transnational organized crime, representing a profound violation of fundamental human rights and human dignity. Women and children constitute the most vulnerable groups due to structural inequalities, gender discrimination, poverty, and social exclusion. The rapid advancement of globalization and digital technology has further enabled traffickers to exploit victims with unprecedented efficiency. Despite the adoption of international instruments such as the Palermo Protocol, CEDAW, and CRC, as well as domestic legislation like Indonesia's Law Number 21 of 2007, substantial implementation gaps persist. These gaps stem from weak inter-agency coordination, inadequate victim identification procedures, and limited rehabilitation services. Employing a normative juridical research method, this study analyzes international legal instruments, domestic legislation, and scholarly literature to evaluate existing anti-trafficking frameworks. The findings demonstrate that effective anti-trafficking governance requires moving beyond offender-oriented criminal justice toward an integrated, victim-centered, and human rights-based approach that prioritizes the recovery, safety, and empowerment of survivors.

**Keywords:** Trafficking; Human Rights; Legal Framework; Victim Protection; Women and Children.

### 1. Introduction

Human trafficking represents a contemporary form of modern slavery that exploits individuals through coercion, deception, abuse of power, and other unlawful means for forced labor, sexual exploitation, domestic servitude, forced marriage, and organ trafficking. Operating through sophisticated transnational criminal networks, human trafficking exploits differences in legal systems, weak institutional capacity, porous borders, and socio-economic disparities among countries. Consequently, combating human trafficking requires not only robust



## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

domestic legal frameworks but also effective regional and international cooperation.

Among the victims, women and children constitute the most vulnerable groups due to structural inequalities, poverty, limited educational opportunities, and social exclusion. Women are disproportionately subjected to sexual exploitation and domestic servitude, while children are frequently trafficked for forced labor, commercial sexual exploitation, child marriage, and online exploitation. Furthermore, the rapid advancement of globalization and digital technology has transformed operational methods through online grooming, fraudulent employment advertisements, and electronic financial transactions, enabling traffickers to operate across multiple jurisdictions.

Despite international legal standards established by the United Nations Palermo Protocol, CEDAW, CRC, and ILO conventions, implementation gaps remain substantial. In Indonesia, international commitments have been incorporated through Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Human Trafficking, alongside Law Number 35 of 2014 concerning Child Protection. However, practical implementation encounters numerous obstacles, including inconsistent law enforcement, inadequate victim identification, and fragmented inter-agency coordination.

Against this background, this study seeks to examine the effectiveness of existing legal frameworks and law enforcement mechanisms while evaluating the adequacy of legal protection afforded to women and child victims. It argues that combating human trafficking requires an integrated legal strategy founded upon human rights, gender equality, child protection, and international cooperation.

## 2. Research Methods

This study employs a normative juridical research method to systematically analyze international legal instruments, domestic legislation, legal doctrines, judicial decisions, and contemporary scholarly literature concerning the prevention, prosecution, and protection dimensions of anti-trafficking policies. The research utilizes statutory, conceptual, and comparative approaches to evaluate the alignment between national legislation and international human rights standards, identifying normative strengths, implementation challenges, and policy gaps.

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. International Legal Frameworks and Domestic Implementation Gaps

International legal instruments have established a comprehensive normative framework for combating human trafficking globally. Key international instruments such as the United Nations Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children (Palermo Protocol), the



## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW), the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC), and various International Labour Organization (ILO) conventions collectively emphasize the interconnected principles of prevention, prosecution, victim protection, and international cooperation. These international standards establish that human trafficking is not merely an isolated criminal offense, but a grave violation of human rights that requires states to adopt a victim-centered and rights-based approach (Gallagher, 2010; Obokata, 2006). Under these framework obligations, governments are expected to guarantee that victims receive immediate protection, access to justice, medical and psychological assistance, legal aid, compensation, and long-term rehabilitation regardless of their immigration status or willingness to participate in criminal proceedings (Waisman, 2010). However, a substantial implementation gap persists between these international legal norms and practical enforcement at the national level. While many states, including Indonesia, have incorporated these international commitments into their domestic legal systems through comprehensive legislation such as Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Human Trafficking and Law Number 35 of 2014 concerning Child Protection practical execution remains inconsistent. Institutional implementation in Indonesia continues to face severe administrative and structural constraints, including limited administrative capacity, inadequate financial resource allocation, fragmented legal institutions, and varying degrees of political commitment. Consequently, domestic anti-trafficking enforcement frequently overemphasizes offender-oriented criminal investigations and prosecutions, while failing to allocate sufficient attention and resources to victim recovery, rights fulfillment, and long-term social protection (Stoyanova, 2017). This fundamental imbalance not only undermines the efficiency of law enforcement interventions but also hinders the broader imperative of restoring victims' dignity and preventing re-trafficking. Furthermore, the normative alignment between international instruments and national legislation often masks deep-seated operational deficiencies within domestic enforcement mechanisms. Domestic legal frameworks frequently adopt the terminology of international treaties without establishing corresponding administrative structures, funding streams, or oversight bodies necessary to enforce statutory rights. In the Indonesian context, despite the progressive provisions of Law No. 21 of 2007, procedural mechanisms for victim compensation, state-funded restitution, and immediate legal representation remain underutilized due to bureaucratic complexities and restrictive procedural interpretations applied by law enforcement officers and judicial authorities (Waisman, 2010). The disparity between statutory commitments and practical implementation is further aggravated by the lack of specialized capacity among key state actors. Although international treaties demand gender-sensitive and



## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

child-sensitive handling of trafficking cases, frontline police investigators, prosecutors, and judges often lack comprehensive training in trauma-informed judicial practices (Todres, 2011). Consequently, legal proceedings in domestic courts continue to operate through traditional penal approaches that prioritize securing criminal convictions over ensuring the procedural rights, personal safety, and long-term psychosocial recovery of survivors. In addition, financial and resource constraints severely limit the state's ability to operationalize positive obligations under international human rights law. Under the principle of state due diligence, states are obligated to take all reasonable measures to prevent trafficking, protect victims, and provide effective remedies (Waisman, 2010). In practice, however, national budget allocations for anti-trafficking initiatives remain heavily skewed toward law enforcement agencies, leaving social welfare departments, public healthcare institutions, and state-sponsored crisis centers without adequate funding to sustain comprehensive long-term recovery programs. The challenge of bridging this implementation gap is also compounded by the evolving nature of transnational organized crime. Modern trafficking networks exploit jurisdictional fragmentation, weaknesses in cross-border law enforcement cooperation, and emerging digital technologies to recruit and exploit vulnerable populations across borders (Gómez-Mera, 2017). Domestic legal frameworks that rely primarily on traditional, territorial law enforcement mechanisms struggle to keep pace with modern digital recruitment strategies, resulting in low detection rates and widespread impunity for traffickers operating from foreign jurisdictions. Ultimately, overcoming the implementation gap requires a structural realignment of domestic anti-trafficking policy with the fundamental principles of international human rights law. States must move beyond symbolic compliance with treaty ratifications and actively build integrated governance frameworks that embed state due diligence into every level of domestic administration. Only by balancing rigorous criminal prosecution with enforceable rights-based protection mechanisms can states effectively dismantle trafficking networks, fulfill their positive obligations under international law, and secure lasting justice for women and child survivors (Gallagher, 2010; Obokata, 2006).

### 3.2. Challenges in Inter-Agency Coordination and Victim Identification

One of the principal structural challenges identified in combating human trafficking is the persistent weakness in inter-agency coordination among key state institutions. Effective anti-trafficking governance strictly requires seamless collaboration among police authorities, immigration agencies, labor inspectors, prosecutors, judicial bodies, ministries responsible for social welfare, healthcare providers, child protection institutions, and civil society organizations. However, in practice, these fundamental actors frequently operate independently within



## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

rigid institutional silos, leading to overlapping mandates, administrative friction, and inconsistent operational strategies. The pervasive institutional fragmentation is significantly compounded by the absence of integrated national databases and unified information-sharing protocols. Each relevant agency typically maintains disparate, uncoordinated data collection systems, which severely restricts real-time intelligence exchange and cross-sectoral communication. This technical and administrative divide creates significant procedural delays, particularly in complex transnational trafficking cases that demand swift, multi-jurisdictional collaboration to track illicit operations and secure critical evidence (Gómez-Mera, 2017). Furthermore, the lack of coordinated institutional mechanisms directly impedes frontline officials during initial operational encounters. Police officers, immigration personnel, and labor inspectors who lack standardized referral mechanisms and specialized screening protocols struggle to assess complex exploitation scenarios. Without unified inter-agency guidelines, frontline responders are often unable to properly evaluate the subtle indicators of human trafficking, leaving vulnerable individuals without systematic institutional support at the most critical juncture. As a direct result of these institutional gaps, frontline officials frequently misidentify trafficking victims as undocumented migrants, illegal foreign workers, or criminal suspects. Because traffickers routinely disguise their operations as minor labor disputes, migration violations, prostitution offenses, or commercial neglect, law enforcement agencies tend to apply restrictive criminal or administrative lenses. Consequently, victims who have suffered severe coercion and exploitation are improperly targeted for immigration infractions or criminal charges rather than recognized as rights-bearing survivors (Gallagher, 2010; Waisman, 2010). This systematic misidentification carries catastrophic legal and humanitarian consequences for victims, effectively denying them immediate legal protection, emergency shelter, healthcare services, and essential psychosocial assistance. Instead of receiving prompt protective intervention, misidentified survivors are frequently subjected to immediate detention, punitive administrative measures, or forced deportation. Such punitive actions not only exacerbate the physical and psychological harm suffered by victims but also completely cut off their access to justice and state-sponsored remedies (Obokata, 2006). The challenge of institutional identification is particularly acute and complex in cases involving child victims. Indicators of child trafficking are frequently concealed within broader social issues, such as informal child labor, domestic servitude, forced early marriage, illegal adoption, or online sexual exploitation. Without specialized child-sensitive training, trauma-informed screening protocols, and dedicated multi-disciplinary child protection units, law enforcement and social welfare officers routinely fail to detect subtle indicators of coercion and child exploitation. Moreover, institutional friction between civil law enforcement



## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

agencies and criminal justice bodies often severely hampers early victim identification. Labor inspectors and immigration officials are frequently the first actors to encounter trafficked individuals during routine workplace inspections or border checks. However, due to a lack of formal inter-agency protocols, these civil inspections rarely lead to immediate criminal referrals, allowing trafficking syndicates time to relocate victims or destroy critical operational evidence before law enforcement can intervene. The absence of structured partnerships with civil society organizations and non-governmental actors further weakens frontline identification efforts. Non-governmental organizations and community-based groups often possess greater trust among marginalized populations and specialized expertise in recognizing victim trauma than state authorities. Nevertheless, rigid bureaucratic frameworks frequently exclude these civil society partners from official referral mechanisms, depriving frontline identification processes of vital ground-level intelligence and immediate victim support services. Additionally, the expanding digitalization of trafficking operations presents severe multi-agency identification hurdles. Modern trafficking syndicates increasingly utilize encrypted messaging applications, deceptive online job advertisements, and virtual financial platforms to recruit and control victims across different territorial jurisdictions. Traditional law enforcement agencies, cybercrime units, and labor regulatory bodies often lack joint technological infrastructures and specialized digital screening tools necessary to track cyber-enabled trafficking networks in real-time. Ultimately, the failure to establish robust inter-agency coordination and accurate victim identification mechanisms severely undermines the entire criminal justice response. When victims are misidentified or criminalized, they naturally lose trust in state institutions and become reluctant to participate as witnesses in criminal proceedings. This institutional breakdown delays critical investigations, weakens prosecutorial evidence, and allows human trafficking syndicates to continue operating across borders with a high degree of impunity (Stoyanova, 2017).

### 3.3. Victim Recovery, Secondary Victimization, and Low Prosecution Rates

Rescue operations and criminal prosecutions alone are fundamentally insufficient to restore victims' physical, psychological, and social well-being after enduring severe exploitation. Human trafficking inflicts profound trauma, physical injuries, reproductive health complications, severe anxiety, depression, and long-term emotional distress upon survivors (Todres, 2011; Waisman, 2010). While law enforcement interventions focus primarily on apprehending perpetrators, true justice and recovery require comprehensive post-rescue support systems that address the multi-faceted needs of survivors (Gallagher, 2010). Without continuous and structured post-rescue assistance, rescue



## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

operations remain merely transitional events that fail to guarantee long-term safety or rehabilitation. A major obstacle to sustainable recovery lies in the severe shortage and structural limitations of specialized rehabilitation facilities. Many jurisdictions face an acute lack of emergency shelters, trauma-informed psychological counseling, specialized medical services, legal representation, and vocational training programs. The geographic distribution of existing protective facilities is often highly uneven, and state-sponsored services routinely suffer from chronic underfunding and a lack of trained professional staff. Consequently, many survivors are released prematurely from temporary protective care without adequate preparation, leaving them economically marginal and socially isolated. The absence of sustainable socio-economic reintegration mechanisms exposes survivors to heightened risk factors, making them exceptionally vulnerable to re-trafficking. Victims who return to the same conditions of extreme poverty, unemployment, gender discrimination, and social stigma that initially facilitated their exploitation frequently find themselves targeted again by human trafficking networks. Therefore, effective recovery frameworks must look beyond short-term shelter and provide long-term educational access, skill acquisition, economic empowerment initiatives, and community-based reintegration support (Obokata, 2006). Beyond the lack of adequate recovery infrastructure, women and child victims routinely endure secondary victimization when interacting with formal criminal justice systems. Secondary victimization occurs when state institutions and legal procedures unintentionally inflict additional psychological, emotional, or social harm on survivors during investigations and trial proceedings. Rather than receiving a protective environment, victims are frequently subjected to insensitive questioning, invasive procedural hurdles, and continuous skepticism from law enforcement officers who lack specialized trauma training. Procedural practices within judicial systems further exacerbate secondary trauma through repetitive and uncoordinated investigative protocols. Survivors are repeatedly subjected to redundant interviews by multiple law enforcement agencies, forcing them to re-live deeply traumatic experiences without adequate psychosocial support. Moreover, lengthy judicial delays, lack of privacy protections in open courtrooms, and direct confrontation with accused traffickers during trials create an atmosphere of intense fear and emotional distress for victims (Stoyanova, 2017). Child victims face particularly severe vulnerabilities within traditional courtroom settings and formal legal processes. Standard criminal procedures often fail to adopt child-sensitive interviewing techniques, age-appropriate legal assistance, or trauma-informed judicial practices required by international child protection standards, such as the Convention on the Rights of the Child. When child victims are treated like adult witnesses without specialized courtroom adaptations or protective measures, judicial proceedings become highly

## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

intimidating environments that compound their existing psychological trauma. These procedural shortcomings directly contribute to low victim participation rates and significantly hinder successful criminal prosecutions. Terrified of retaliation from organized criminal syndicates and lacking confidence in state witness protection programs, many victims naturally choose to withdraw their testimony or refuse to cooperate with law enforcement authorities. Without comprehensive witness protection mechanisms, accessible legal aid, and continuous psychosocial accompaniment throughout the trial, relying primarily on victim testimony creates an unsustainable burden on survivors. Furthermore, prosecuting human trafficking cases presents extraordinary evidentiary challenges for law enforcement agencies and prosecutors. Human trafficking syndicates operate through subtle forms of coercion, psychological manipulation, debt bondage, and fraud that are inherently difficult to prove under strict criminal evidence standards. Because physical violence is not always present in contemporary trafficking schemes, prosecutors frequently struggle to establish the legal elements of coercion and exploitation, resulting in charges being downgraded to lesser offenses or cases being dismissed altogether. The transnational dimension of modern trafficking networks further complicates prosecution efforts across national jurisdictions. Traffickers systematically exploit differences in domestic legal definitions, jurisdictional boundaries, financial secrecy, and digital communication technologies to evade criminal liability. Cross-border investigations require complex mutual legal assistance treaties, formal extradition procedures, and real-time intelligence exchange among states, all of which remain slow, highly bureaucratic, and unevenly implemented (Gómez-Mera, 2017). Consequently, conviction rates for human trafficking offenses remain disproportionately low on a global scale compared to the estimated millions of victims world-wide. The combination of severe service shortages, procedural secondary victimization, evidentiary hurdles, cross-border jurisdictional limitations, and systemic corruption allows sophisticated trafficking networks to operate with alarming degrees of impunity. Addressing these systemic failures requires a fundamental paradigm shift toward a victim-centered and rights-based justice system that balances rigorous criminal prosecution with robust, long-term victim protection, empowerment, and structural support (Gallagher, 2010; Waisman, 2010).

## 4. Conclusion

The evaluation of anti-trafficking legal governance reveals that the success of state interventions cannot be evaluated solely based on the enactment of criminal statutes or the volume of successful prosecutions. While international instruments such as the Palermo Protocol, CEDAW, and the Convention on the Rights of the Child alongside domestic legislation like Indonesia's Law No. 21 of



## LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

2007 and Law No. 35 of 2014, establish a robust normative foundation, significant implementation gaps persist at the operational level. Persistent institutional silos, lack of integrated databases, inadequate victim screening protocols, and severe shortages of specialized rehabilitation infrastructure continue to hinder practical enforcement. Consequently, frontline responses frequently misidentify vulnerable survivors as criminal or immigration offenders, denying them immediate protection and compounding their trauma. Furthermore, traditional criminal justice mechanisms that prioritize offender punishment over survivor recovery continuously fail to dismantle complex transnational trafficking networks. Procedural shortcomings such as repetitive investigative interviews, lack of specialized courtroom adaptations for child victims, and insufficient witness protection subject survivors to secondary victimization, leading to low victim participation and low conviction rates globally. Modern trafficking syndicates actively exploit these jurisdictional boundaries, digital communication platforms, and institutional friction, allowing illegal operations to continue with high levels of impunity. To overcome these structural barriers, states must execute a fundamental paradigm shift from a purely penal, offender-oriented approach to an integrated, victim-centered, and human rights-based legal framework. Achieving effective anti-trafficking governance requires strengthening multi-agency coordination, operationalizing state due diligence, building digital surveillance capabilities, and guaranteeing long-term socio-economic reintegration for survivors. Ultimately, balancing rigorous criminal prosecution with enforceable rights-based protection mechanisms is indispensable for restoring victims' dignity, ensuring lasting justice, and fulfilling international obligations to protect women and children from human trafficking.

## 5. References

### Journals:

- Disemadi, H. S. (2022). Lenses of Legal Research: A Descriptive Essay on Legal Research Methodologies. *Journal of Judicial Review*, 24(2), 289–304.
- Gómez-Mera, J. M. (2017). Transnational Organized Crime and Human Trafficking in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Contemporary Asia*, 47(4), 604–623.
- Gueraldi, M. (2013). The Organization of Human Trafficking: Perspectives from Indonesia. *Theoretical Criminology*, 17(4), 473–490.
- Hutchinson, T., & Duncan, N. (2012). Defining and Describing What We Do: Doctrinal Legal Research. *Deakin Law Review*, 17(1), 83–119.
- Obokata, T. (2006). Trafficking of Human Beings as a Crime against Humanity: Some Implications for the International Legal System. *The International Journal of Human Rights*, 10(4), 445–458.



## **LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

Waisman, V. (2010). Human Trafficking: State Obligations to Protect Victims' Rights, the Current Framework and a New Due Diligence Standard. *Hastings International and Comparative Law Review*, 33(2), 385–430.

## **Books:**

Gallagher, A. T. (2010). *The International Law of Human Trafficking*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Stoyanova, V. (2017). *Human Trafficking and Slavery Reconsidered: Conceptual Limits and States' Positive Obligations in European Law*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

## **Internet:**

Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights (OHCHR). (2010). *Recommended Principles and Guidelines on Human Rights and Human Trafficking*. United Nations. <https://www.ohchr.org/>

United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC). (2024). *Global Report on Trafficking in Persons*. Vienna. <https://www.unodc.org/>

## **Regulation:**

Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) (1979).

Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC) (1989).

Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons.

Law Number 35 of 2014 concerning Amendments to Law Number 23 of 2002 concerning Child Protection.

Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, Especially Women and Children (Palermo Protocol) (2000).