

LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

## **Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology-Facilitated Human Trafficking Against Women and Children: Towards an Enhanced Adaptive Cyber Law Framework**

**Frenky Manurung**

Faculty of Law, Universitas Islam Sultan Agung (UNISSULA) Semarang, Indonesia, E-mail: [frenkymanurung.std@unissula.ac.id](mailto:frenkymanurung.std@unissula.ac.id)

**Abstract.** *The rapid proliferation of digital technologies and telecommunication networks has profoundly transformed transnational organized crime, particularly technology-facilitated human trafficking targeting vulnerable women and children. Traditional, physically anchored legal mechanisms are structurally inadequate for combating borderless, algorithm-driven cyber networks. This study employs a normative-empirical legal methodology to evaluate the systemic mismatch between rapid technological innovation and static statutory frameworks. The findings indicate that domestic legislation suffers from significant jurisdictional and substantive ambiguities regarding digital coercion, online grooming, and corporate intermediary liability. Furthermore, law enforcement agencies face severe technical constraints and cross-border cooperation delays in securing volatile electronic evidence. To address these vulnerabilities, this article proposes an enhanced adaptive cyber law framework that integrates proactive regulatory models, mandatory human rights due diligence for digital platforms, streamlined international cooperation, and comprehensive, victim-centric digital remediation rights.*

**Keywords:** *Adaptive; Cyber; Law; Liability; Exploitation.*

### **1. Introduction**

The rapid proliferation of digital technologies, artificial intelligence, and global telecommunication networks has profoundly transformed the modalities of transnational organized crime, most notably human trafficking (Miller, 2021). Contemporary criminal syndicates increasingly exploit cyberspace, social media platforms, darknet marketplaces, and encrypted messaging applications to recruit, deceive, control, and commercialize vulnerable women and children (O'Connor, 2020). This digital shift presents a formidable challenge to traditional law enforcement mechanisms, which were historically designed to combat physical,

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
(Frenky Manurung)

**LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

localized forms of exploitation rather than borderless, algorithm-driven cyber networks (Schmidt, 2020). Consequently, the intersection of cybersecurity and human rights protection has emerged as one of the most critical legal frontiers of the twenty-first century, demanding a radical rethinking of domestic and international regulatory paradigms (Silva, 2020).

At the heart of this crisis lies the acute vulnerability of women and children, who disproportionately suffer from targeted online grooming, fraudulent employment scams, non-consensual image sharing, and cyber-forced labor operations (Jenkins, 2019). Perpetrators leverage sophisticated psychological profiling and data analytics to identify marginalized populations, subsequently luring victims with false promises of legitimate economic opportunities or social mobility abroad (Mei-Ling, 2020). Once trapped, victims are subjected to severe psychological coercion and physical isolation, while their exploiters operate with relative anonymity behind complex layers of digital obfuscation (Al-Hassan, 2019). The borderless nature of these crimes renders traditional territorial jurisdiction largely ineffective, highlighting a profound systemic mismatch between rapid technological innovation and static legal frameworks (Rostova, 2020).

To effectively counter these evolving criminal methodologies, legal scholars and policy architects increasingly advocate for the adoption of adaptive cyber law frameworks (Rostova, 2020). Unlike rigid, static statutory systems, an adaptive legal model integrates continuous feedback loops, agile regulatory sandboxes, and proactive compliance mandates for technology corporations (Miller, 2021). Such a framework empowers judicial and administrative bodies to dynamically update legal definitions, evidentiary standards, and enforcement powers in response to emerging technological threats without requiring exhaustive legislative overhauls (Silva, 2020). By embedding flexibility directly into the legal architecture, states can maintain pace with the rapid iteration of exploitation tools deployed by organized crime syndicates in the digital ecosystem (Jenkins, 2019).

Ultimately, addressing technology-facilitated human trafficking against women and children requires a harmonized, multi-stakeholder approach that bridges the gap between technological innovation and legal protection (Miller, 2021). Domestic legal reforms cannot succeed in isolation; they must be underpinned by robust international cooperation, mutual legal assistance treaties optimized for electronic evidence, and cross-border capacity-building initiatives (Schmidt, 2020). By systematically dismantling the digital infrastructure utilized by traffickers, reforming archaic statutory boundaries, and centering human rights within the cybersecurity discourse, the international community can construct a resilient, adaptive legal

LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

shield capable of protecting the most vulnerable populations from digital exploitation (Rostova, 2020).

## 2. Research Methods

This study employs a normative-empirical legal research methodology, combining doctrinal analysis of statutory frameworks, international treaties, and judicial jurisprudence with qualitative examination of institutional practices regarding technology-facilitated human trafficking (Vance, 2019). Doctrinal legal research is utilized to scrutinize the structural inadequacies of existing cyber laws and human trafficking statutes, evaluating their interpretive elasticity when applied to decentralized digital crimes (Rostova, 2020). This analytical approach is complemented by a socio-legal perspective, drawing upon empirical reports from international human rights organizations, law enforcement case studies, and expert stakeholder consultations to assess the practical enforcement challenges encountered on the ground (Vance, 2019). Through this multifaceted methodological design, the research systematically maps the disconnect between legislative intent and digital reality, providing a comprehensive empirical and normative foundation for the proposed adaptive cyber law reform framework (Miller, 2021).

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1. Domestic Statutory Frameworks and Enforcement Challenges in Combating Tech-Facilitated Trafficking in Indonesia

The contemporary landscape of human trafficking in Indonesia has undergone a profound structural mutation, migrating from traditional physical procurement methods to sophisticated online recruitment and digital exploitation networks (Azizah, 2021). Organized criminal syndicates increasingly utilize social media platforms, localized job-seeking applications, and encrypted messaging services to lure economically vulnerable women and children into domestic and transnational forced labor (Puspita Sari, 2021). This digital transition severely undermines traditional crime prevention strategies, as perpetrators exploit the anonymity afforded by virtual communication channels to evade detection (Hartono, 2020). Consequently, the state faces an urgent imperative to modernize its investigative paradigms and criminal justice responses to effectively intercept cyber-facilitated exploitation before physical transportation and severe harm occur (Setiawan, 2020).

A critical analysis of domestic statutory provisions reveals significant jurisdictional and substantive ambiguities regarding the intersection of cybercrime and human trafficking (Azizah,

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
(Frenky Manurung)

**LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

2021). While national legislation criminalizes general human trafficking offenses, the specific modalities of digital facilitation—such as online grooming, psychological coercion via telecommunications, and virtual confinement—are frequently fragmented across multiple distinct statutes (Prasetyo, 2020). Law enforcement agencies often encounter operational bottlenecks when attempting to categorize and prosecute hybrid offenses that straddle the boundaries of electronic transactions and severe human rights abuses (Santoso, 2021). This legislative fragmentation creates dangerous loopholes that sophisticated criminal enterprises routinely exploit to minimize legal exposure during judicial proceedings (Hartono, 2020).

The primary legislative anchor for combating human trafficking nationally provides a comprehensive penal framework for perpetrators, yet it predates the modern era of ubiquitous smartphone adoption and algorithmic recruitment (*Undang-Undang Nomor 21 Tahun 2007*). The statute establishes severe criminal penalties for individuals who recruit, transport, harbor, or receive persons by means of threat, force, coercion, or fraud for the purpose of exploitation (Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia, 2007). However, its enforcement mechanisms remain anchored in physical paradigms of control, offering limited guidance on prosecuting remote, digital orchestrators who never physically interact with their victims (Kusumaningtias, 2020). Bridging this statutory gap requires a formal reinterpretation or legislative amendment to explicitly recognize digital coercion and virtual domination as legal equivalents of physical force (Dewi, 2022).

Complementing anti-trafficking legislation, the legal regime governing electronic information and transactions plays a pivotal role in regulating the digital spaces where recruitment and communication occur (*Undang-Undang Nomor 19 Tahun 2016*). This framework criminalizes the distribution of prohibited electronic content, including online human trafficking facilitation, fraudulent job advertisements, and the dissemination of sexually explicit material involving minors (*Presiden Republik Indonesia, 2016*). Despite these prohibitions, law enforcement agencies frequently struggle with the technical complexities of tracing anonymous digital actors, identifying decentralized server locations, and executing lawful interceptions across cross-border network architectures without violating privacy rights or procedural due process mandates (Lestari, 2020).

The enforcement of electronic transaction regulations in trafficking cases is further complicated by the ambiguous legal status and liability thresholds of digital intermediaries and social media platforms (Wibowo, 2020). Unlike traditional broadcasting media, internet service providers and platform operators often claim safe harbor protections, arguing they act merely as neutral conduits for user-generated content rather than active participants in criminal enterprises

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
**(Frenky Manurung)**

**LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

(Nugroho, 2021). However, empirical evidence demonstrates that algorithmic recommendation systems and lax content moderation practices frequently amplify predatory recruitment advertisements, inadvertently assisting traffickers in maximizing their reach among vulnerable demographics (Indah, 2023). Establishing stricter due diligence and proactive threat-reporting obligations for platform operators is therefore an indispensable component of any effective domestic reform strategy (Yani, 2020).

In response to the alarming escalation of technology-facilitated sexual violence and exploitation against minors, recent legislative reforms have introduced heightened protective standards and specialized investigative authorities (*Undang-Undang Nomor 12 Tahun 2022*). These modern statutes criminalize a broader spectrum of digital offenses, including the production, distribution, and possession of child sexual abuse material, as well as online grooming and non-consensual digital exposure (Indah, 2023). Furthermore, the legislation introduces enhanced victim-protection mechanisms, mandated psychological rehabilitation, and expanded restitution rights (Rahman, 2020). Nevertheless, the practical implementation of these provisions remains hindered by a persistent shortage of specialized digital forensics personnel within local law enforcement units (Setiawan, 2020).

Investigating cyber-facilitated human trafficking demands highly specialized technical capabilities, secure digital forensics laboratories, and seamless inter-agency coordination between cybercrime units and anti-trafficking task forces (Hartono, 2020). Local police departments frequently lack the advanced software tools required to extract, decrypt, and authenticate volatile electronic evidence from encrypted messaging applications and foreign cloud storage providers (Azizah, 2021). Moreover, bureaucratic friction between telecommunications regulatory bodies and criminal justice agencies often results in critical delays when executing lawful data preservation orders and obtaining subscriber identification records from multinational technology corporations (Setiawan, 2020).

The formulation of an adaptive cyber law framework necessitates a structural departure from reactive penalization toward proactive, risk-based regulatory models that anticipate technological evolution (Prasetyo, 2020). By institutionalizing regular consultative mechanisms between legal scholars, cybersecurity experts, civil society organizations, and technology industry representatives, the state can continuously evaluate emerging exploitation vectors, such as generative artificial intelligence and metaverse environments (Hartono, 2020). This collaborative ecosystem ensures that legislative amendments and regulatory guidelines remain agile, addressing novel criminal methodologies before they become deeply entrenched within the digital economy (Azizah, 2021).

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
(Frenky Manurung)

**LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

Judicial capacity building and specialized training for prosecutors and judges are equally critical to ensuring that complex digital evidence is correctly interpreted and legally weighted during criminal trials (Santoso, 2021). Many judicial officers lack formal technical literacy regarding digital forensics, cryptocurrency tracking, and metadata analysis, leading to inconsistent sentencing outcomes or the inadvertent dismissal of crucial electronic evidence (Santoso, 2021). Establishing specialized cyber-trafficking chambers within the judicial system, supported by certified technical expert witnesses, would significantly enhance the quality of jurisprudence and ensure that perpetrators receive proportionate, deterrent penalties for their crimes (Azizah, 2021).

Ultimately, the efficacy of domestic adaptive cyber law reforms depends heavily on the active engagement of empowered civil society organizations and community-based digital literacy initiatives (Rahman, 2020). Public awareness campaigns targeting marginalized communities, particularly women and youth in economically depressed regions, can drastically reduce susceptibility to online fraudulent employment scams and predatory grooming tactics (Indah, 2023). By fostering a digitally resilient society equipped with critical media literacy and clear reporting pathways, the state can simultaneously disrupt the supply chain of vulnerable victims and strengthen the collective defense against tech-facilitated human trafficking (Puspita Sari, 2021).

### **3.2. International Harmonization, Corporate Accountability, and Victim-Centric Adaptive Frameworks**

Building upon the domestic structural assessment, international harmonization and cross-border legal cooperation represent indispensable pillars in combating the inherently transnational nature of technology-facilitated human trafficking (Miller, 2021). Because cyber syndicates routinely operate infrastructure, server farms, and financial accounts across multiple foreign jurisdictions, domestic law enforcement agencies are fundamentally constrained by rigid territorial boundaries (Schmidt, 2020). Enhancing the adaptive cyber law framework requires the systematic modernization of mutual legal assistance treaties and extradition protocols to facilitate the instantaneous preservation, transfer, and admissibility of electronic evidence across international borders without encountering bureaucratic impasses (Silva, 2020).

The integration of human rights standards into the architecture of adaptive cyber law ensures that state surveillance powers and corporate data-monitoring mandates do not disproportionately infringe upon individual privacy and freedom of expression (Lestari, 2020). Cybersecurity measures designed to intercept illicit trafficking networks must be carefully balanced against constitutional protections safeguarding private communications and personal

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
**(Frenky Manurung)**

**LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

data integrity (Lestari, 2020). Legislative safeguards must mandate stringent judicial authorization for all investigative digital intrusions, establishing transparent oversight mechanisms to prevent the misuse of anti-trafficking powers for political suppression or unwarranted mass surveillance of civilian populations (Silva, 2020).

National strategic policies addressing child protection within the digital ecosystem provide an essential operational roadmap for multi-sectoral coordination and resource allocation among government ministries (*Peraturan Menteri Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Nomor 1 Tahun 2022*). These overarching policy guidelines emphasize the establishment of specialized hotlines, rapid-response crisis intervention units, and coordinated rescue protocols involving social services, law enforcement, and educational institutions (*Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak, 2022*). However, the operational success of these national strategies frequently falters due to decentralized bureaucratic execution, chronic underfunding of regional support shelters, and a lack of standardized data-sharing protocols among frontline protection agencies (Rahman, 2020).

The corporate governance of technology enterprises operating within national jurisdiction must be anchored in mandatory human rights due diligence frameworks specifically tailored to mitigate trafficking risks (Wibowo, 2020). Regulatory authorities should require large technology platforms to conduct routine algorithmic impact assessments, evaluating how their content distribution systems, recommendation engines, and chat functionalities might inadvertently facilitate predatory recruitment (Yani, 2020). Companies that fail to implement reasonable safety measures or willfully ignore verified trafficking activities on their platforms should face severe administrative sanctions, substantial financial penalties, and direct corporate accountability under criminal law (Nugroho, 2021).

Financial intelligence units play a critical, yet frequently underutilized, role in disrupting the economic engine of technology-facilitated human trafficking syndicates (Al-Hassan, 2019). Because modern exploitation operations generate substantial illicit revenues laundered through complex digital channels, cryptocurrencies, and shell companies, financial tracking is often the most effective method for identifying high-level organizers (O'Connor, 2020). Adaptive cyber laws must empower financial investigators to mandate real-time transaction monitoring, freezing orders on suspicious virtual asset wallets, and seamless data exchange between banking institutions and specialized law enforcement cyber units (Miller, 2021).

Concluding the comprehensive reform trajectory, the establishment of an enhanced adaptive cyber law framework requires unwavering political will, robust international alliances, and a steadfast commitment to human-centric digital governance (Silva, 2020). By harmonizing

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
(Frenky Manurung)

**LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

domestic statutory definitions with international legal standards, holding digital intermediaries strictly accountable for platform safety, prioritizing specialized victim remediation, and fostering continuous inter-disciplinary collaboration, the state can construct a resilient defense (Miller, 2021). This dynamic regulatory architecture not only dismantles the digital infrastructure of modern traffickers but also restores safety, dignity, and justice to women and children navigating the modern digital landscape (O'Connor, 2020).

#### 4. Conclusion

The evolution of transnational organized crime into the digital sphere has exposed critical vulnerabilities in static statutory frameworks, demonstrating that conventional, physically anchored legal mechanisms are structurally inadequate for combating technology-facilitated human trafficking against women and children. As established through domestic statutory evaluations and international comparative insights, addressing this crisis necessitates an immediate paradigm shift toward an enhanced adaptive cyber law framework. Such a framework bridges legislative gaps by explicitly criminalizing digital grooming, remote virtual coercion, and algorithmic exploitation, thereby aligning legal definitions with contemporary technological realities (Prasetyo, 2020). Furthermore, embedding mandatory human rights due diligence and strict accountability measures for digital intermediaries ensures that technology corporations can no longer evade liability under outdated safe harbor doctrines (Wibowo, 2020). Ultimately, safeguarding vulnerable populations requires a cohesive, multi-stakeholder architecture that harmonizes domestic legal reforms with streamlined cross-border cooperation, robust financial intelligence tracking, and comprehensive, victim-centric digital remediation rights (Miller, 2021).

#### 5. References

##### **Journals**

- Al-Hassan, Amina. Digital Forensics and Cyber Criminology in Transnational Investigations. *Journal of digital forensics, security and law*, Vol. 14, No. 3, 2019.
- Azizah, Siti Nur. Analisis Yuridis Penanggulangan Kejahatan Siber di Indonesia. *Jurnal Masalah-Masalah Hukum*, Vol. 48, No. 2, 2021.
- Dewi, Ratna. Perlindungan Hukum Korban Perdagangan Orang Melalui Media Elektronik. *Jurnal Bina Mulia Hukum*, Vol. 6, No. 1, 2022.
- Hartono, Bambang. Rekonstruksi Hukum Siber dalam Menghadapi Kejahatan Terorganisir Lintas Batas. *Jurnal Hukum Ius Quia Iustum*, Vol. 27, No. 3, 2020.
- Indah, Maya. Tantangan Penegakan Hukum Terhadap Eksploitasi Seksual Anak Berbasis Daring. *Jurnal Independent*, Vol. 8, No. 2, 2023.

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
(Frenky Manurung)

### LICS 2026

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

- Jenkins, Sarah. The Evolution of Cyber Criminology and Digital Trafficking Networks. *International Journal of Cyber Criminology*, Vol. 13, No. 1, 2019.
- Kusumaningtias, Rini. Evaluasi Kebijakan Kriminalisasi Perdagangan Orang di Era Digital. *Jurnal Hukum dan Peradilan*, Vol. 9, No. 1, 2020.
- Lestari, Mega. Harmonisasi Regulasi Perlindungan Data Pribadi dan Penegakan Hukum Siber. *Jurnal Konstitusi*, Vol. 17, No. 4, 2020.
- Mei-Ling, Zhou. Cross-Border Cybercrime and Human Trafficking in East Asia. *Asian Journal of Criminology*, Vol. 15, No. 2, 2020.
- Miller, David K. Cybersecurity and the Modern Regulatory State. *Cybersecurity and Law Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 1, 2021.
- Nugroho, Fajar. Pertanggungjawaban Pidana Korporasi dalam Kejahatan Siber. *Jurnal Hukum Samudra Keadilan*, Vol. 16, No. 1, 2021.
- O'Connor, Michael. Modern Slavery in the Digital Age: Exploitation Networks and Law Enforcement Responses. *Journal of Human Trafficking*, Vol. 6, No. 2, 2020.
- Prasetyo, Eko. Politik Hukum Pembaharuan Undang-Undang Informasi dan Transaksi Elektronik. *Jurnal Legislasi Indonesia*, Vol. 17, No. 3, 2020.
- Puspita Sari, Dwi. Efektivitas Penegakan Hukum Siber terhadap Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang. *Jurnal Media Hukum*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2021.
- Rahman, Zulkifli. Dinamika Regulasi Perlindungan Anak dalam Ruang Digital. *Jurnal Hukum Novelty*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 2020.
- Rostova, Elena. Adaptive Legal Frameworks for Emerging Technologies and Cyber Threats. *Computer Law & Security Review*, Vol. 37, No. 4, 2020.
- Santoso, Yudi. Analisis Yuridis Penggunaan Alat Bukti Elektronik dalam Perkara Pidana. *Jurnal Mimbar Hukum*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2021.
- Schmidt, Hans-Dieter. Transnational Organized Crime and Digital Facilitation in Europe. *European Journal on Criminal Policy and Research*, Vol. 26, No. 3, 2020.
- Setiawan, Hendra. Penegakan Hukum Siber Terpadu di Indonesia. *Jurnal RechtsVinding*, Vol. 9, No. 2, 2020.
- Silva, Carlos Eduardo. International Criminal Law and Digital Human Rights Violations. *International Criminal Law Review*, Vol. 20, No. 4, 2020.
- Vance, Robert. Empirical Methodologies in Socio-Legal Research on Cyber Crime. *Journal of Empirical Legal Studies*, Vol. 16, No. 3, 2019.
- Wibowo, Tri. Perlindungan Korporasi dan Tanggung Jawab Platform Digital. *Jurnal Dian Hukum*, Vol. 22, No. 1, 2020.
- Yani, Ahmad. Rekonstruksi Sistem Pertanggungjawaban Pidana Korporasi di Indonesia. *Jurnal Yustisia*, Vol. 9, No. 3, 2020.

Adaptive Cyber Law Reform in Addressing Technology ...  
(Frenky Manurung)

**LICS 2026**

**Topic:** *Strengthening Legal Frameworks for Combating Human Trafficking and Enhancing Protection of Women and Children*

**Books**

- Dewan Perwakilan Rakyat Republik Indonesia. (2007). *Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 21 Tahun 2007 tentang Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang*, Jakarta: Sekretariat Jenderal DPR RI.
- Kementerian Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak. (2022). *Peraturan Menteri Pemberdayaan Perempuan dan Perlindungan Anak Nomor 1 Tahun 2022 tentang Pedoman Layanan Perlindungan Anak di Ruang Digital*, Jakarta: Kementerian PPPA.
- Pemerintah Republik Indonesia. (2008). *Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia Nomor 9 Tahun 2008 tentang Tata Cara Rehabilitasi Korban Tindak Pidana Perdagangan Orang*, Jakarta: Sekretariat Negara.
- Presiden Republik Indonesia. (2016). *Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 19 Tahun 2016 tentang Perubahan atas Undang-Undang Nomor 11 Tahun 2008 tentang Informasi dan Transaksi Elektronik*, Jakarta: Sekretariat Negara.

**Regulation:**

- Criminal Code (Law Number 1 of 2023).
- Regulation of the Minister of Women's Empowerment and Child Protection Number 1 of 2022 concerning Guidelines for Child Protection Services in the Digital Space.
- Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 9 of 2008 concerning Procedures for the Rehabilitation of Victims of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons.
- Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 12 of 2022 concerning the Crime of Sexual Violence.
- Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 19 of 2016 concerning Amendments to Law Number 11 of 2008 concerning Electronic Information and Transactions.
- Law of the Republic of Indonesia Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons.