Overseas and home students' perspectives towards the implementation of portfolio-based timedwriting technique in composition class

Muh Syafei^{1*}, Ana Cecillia Fajardo², Rusiana¹, Achmad Hilal Madjdi¹, Cherry Love B Montales²

¹The English Eduation Department, Universitas Muria Kudus, Kudus, Indonesia ²The Secondary Education Bachelor English Program, the Central Bicol State University of Agriculture, Pili, The Philippines

*Corresponding Author

Email: <u>muh.syafei@umk.ac.id</u>

Received: 8 March 2023 Revised: 30 May 2023 Accepted: 14 August 2023 Published: 25 August 2023

Abstract

This inquiry addressed students' perspectives towards the implementation of the Portfolio-Based Timed-Writing Technique (PBTHT) under an international transfer credit (ICT) program at Universitas Muria Kudus. It is to answer the following questions: (1) What are the overseas students' perspectives towards the PBTHT implementation? (2) How do their perspectives compare to their home counterparts? Using narrative analysis, this study qualitatively revealed that PBTHT is a new technique for overseas students. Their perspectives show their composition strengths cover the use of proper grammar, spelling, and mutually supportive essay elements. Meanwhile, their weaknesses include the content and mechanics. Overseas and home students expressed different perspectives due to different experiences, abilities, and teaching processes in their respective countries. Both groups acknowledged that the PBTHT implementation help them with the improvement of their writing skills.

Keywords: Overseas Students; Reflection; Portfolio; Handwriting; Timed-Writing

INTRODUCTION

This investigation deals with the implementation of the Portfolio-Based Timed-Writing Technique (PBTHT) in *Essay Writing Class* at English Education Department of Universitas Muria Kudus (UMK) in the second batch of the international credit transfer (ICT) program. This student exchange program belongs to the program of *Freedom to Learn, an Independent Campus* organized by the Indonesian Directorate of Higher Education. This inquiry is to answer the following questions: (1) What are the Filipinos students' perspectives towards the PBTHT implementation? (2) How do their perspectives compare to their

home counterparts? Portfolio-Based Timed-Writing Technique (PBTHT) comprises steps of timed handwriting, retyping and self-evaluating the original handwritten compositions, doing reflections, making efforts for the betterment of the composition, and doing revisions in final term portfolio projects.

The participants had to compose essays that ideally consists of three main parts, i.e introductory paragraph with a thesis statement (comprising a topic and controlling ideas), a body with supporting paragraphs, and a conclusion or concluding paragraph (Oshima and Hogue, 2006). With different academic practices and experiences from those of home students, overseas students must have their reflections on PBTHT implementation and such information is still absent in the literature.

For learners of English with its key positions to encounter global life (Kamariah et al, 2018; Nguyen, 2021), writing skill is essential (Klimova, 2012; Listyani, 2019) and it might open a wider chance for students' success (Anastasiadou, 2010). PBTHT essentially and authentically presents a more student-centered and process-based writing technique. Technically PBTHT provides students a time limit to initially compose an essay with a predetermined topic with handwriting. PBTHT motivated students to achieve their best composing skills (Syafei et al, 2020) and more motivated EFL students developed a greater composing proficiency (Cahyono & Rahayu, 2020).

Portfolio-Based Timed-Handwriting Technique (PBTHT)

The Portfolio-Based Timed-Handwriting Technique (PBTHT) involves typical elements, i.e. time limit, assigned topic, without help, handwriting, and fair play mode. During timed-handwriting practice, students have no access to any assistance from peers, instructors, notes, books, and (smart) gadgets. Timed compositions use handwriting mode which eliminates a copy-paste mode and secures authenticity and originality. A fair play mode among students during timed handwriting is highly maintained (Syafei, 2012, 2014). Practically no cheating happens during timed-writing practice. Timed handwriting mode in writing classes has been implemented at EED of UMK. Students' original handwritten outputs are collected, assessed, discussed, revised, and finally compiled in a writing portfolio (Lam, 2018; Syafei, 2012, 2021) serving as an EFL assessment alternative (Afrianto, 2018). The PBTHT combine the positive points of handwriting, timed-writing, and porfolio assessment. In the PBTHT, revisions, feedbcak, and partnership are allowed but they are administered only after handwriting session in which helps are stritcly forbidden.

Timed handwriting also improves one's mental agility, promotes thinking in the L2, develops students' confidence in composing abilities through practice, partnership, feedback, and revision (Ayotte, 2018). Rogers (2018) claimed that repeated timed writings promote sentence-writing fluency since fluency problems demand more cognitive efforts. The intervention entailed sentence instruction and frequency building to a performance criterion, a type of timed practice emphasizing fluency (Datchuk, 2016). Handwriting in PBTHT has some specific features such as being automatic (Berninger et al, 2010; Berninger, 2012) and brain-compatible (Silver, 2018). Handwriting also serves as a good

activity for ELT (Al-Ghabra, 2015; Lund, 2015, Mueller & Oppenheimer, 2014: Kiefer, 2015; Wiley & Brenda, 2021).

PBTHT technically adopts a timed-writing practice with a determined time length for students (Datchuk, 2016) which presents them with advantages for composing process (Stevenson, 2010; David, 2015: Pappamihiel et al, 2008). Timed writing in PBTHT maintained students' honesty and fairness (Syafei, 2010, 2012, 2014, Syafei et al, 2019, 2020, 2021; Lau, 2013: Ayotte, 2018). Portfolio procedure, a major aspect in PBTHT, to teach writing indicated successful results (Shokraie & Tabrizi, 2016). Portfolio assessment affected the enhancement of content, organization, vocabulary, grammar, and mechanics (Syafei, et al, 2020; Efendi et al, 2017; Prastikawati et al, 2016; Dahviyanti & Iskandar, 2019).

Using portfolio, which is the core element of PBTHT, is commonly valuable to enhance students' writing (Lam, 2018; Khodashenas & Rakhshi, 2017;) through process-oriented and self-assessed composition (; Omidi & Yarahmadzehi, 2016). More studies also indicated the successful practice of portfolio assessment (Zafiropoulou & Darra, 2019; Farahian & Avarzamani, 2018;). Portfolio relevantly served as accepted assessment practice during the pandemic situation (Syafei, et. al, 2021). Portfolio assessment mostly improved students' organizational aspects of composition (Ghoorchaei et al., 2010). Berliana et al. (2013) and Tabatabaei & Assefi (2012) indicated that portfolio assessment increased composing skills of students. The portfolio assessment technique also gives a significant positive effect on EFL learners' vocabulary (Omidi & Yarahmedzehi, 2016).

PBTHT combines manually timed and handwritten initial compositions without assistance to students and digitally computerized and revised compositions (Syafei, 2014). It secures students' output of PBTHT composition to be more student-centered, authentic, and process-based. Philosophically, PBTHT follows constructivism that advocates a shift from product-oriented to process-oriented writing assessment as well as instructor-oriented to learner-oriented strategies (Schreurs & Dumbraveanu, 2014; Emiliana, 2017). Procedures in PBTHT also adapt process-oriented learning for particular skills and strategies (Laksmi, 2006; Agesta & Cahyono, 2017; Ferdiansyah et al., 2020). Portfolio projects along with their positive washback (Syafei, 2012) and self-assessment benefits (Purwanti, 2015) belong to process-oriented assessment.

METHOD

Design

In the context of improving students' writing skill, this study employed a narrative inquiry (Clandinin & Huber, 2010; Creswell, 2009; Wijayatilake, 2012; Listiyanto & Fauzi, 2016; Chan, 2017) in students' perspectives toward PBTHT implementation. Examining students' complete digital portfolio of *Essay Writing* class, this study reflectively uncovered the written narrations of five CBSUA student participants during the application of PBTHT at EED of UMK.The 90 minute online classes involving CBSUA and UMK students took place fourteen times. Before the session the students got theoretical briefing on how to

compose a good essay. The topic for the composition was provided just at the beginning of the timed-handwriting sessions.

The participants provided their informed consent in that their completed portfolios could serve as data sources in addition to final evaluation of the course. They took part intensively in *Essay Writing Course* implementing PBTHT. They did all steps of handwritten composition, retyping, reflection, betterment of the composition, analysis-evaluation, and revisions. The participants confirmed and verified the narrations through their portfolios of PBTHT for triangulation and trustworthiness. Permission to conduct this investigation had also been issued by the faculty administrator.

Data Source and Collection

This investigation, which took place via online classes during the Covid-19 pandemic, collected 'the naturally occurring data' (Isingoma, 2021) of reflective narrations of five overseas students on their experience, weakness, strength, efforts, and initial analysis in their computerized PBTHT projects which hold the following features of students' works: a. Scanning/photoing the original handwritten timed composition which must be sent to the lecturer via WA in not more than two minutes. b. Retyping handwritten composition in word format for ease of analyses, checks, and revisions. c. Doing Reflection: (1) experiences while doing timed handwriting. (2) Weakness of the original paragraph. (3) Strengths of the original paragraphs. d. Presenting narrations of efforts to improve the original handwritten essays. e. Doing self-evaluation with available scoring rubrics and providing word count. The essay scoring rubrics cover the essay format, mechanics, content, organization, and grammar, and f. Completing revisions by addressing all feedbacks based on the scoring rubrics elements, self-check, peer check, group check, and instructor/lecturer.

Data Analysis

A qualitative analysis was administered by identifying and classifying their perspectives, particularly those associated with the PBTHT implementation (Syafei, 2012). Initial data reduction was administered by selecting, simplifying, and transforming the narrative data without altering the content and meaning of the narrative protocols from students' PBTHT projects. Next, data display to structure and manage information was completed to discover valid information. Highlighting and categorizing essential points were administered accordingly. Using a holistic-content analysis without any preliminary categories (Zakarias, 2010), the participants' reflections were classified. Based on each participant's narrations, the researchers scrutinized them by using "categorical-content analysis" (Lieblich et al, 1998) to find out their reflections, efforts to improve their composition, and self-evaluation of their initial handwritten essays. Finally, a conclusion over the narrative data was achieved by attending the data several times to secure a valid one. The researcher carefully identified the students' perspectives by reading their portfolio over and over again to make sure that the researcher's conclusion truly reflected the students' perpectives. Direct clarifications to the students were done when necessary.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The narrative inquiry shows the following results of overseas students' reflections and their comparison with their home counterparts towards the PBTHT implementation in the following.

Students' Perspectives towards the PBTHT Implementation

This section presents perspectives of overseas students (CBSUA) towards the PBTHT implementation. They all admitted that it was their very first writing experience under time pressure that required it to be finished in just 30 minutes. For them, the PBTHT experience was new and unfamiliar. "It was my first experience to write a 600-words essay that was required to be finished in just 30 minutes. I was pushed to work under pressure. The whole experience is new to me" (CBSUA Student 2). In line with their first impression about the PBTHT activity, what they felt was also similar; feeling nervous and being pressured at the same time. Some of them also said they were surprised to write a composition in a limited time which gave them the rushed feeling and added pressure. "While waiting for the starting time, I didn't avoid feeling nervous and pressured at the same time. Panicking is my initial reaction as I grasped what we had to do. Writing an essay for a certain topic in 30 minutes sounds surreal at first, and I did not expect to write a substantial essay given that it is timed handwriting" (CBSUA Students 3, 4, and 5). Being new to this activity, a student thought his output was not very good. "Being a novice in this kind of activity is somehow one of the reasons why I think my output is not that too excellent" (CBSUA Student 3).

Most students experience difficulties are due to several factors, such as not being confident in using the words and sentences, not organizing their thoughts properly, and having difficulty recalling the right word to use in their sentences. Furthermore, they were worried because they were used to preparing themselves before writing an essay, such as looking for reference articles. "*I had a hard time recalling the right word to use in my sentences; that's why some meanings are unclear. Besides, I could hardly recall the words that would suit the meaning I want to convey. I was so rattled and wasn't able to organize my thoughts properly. And I am not used to creating an essay without reading related articles ahead" (CBSUA Students 1, 3, and 4).*

Additionally, they also expressed their experience as follows, "*The moment I run out of time, I knew I missed some salient points and failed to elaborate my ideas. Knowing that I only have limited time to write made me worry about the content of the essay that I am writing since I have always been critical of what I write" (CBSUA Students 3, 4, and 5). Surprisingly, as time went by, they felt get used to this activity and slowly understood how it worked. They considered PBTHT as a challenge and an opportunity to go beyond their limitations. "...as soon as I start writing some words, the ideas flowed naturally. I still consider this as a challenge and an opportunity for me to go beyond my limitations. Overall, it was challenging but fun at the same time" (CBSUA Students 1, 4, and 5).*

They acknowledged detecting weaknesses in their writing. The first weakness is the grammar and sentence formation stated by a student. "*There are few mistakes on the use of verb tenses, I was not able to comply with the subject-verb agreement. There are wrong spelling words, and there are lapses in*

the sentence formation" (CBSUA Student 1). Moreover, they also revealed, "One of its weaknesses is in technicalities. My indentions after each line are not on the same length which is not necessary for writing an essay" (CBSUA Student 3).

The subsequent weakness which was the most addressed is the content. Because there was not sufficient time for preparation, some were not satisfied with the results and felt that their content was not credible. Furthermore, they said that the essay could confuse its readers since the overall thought was not complete. The ideas were scattered around, and the statements were not wellsupported, which made the essay lose its impact upon reading. "I am not satisfied with the flow of thoughts and their impact. Considering that there's not ample time for preparation given, my content is not that credible since related articles which could serve as evidence aren't encouraged. The essay could confuse its readers since the overall thought is not complete" (CBSUA Students 1 and 3). "The ideas are all scattered around. The statements are not wellsupported that made the essay lost its impact upon reading" (CBSUA Student 4).

Most students also claimed their essays did not initially reflect a characteristic of a good essay. They assumed they had failed to create an introduction part containing a clear thesis statement and could not properly elaborate on the main idea. In addition, they also felt that their original essay was too short and did not reflect a reasonable conclusion. "*The introduction lacks with strong thesis statement. I have failed to recognize the importance of creating an introduction to hook the readers through the first few sentences. Also, the body of most of my essay did not properly elaborate on the main idea and lacked the necessary content. My original compositions were too short and did not end in a good concluding manner" (CBSUA Student 2).*

Dealing with, the third strength of their essay, it was found that the student experienced fewer grammatical errors and use the proper capitalization words. The improvement were superficially observed from week to week of the PBTHT administration. See Appendix 1 Besides, the students have used the punctuation marks correctly, and they are quite sure of how they use morphemes and arrange each sentence into a proper sentence structure. "*Thankfully, I have fewer grammatical errors spotted, and I was able to consider the proper capitalization of words*" (CBSUA Student 4). "*I am partially confident that the way I utilized morphemes and arranged each sentence is following proper sentence structure. I also utilized punctuations and placed them properly, which made my output understandable*" (CBSUA Students 1 and 3).

Moreover, they stated the main point in every paragraph was linked well to the thesis statement of their essay. Also, the essay was supported by details found in the body parts. The supporting facts were well-organized, and the details could support the main points. "*The essay conveys a meaning that is supported by the details which can be found in its body. The main points are connected to the thesis statement of the essay. Facts are well-organized. Details are well-organized to support the main points*" (CBSUA Students 1 and 3). The last but not least, the essay also contained some important ideas that made it still relevant to the given topic. "*The essay also contains some important ideas about the topic. In addition, the essay as a whole is still relevant to the given topic*" (CBSUA Student 4).

The next point is about the efforts. Students expressed several answers regarding the efforts they made during the implementation of PBTHT. The first point is their effort to correct grammar and sentence formation errors. "I have to work on improving my use of the subject-verb agreement and the verb tenses. I have to avoid the mistakes I committed to using verb tenses. I have to improve my sentence formation, and I have to expand my vocabulary range" (CBSUA Student 1). Students' second effort was to read more readings and look for articles that support their essay so the resulting essay could be more credible. "One of the efforts I should make to better my essay is through reading it again and again. Through this, I'll become more aware of its mistakes either in grammar, content, or other technicalities. Then, using the internet to search for related articles and support each claim makes it more credible for the readers" (CBSUA Student 3). The next effort is to seek additional knowledge from traditional and digital sources such as using a dictionary, using grammar-related apps for verification and enhancement, or asking their peers for feedback to further establish the quality of the essay. "Lastly, I also asked my colleagues for them to check my output. It is also important to use grammar-related apps for verification and enhancement of terms used to avoid redundancy" (CBSUA Students 3 and 4).

From the results of scoring rubrics and revisions, it was seen that their revision essays have increased in terms of the number of words. Most all CBSUA students thought their original essays still needed improvement in some aspects. Despite an increase in the number of words after revision, they expressed concerns about the minimum words requirement for original handwritten composition. "In terms of word count, I'm afraid I was not able to meet the minimum words there is. A revision is a must to at least suffice the low number of words. When it comes to the rubrics, the original composition lacks in some aspects" (CBSUA Student 4). Please kindly see the appendix for a partial illustration of a PBTHT implementation episode.

The above narrative findings show that their reflections of PBTHT have addressed organized procedures of timing, handwriting, retyping, doing reflection, making efforts to improve the composition, conducting analysis and evaluation of the original handwritten composition, and making revisions for the best version. PBTHT supports the idea that students should be provided with direct feedback and various delivery technique in learning writing (Soedjatmiko & Taloko, 2003), and also process-based approach made students express their ideas more confidently (Laksmi, 2006). PBTHT also conforms with Hadiyanto (2019) to improve students' creative and imaginative capacity. The use of portfolio assessment is in agreement with Lua and Kim (2021) in that varied assessment tools can be administered to measure L2 writing procedures and outputs.

Overseas students emphasized their experience in adapting to PBTHT for the very first time. Various weaknesses of their essays cover grammar, content, and mechanics. Meanwhile, several strengths include the use of more proper grammar, spelling, and mutually supportive essay parts. They tried to improve their essays over time.

Comparison of Overseas and Home Students' Perspectives

There are two participating groups in the essay writing class applying PBTHT: the UMK group and CBSUA. From the collected data, it was found that the reflections of the two were different yet similar in some aspects. The differences and similarities are summarized as follows.

Initially, the UMK participants revealed that this was not the first time they had experienced the PBTHT activity. Previously, they also got the PBTHT for Paragraph Writing course in the previous semester. Since they had received a similar course, they stated this activity was pretty familiar for them. Furthermore, the UMK group said that the implementation of the PBTHT was quite a thrilling moment. "It was a nervous time, although it was not my first time writing the essay. In semester 2, I have a paragraph-writing course. It is quite helpful in this essay-writing course. Since I had received a similar course, I thought I was pretty familiar with this activity" (UMK Students 1, 2, and 4). In contrast, the CBSUA group exposed that PBTHT was their very first time to write an essay in such a short time. For them, the whole process was something new and unfamiliar. It was not surprising that they experienced panic and stress simultaneously, especially since they did not have the slightest similar experience. "It was my first experience to write a 600-words essay that was required to be finished in just 30 minutes. I was pushed to work under pressure. The whole experience is new to me" (CBSUA Student 2). "I didn't avoid feeling nervous and pressured at the same time. Panicking is my initial reaction as I grasped what we had to do. Writing an essay for a certain topic in 30 minutes sounds surreal at first, and I did not expect to write a substantial essay given that it is timed handwriting" (CBSUA Students 3, 4, and 5).

Other differences covered the difficulties experienced by both groups. The majority of the UMK group indicated that their main problem was to understand the given title. "I didn't understand the meaning of the title given at that time. I was really sad and didn't know what the title meant then what topics should I raise" (UMK Student 3). As opposed to the CBSUA group, the difficulty they face was that they were afraid about the content because some were very critical of writing. "I was so rattled and wasn't able to organize my thoughts properly. And I am not used to creating an essay without reading related articles ahead" (CBSUA Students 1, 3, and 4).

However, apart from the differences expressed in the experience, there is one similarity, both groups were concerned with the words and the ideas they had to write. "When I wrote this composition, I had a little trouble. There are many words in my mind, but I'm confused about how to pour it into written form, I'm a bit difficult to compose sentences" (UMK Student 2). "The moment I run out of time, I knew I missed some salient points and failed to elaborate my ideas" (CBSUA Students 3, 4, and 5).

The second comparison is about the weakness in their essay composition. For the UMK group, there were two statements for weakness. The first point indicated that these weaknesses included the wrong spelling or typos, grammatical errors, and improper punctuation. "*I get some typo in my essay when I retype it in computerizing. I wrote the wrong spelling word. The essay has not used articles (a the) and verb tenses. The grammar is incorrect, information is*

imperfect and incomplete, and error in using punctuation" (All UMK Students). The second point was the difficulty of combining ideas between paragraphs, mentioning too many reasons, and using a monotonous passage that makes the essay look boring. "*I also repeated the same idea, and it is boring to read*" (UMK Student 4).

Meanwhile, for the CBSUA group, there were three experiences regarding weakness. The first weakness included the grammar, sentence formation, and technicalities stated by the students. "There are few mistakes on the use of verb tenses. There are wrong spelling words, and there are lapses in sentence formation. One of its weaknesses is in technicalities. My indentions after each line are not on the same length" (CBSUA Students 1 and 3). The second weakness was about the content. "Considering that there's no ample time of preparation given, my content is not that credible since related articles which could serve as evidence isn't encouraged. The essay could confuse its readers since the overall thought is not complete" (CBSUA Students 1 and 3). The last weakness narrated was that their essays did not reflect a characteristic of a good essay. "The introduction lacks with strong thesis statement. I have failed to recognize the importance of creating an introduction to hook the readers through the first few sentences. Also, the body of most of my essay did not properly elaborate on the main idea and lacked the necessary content" (CBSUA student 2).

Despite the differences, both groups indicated that they were quite concerned about grammar, spelling, and sentence formation errors in their writing. The third comparison is about the strength of their essay composition. The same as weakness, there were some tendencies of different answers from the two groups. For the UMK group, there are three trends in strength. The first trend, their topic fits the assignment well and was engaging because it had weight content with thought and care. "The essay fits the assignment, is interesting to read, motivates the readers, and has a weight content to read. It consists of thought and care. Also, my essay can motivate the reader to practice the effort I had been sharing" (UMK Students 1, 2, 4, and 5). The second trend was the students used more expressions and did not use monotonous words and terms. "The strength of my essay maybe, the way I use more expressions in my writing. I try to avoid monotonous words and terms to make them accessible and understandable" (UMK Student 4). While the third trend was their handwriting was neat and easy to read. "My handwriting is very neat and easy to read, not cluttered and best impressed of all my writing he was above" (UMK Student 5).

On the other hand, the CBSUA group had three different statements about strengths in their composition. First, the students had fewer grammatical errors and used the proper capitalization words. Besides that, the students used the punctuation marks and morphemes correctly, and they arranged each sentence into an appropriate sentence structure. "*Thankfully, I have fewer grammatical errors spotted, and I was able to consider the proper capitalization of words*" (CBSUA Student 4). "*I am partially confident that the way I utilized morphemes and arranged each sentence is following proper sentence structure. I also utilized punctuations and placed them properly*" (CBSUA Students 1 and 3). Moreover, they stated the main point in every paragraph was linked well to the thesis statement of their essay. "*The essay conveys a meaning that is supported by the*

details which can be found in its body. The main points are connected to the thesis statement of the essay" (CBSUA Students 1 and 3). The third statement was that their essay contains some essential ideas that were still relevant to the given topic. "The essay also contains some important ideas about the topic. In addition, the essay as a whole is still relevant to the given topic" (CBSUA Student 4).

The fourth comparison addresses the efforts to improve the essay. Both groups from UMK and CBSUA had the same opinion about the efforts in PBTHT. The first efforts made by the two groups were they double-checked or at least did the revision twice. "*My efforts revise at least twice for developing my essay. I read my revision over and over again to see if it is good enough or not*" (UMK Students 1 and 4). "*I have to avoid the mistakes I committed to using verb tenses. I have to improve my sentence formation, and I have to expand my vocabulary range*" (CBSUA Student 1).

The second effort was to read more readings and look for articles to support their essay. "I look for some inspiration through Quora and apply it to my essay. I read several articles on how to improve our writing skills. I also watched a few posts on Instagram about writing advice" (UMK Student 4). "One of the efforts I should make to better my essay is through reading it again and again. Then, using the internet to search for related articles and support each claim makes it more credible for the readers" (CBSUA Student 3).

The third effort was to seek additional knowledge from traditional and digital sources such as using a dictionary, grammar-related apps for verification and enhancement, or asking their peers. "*I use the dictionaries (Google translate, Kamusku application, and Merriam-Webster) to look for the meaning of some words that I don't know, recheck my grammar with Grammarly. To fix it, I need a point of view from 3 people besides me, like my new friends in class, they come from the Philippines to study with us" (All UMK Students). "Lastly, I also asked my colleagues for them to check my output. It is also important to use grammar-related apps for verification and enhancement of terms used to avoid redundancy" (CBSUA Students 3 and 4).*

The narrative voices from both groups indicate that, based on results of scoring rubrics and revisions, the revision essays of both groups have increased in terms of the number of words and self-scoring over their compositions.

Home and overseas students have several different yet similar reflections. These differences were narratively caused by several factors, such as experience, implementation of the teaching process in their respective country, and variability in students' ability.

PBTHT for both home and overseas students in writing accomplishments of drafting, receiving feedback from peers or instructors, and performing revisions (Hasan & Akhnan, 2010). PBTHT similarly suggested planning, drafting, editing (reflecting and revising), and writing the final version. This product-oriented process for both participating groups did reflect the comprehensiveness of their writing process. Students' reflections on PBTHT also support reflective procedure promotion in writing development (Ong, et al, 2021). The findings are also in line with Brown (2004) and Johnson, et al (2012) who supported PBTHT in that the progression of composition always involves prewriting, drafting, revising, editing, and publishing. The process writing approach showed an effective process writing approach towards the students' writing skills. PBTHT is beneficial for both groups for EFLwriting is a complex language skill to master for students. PBTH required students not only to write well-organized compositions with the appropriate format, mechanics, content, organization, and grammar but also to give them a chance to improve and revise the composition for their best version. PBHT is also in line with a demonstration of cooperative learning techniques (Yuliasri, 2014).

CONCLUSION

The perspectives of overseas students in the implementation of PBTHT are qualitatively diverse. They emphasized that PBTHT implementation was the very first time experience for them. Various weaknesses of their essays are expressed in terms of grammar, content, and mechanics. Several strengths are mentioned, such as the use of more proper grammar, spelling, and mutually supportive essay parts. They tried to improve their essays over time. The results show that the overseas students were trying to adapt and follow the flow of activities during the PBTHT implementation. Home and overseas students have several different yet similar statements. Even though they have differences, it does not mean that one group is better or worse than another. These differences could be caused by several factors, such as previous experience with PBTHT, implementation of the teaching process in their respective country, and a variety of students' abilities. For both home and overseas participating students, the reflective aspects in PBTHT implementation are in agreement with Ong et al (2021) in that for further professional growth teacher educators should discover a dialogic or collaborative mindset. The findings suggest that PBTHT was welcomed by both home and overseas students for the writing process. Both groups narratively agreed that their essays can be improved in the future with PBTHT implementation. Further studies should address wider participants and settings.

AUTHOR STATEMENT

Syafei: project initiation, abstract composition, introduction, method, data collection and analysis, results, manuscript submission, corresponding author. **Fajardo**: data collection, draft revision, manuscript proofreading, editing **Rusiana**; discussion, conclusion, reference, proofreading, editing. **Madjdi**: Supervision, Discussion, conclusion, proofreading, editing. **Montales**: Supervision, discussion, concludion, references, manuscript proofreading, editing, editing.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authorthanks the English Education Department of Universitas Muria Kudus (EED of UMK) of Indonesia hosting the International Credit Transfer (ICT) Program for providing support and access for this research. Big thanks go to participating students of the *Essay Writing* class from EED of UMK and those of the Secondary Education Bachelor English Program of Central Bicol State University of Agriculture (CBSUA) of the Philippines.

How to Cite (APA Style):

Syafei, M., Fajardo, A. C., Rusiana, R., Madjdi, A. H., & Montales, C. L. B. (2023). Overseas and home students' perspectives towards the implementation of portfolio-based timed-writing technique in composition class. *EduLite: Journal of English Education, Literature, and Culture, 8* (2), 263-279. http://dx.doi.org/10.30659/e.8.2.263-279

REFERENCES

- Afrianto, A. (2018). Challenges of Using Portfolio Assessment as an Alternative Assessment Method for Teaching English in Indonesian Schools. International Journal of Educational Best Practices (IJEB). 1(2). https://doi.org/10.31258/ijebp.v1n2.p106-114
- Agesta, S., Cahyono, B.Y. (2017). Effect of Process-Genre Approach on the Indonesian EFL Writing Achievement Across Personality. *International Journal of English Language and Linguistics Research*. 5(3), 39-48. <u>https://www.eajournals.org/wpcontent/uploads/Effect-of-Process-Genre-Approach-on-the-Indonesian-EFL-Writing-Achievement-Across-Personality.pdf</u>
- Anastasiadou, A. (2010). Implementing the process writing approach in the English language classroom: An innovation for the development of young learners' writing skills in the Greek state primary school. Thesis. The Aristotle University of Thessaloniki.

https://thesis.ekt.gr/thesisBookReader/id/25899#page/1/mode/2up

- Al-Ghabra, I. M. M. (2015). Handwriting: A Matter of Affairs. English Language Teaching; Vol. 8, No. 10; 2015. 1916-4750. http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/elt.v8n10p168
- Ayotte, S. (2018). *Timed-writing in the Foreign Language Classroom: Does practice make perfect?* The University of Montevallo. Introduction. http://crisolenguas.uprrp.edu/Articles/Timedwriting%20in%20the%20Foreign%20Lanfuage%20classroom.pdf
- Berliana, A., Saun, S., & Tiarina, Y. (2013). A portfolio-based writing assessment on students' ability in writing a descriptive text. *Journal of English Language Teaching*, 1(2), 333–340. https://doi.org/10.24036/jelt.v1i2.1969
- Berninger, V. (2012) Strengthening the Mind's Eye. The case for continued handwriting instruction in the 21st century. *Principal.* May/June 2012. http://www.azed.gov/special-education/files/2014/05/f2.9-article-minds-eye-handwriting.pdf
- Berninger, V., & Bounds, G. (2010). How Handwriting Trains the Brain. The Wall Street Journal. <u>Https://www.wsj.com/articles/SB1000142405274870463150457553193275492</u> 2518
- Brown, H. D. (2004). Language Assessment. Principles and Classroom Practice. Longman, Pearson Education, Inc.
- Cahyono, B. Y., & Rahayu, T. (2020). Motivation in Writing, Writing Proficiency, and Gender. *TEFLIN Journal*, 31(2). http://dx.doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v31i2/162-182020
- Chan, E. Y. (2017). Narrative Inquiry: A Dynamic Relationship between Culture, Language and Education. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 42(6). 22-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2017v42n6.2
- Clandinin, D. J. & Huber, J. (2010). Narrative inquiry. In B. McGraw, E. Baker & P. Peterson (Eds), International encyclopedia of education (3rd edition.). Elsevier. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-044894-7.01387-7
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approach.* SAGE Publications, Inc.

- Dahviyanti, Gita, N. W. & Iskandar. (2019). Using portfolio assessment to improve EFL students' expository-writing performance. *International Journal of Humanities and Innovation* (IJHI) 2(1), 1-12. http://eprints.unm.ac.id/id/eprint/12854
- Datchuk, S. M. (2016). A Direct Instruction and Precision Teaching Intervention to Improve the Sentence Construction of Middle School Students with Writing Difficulties. *The Jurnal of Special Education*. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022466916665588
- David, V. (2015). Impromptu Timed-Writing and Process-Based Timed-Writing Exams : Comparing Students' Performance and Investigating Students' and Raters' Perceptions. Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.
- Efendi, Z., Usman, B., & Muslem, A. (2017). Implementation of portfolioassessment in teaching English. *English Education Journal (EEJ)*, 8(2), 187–198. https://www.jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id/EEJ/article/download/7227/5939
- Emaliana, I. (2017). Teacher-centered or Student-centered Learning Approach to Promote Learning? Jurnal Sosial Humaniora. 10(2). Efendi, Z., Usman, B., & Muslem, A. (2017). Implementation of portfolioassessment in teaching English. English Education Journal (EEJ), 8(2), 187–198. https://www.jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id/EEJ/article/download/7227/5939
- Ferdiansyah, S., Widodo, P. H., Elyas, T (2020). Photovoice in the English as an Additional Language (EAL) Writing Classroom: No Need to Rush to Love Writing Because Love Will Grow with Time. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*, 17(1), 269-279. http://dx.doi.org/10.18823/asiatefl.2020.17.1.19.269
- Ghoorchaei, B., Tavakoli, M., & Ansari, D. N. (2010). The Impact of Portfolio Assessment On Iranian EFL Students 'Essay Writing: A Process-Oriented Approach. *GEMA Online*[™] Journal of Language Studies. 10, 35-51. http://journalarticle.ukm.my/2335/1/page1_21.pdf
- Hadiyanto, A K. (2019). Students' Collaborative Story Writing Project in an Extensive Reading Program. *TEFLIN Journal*, Volume 30, Number 2, July 2019 http://dx.doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v30i2/197-211
- Hasan, Md. and Akhand, M. M. (2010). Approaches to Writing in EFL/ ESL Context: Balancing Product and Process in Writing Class at Tertiary Level. *Journal of NELTA*, 15(1-2). https://www.nepjol.info/index.php/NELTA/article/download/4612/3823
- Isingoma, Bebwa. (2021). Politeness Strategies in Ugandan English: Making Requests and Responding to Thanks. *Brno Studies in English*. Volume 47, No. 1, 2021. <u>https://doi.org/10.5817/BSE2021-1-2</u>
- Johnson, M. D., Mercado, L., & Acevedo, A. (2012). The effect of planning subprocesses on L2 writing fluency, grammatical complexity, and lexical complexity. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. 21(3), 264-282, https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ976896
- Kamariah, A., Husain, D., Atmowardoyo, H., Salija, K. (2018). Developing Authenticbased Instructional Materials for Writing Skill. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 591-599, May 2018. http://dx.doi.org/10.17507/jltr.0903.19
- Khodashenas, M. R., Farahani, S. K., & Amouzegar, E. (2013). The effect of keeping a portfolio on the writing ability of advanced EFL learners. *International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World*, 4, 80-88. https://doi.org/10.24815/siele.v7i1.15169

- Kiefer, M., Schuler, S., Mayer, C., & Trumpp, N. M. (2015). Handwriting or Typewriting ? The Influence of Pen- or Keyboard-Based Writing Training on Reading and Writing Performance in Preschool Children. Advances in Cognitive Psychology, 11(4), 136–146. https://doi.org/10.5709/acp-0178-7
- Klimova, B. F. (2013). The Importance of Writing. *Paripex-Indian Journal of Research*. 2(1), 9-11, 10.15373/22501991/JAN2013/4
- Laksmi, E. D. (2006). Scaffolding Students Writing in EFL Class: Implementing Process Approach. *TEFLIN (Teaching English as a Foreign Language in Indonesia) Journal*, 17(2), http://journal.teflin.org/index.php/journal/article/view/66/62
- Lam, R. (2018). Portfolio Assessment for the Teaching and Learning of Writing. Springer Briefs in Education, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-1174-1_3
- Lau, A. (2013). Timed writing assessment as a measure of writing ability: A qualitative study. *Discussions*, 9(2). http://www.inquiriesjournal.com/a?id=798
- Lieblich, A., Tuval-Mashiach, R., & Zilber, T. (1998). Narrative research: Reading, analysis, and interpretation. Sage Publications.
- Listyani. (2019). The Essence of a Good Writing Teacher. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*. 16(4), 1396-1403. http://dx.doi.org/10.18823/asiatefl.2019.16.4.23.1396
- Listiyanto, R and Fauzi, A. (2016). A Narrative Analysis of an English Teacher's Experience in Using Prezi Presentation Software in Teaching Vocabulary. Proceeding: *The 2nd International Conference on Teacher Training and Education Sebelas Maret University*, 2(1), https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a8a4/6496b1d51ab82e91cf8b33b6f3cff2cfc42 d.pdf
- Lua, X., Kim, K. (2021). A Systematic Review of Collaborative Writing Implementation in K-12 Second Language Classrooms. *TEFLIN Journal*, 32(1), January 2021 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v32i1/50-71
- Lund, R. E. (2015). Handwriting as a tool for learning in ELT. *ELT Journal*, 70(1), 1–9. ELT Journal, https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/ccv048
- Mueller, P. A., & Oppenheimer, D. M. (2014). The pen is mightier than the keyboard : advantages of longhand over laptop note-taking. *Psychological Science*. 23(6). https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797614524581
- Nguyen, T. T. L., (2021). Learning EFL Writing in Vietnam: Voices from An Upper-Secondary School's Students. *The Journal of Asia TEFL*. 18(4), 1195-12. http://www.asiatefl.org/main/download_pdf.php?i=1007&c=1640747291&fn=18 _4_08.pdf
- Omidi, A., & Yarahmadzehi, N. (2016). The Impact of Portfolios and Journals on Iranian Pre-university Students' Vocabulary Learning. Theory and Practice in Language Studies, 6(7), 1403-1412, https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.17507/tpls.0607.11
- Ong, W A., Swanto, S., AlSaqqaf, A., Ong, J W. (2021). Promoting Reflective Practice via the Use of 5-Step Corpora Effective Model: A Case Study of East Malaysian ESL Pre-Service Teachers. 74 *TEFLIN Journal*, 32(1), January 2021 http://dx.doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v32i1/72-96
- Oshima, A, & Hogue, A. (2006). *Writing Academic English.* 263-300. Pearson Education.
- Pappamihiel, N. E., Nishimata, T., & Mihai, F. (2008). Timed writing and adult English-language learners : An investigation of first language use in invention

strategies, *Journal of Adolescent & Adult Literacy*, 51(5), 386–394. https://doi.org/10.1598/JAAL.51.5.2

- Prastikawati, E. F., Sophia, T. C., & Sodiq, J. (2016). Portfolio assessment's impact on writing ability of English Foreign Language (EFL) learners. Journal of Research & Method in Education, 6(6), 11-18. http://jurnal.unsyiah.ac.id/SiELE/article/view/15169
- Purwanti., T. T. (2016) Implementation of Self-Assessment in Writing Class: A Case Study at STBA LIA Jakarta. TEFLIN (Teaching of English as Foreign Language in Indonesia) Journal, 26(1), http://journal.teflin.org/index.php/journal/article/download/261/245
- Rodgers, D. (2018). Using Timed Practice with Repeated Writings to Promote Sentence-Writing Fluency. *Iowa Reading Research Center*. May 2018, https://iowareadingresearch.org/blog/teaching-writing-fluency-timed-practicepart-1
- Shokarie, S., Tabrizi, A. (2016). The effect of portfolio assessment on EFL learners' L2 writing performance. *Journal of Applied Linguistics and Language Research*, *3*(5), 321–331.

http://www.jallr.com/index.php/JALLR/article/download/462/pdf462

- Schreurs, J., Dumbraveanu. R. (2014). A Shift from Teacher-Centered to Learner-Centered Approach. International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (IJEP), 4(3). http://dx.doi.org/10.3991/ijep.v4i3.3395
- Silver, J. (2010). 9 Incredible Ways Writing by Hand Benefits our Bodies and Brains. *Little Things*. https://littlethings.com/lifestyle/benefits-of-writing-by-hand/2400336-4
- Soedjatmiko, W., Taloko, J L. (2003) Teaching Writing Using Electronic Portfolio in the Multimedia Lab at Widya Mandala Surabaya Catholic University. *TEFLIN Journal*. <u>Vol 14, No 2 (2003)</u>. http://dx.doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v14i2/264-278
- Stevenson, J. (2010). Stress and the Timed Writing Test in Typewriting Janet. Journal of Education for Business, (January 2015), 37–41. https://doi.org/10.1080/08832323.1975.10118086
- Syafei, M. (2010). Voluntarily Offline: Handwritten Assignments Still Do. A paper presented at *National Conference on Language in the Online and Offline World* in Petra Christian University (PCU) Surabaya on June 1 and 2, 2010 http://repository.petra.ac.id/17382/1/Publikasi1_04013_2697.pdf
- Syafei, M. (2012). Backwash Effects of Portfolio Assessment in Academic Writing Classes. *TEFLIN Journal*, 2(1), 15–30. https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.15639/teflinjournal.v23i2/206-221
- Syafei, M. (2014). Enhancing Students' Soft Skills through PWP (Pressure Writing Practice): A Reflection in a Writing Class. *ELTLT International Conference UNNES*. Semarang: UNNES. https://eprints.umk.ac.id/4461/2/daftar isi prosiding ELTLT 3.pdf
- Syafei, M. (2019). Students' Perception on the Application of Timed Writing Practice (TWP). *Prominent Journal*, 2(1). http://dx.doi.org/10.24176/pro.v2i1.3044
- Syafei, M., Mujiyanto, J., Yuliasri, I., & Pratama, P. (2020). Timed-Handwriting Technique (THT) to Increase Students' Writing Performance. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 29 (08), 477-481. <u>https://sersc.org/journals/index.php/IJAST/article/view/18762</u>

- Syafei, M., Mujiyanto, J., Yuliasri, I., & Pratama, P. (2021). Students' Perception of the Application of Portfolio Assessment during the COVID-19 Pandemic. AICoLiN (Academic International Conference on Literacy and Novelty). 2021, 60-70. https://knepublishing.com/index.php/KnE-Social/issue/view/267
- Tabatabaei, O., & Assefi, F. (2012). The Effect of Portfolio Assessment Technique on Writing Performance of EFL Learners. English Language Teaching, 5(5), 138–147. https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v5n5p138
- Wijayatilake, C. (2012). An Autoethnographic inquiry into my practice and experiences as a teacher trainer and beginning principal at two international schools in Sri Lanka. A Doctoral Thesis. Centre of English Language Teacher Education, University of Warwick. http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/51694/1/WRAP THESIS Wijayatilake 2012.pdf
- Wiley, R. W., and Brenda R. The Effects of Handwriting Experience on Literacy Learning. Psychological Science, 2021.
 - https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797621993111
- Yuliasri, I. (2014). Cooperative learning techniques. *Circle Journal of Language and Literature*, 8(April), 107–114. https://doi.org/10.15294/lc.v8i2.3015
- Zacharias, N. T. (2010). The Teacher Identity Construction of 12 Asian NNES Teachers in TESOL Graduate Programs. *Asia TEFL Journal*. 7(2), 1-359 http://www.asiatefl.org/main/download_pdf.php?i=172&c=1419307738&fn=7_2 _07.pdf
- Zafiropoulou, V., & Darra, M. (2019). Students' Attitudes and Beliefs Regarding the Use of E-Portfolio as an Alternative Form of Assessment : Results from a Pilot Survey in Greek Primary Education. International Journal of Education, 11(1), 99– 118. https://doi.org/10.5296/ije.v11i1.14350

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2023 **Syafei, Fajardo, Rusiana, Madjdi,** and **Montales**. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0</u> <u>International License (CC BY)</u>. The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Appendix 1: Partial Screenshooting Illustration of the PBTHT Implementation

A Summary of the Portfolio

The Portofolio Components (Portfolio-Based Timed- Handwriting)		a. Original/ Handwritten composition b. Computerized Retyping		Efforts to improve your compositions in the paragraphs. Put a cross (X) on the Feedback/ Correction that you get	Computerized Revision (300 to 600 words)	
		Number of Words	Self-Scoring (1-6)	1. Scoring Rubrics 2. Self Check 3. Peer Check 4. Group Check 5. Instructor 6. Literature: Online/Offline	Number of Words	Self-Scoring (1-6)
	Titles of the					
	Compositions					
1	A Good English Learner	445	4	1(x), 2(x), 3(x), 4(x), 5(x), 6(x)	960	6
2	Efforts to Improve my English Mastery	334	4	1(N), 2(N), 3(N), 4(N), 5(N), 6(N)	883	5
3	What Efforts You Do when You Have Difficulties in Writing A Meaning/Message in English	341	3	1(x), 2(x), 3(x), 4(x), 5(x), 6(x)	662	5
4	My Efforts to Improve Writing Skills	370	4	1(x), 2(x), 3(x), 4(x), 5(x), 6(x)	726	5
5	The Roles of English in ASEAN Countries	392	4	1(s), 2(s), 3(s), 4(s), 5(s), 6(s)	820	6
6	Being an English Teacher as a Profession	381	4	1(x), 2(x), 3(x), 4(x), 5(x), 6(x)	756	6
7	Learning Freedom (2 pieces of Essay)	Which is Better Active or Passive Learning in English Classes?				960
			Best Tips to Upgrade My Listening Comprehension			

A Student's Original Timed Handwritten Composition

	Class , CCD A
	A Good English Learner
I	do something bottor day by day to become the bolt Version
	of their own. There are some good indreations whether we
	are a good learner or not. The first, a good learner understands her gools, her
	the first, a good teacher understands her goals, her
	If we know what we want to do , it will be caster for
t	
	second. They aways try to know everything amon
	their mind, try to get the satisfying answer that Could
1	celleve their curiesity. If yes are a good techner yes
	are brace enaugh to put yourself, to challenge your
	with the world ersund you. An that there and the engage
	entry the construction of your minut
	Third, good tearners are observing people. They do
	that everyone mound you have to know that you are

tivity. The topic was quite fun because it's relevant

e wee. . like grammar, vocatue, boring to read. I think wr any weaknesses re idea, and it is

A Good English Learne

ture. Some learners just know without understanding it first. If we know do, it will be easier for us to set up bright vision, they always try to know everything around them. They have that high ly that leads them into a lea ning process. Ques ing that h

Last semester I didn't really want to develop my writing skills. I was thinking of getting my assignments done, and that's it. But honestly, this semester, I don't just want to have this assignment done. I also want to improve my writing skills. Therefore, I tried to be eous in using words that were richer and more interesting. I also try to n something exciting and indeed from my mind.

thatfor and Analysis Analysis Word-Count 443 words \Rightarrow 960 words, fuenting Rubries (2) \Rightarrow 80 excloses, built description of the excloses Evaluation by originations of the exclose of the exclose of Evaluations by origination on the end of the end of the end excloses of the end and end of the end rds, Scoring Rubries: 73 -> 89 (afte

Revision 1

A Good English Learner

ng in their life, whether they are lea om failure, or learning because it is their obligation. You and I will always do this one stion is cor ntly popping up in my head, am I good enough as a ing. One a on. Being a student is something that m



challenges in every process we take. Keep running as long as you can run; otherwise, you can walk or crawl, but never stop learning English. You are the caption of your dream. Keep learning keep going. That's you a good English





A Student's Original Timed Handwritten Composition

 21et century has been envidered as an era of more emples and empeditive
stage of the world and its people. Mad notably, it is something match cannot be
studied by the that century harners. One of the greatest cornerstand sucognized
in this period of extend is the formation of the definition as globally empetitive.
harners. In line with this, the unser of king a language empetent that
petred. Therefore, language is truly a foundation to expectedly and mare
competently develop every parnes. However, this admiration can any be fully
Hened Morman the aid of our language projectionale mass specially the songuage traders.
Arronally, on Alat Engish teacher sould not only rallow what is
being shown in the bask or any ather twentidge's source. as Unglish teacher
thread have the own firm conviction an everything net any because the learned it
an any second but becauce it is his belies and manua in life. Marious,

b. Retype (computerized)

An Ideal English Teacher

21st century has been considered as an era of more complex and competitive stage of the world and its people. Most notably, it is something which cannot be eluded by the 21st century learners. One of the greatest cornerstones recognized in this period of existence is the formation of the definition on globally-competitive learners. Therefore, language is truly a foundation to effectively and more competently develop every learners. However, this admiration can only be fully honed through the aid of our language professionals most especially the language teachers.

Personally, an ideal English teacher should not only follow what is being shown in the book or any other knowledge's source. An English teacher should have his own firm conviction on everything not only because he learnt it on any source but because it is his belief and mantra in life. Moreover, he should also have unyielding passion which is truly contagious or really a something which can inspire his students and better them holistically. Lastly, an ideal

4. Efforts to improve your original compositions.

In my own judgment, the output I had made is somehow better. Although, the are still some parts to be improved. One of the efforts I should do to better me essay through reading it again and again. Through this, II become more aware of its missiak either in grammar, contents, or other technicalities. Then, the use of internet to search related articles and support each claims is also an effective way to enhance my output a make it more credible for the readers. Furthermore, utilizing dictionary and other sources holds called and the statement of the statement of the sources holds called and the sources and the sources holds called and the sources and the sources and the source and the sources holds called and the sources and output which take consider to the source of the sources the source of the sources the source of the sources and the source and the sources and the sources the source of the sources the source the sourc ledge both traditi

d. Evaluation and analysis (Word-Count, Scoring Rubrics)

SCORING RUBRICS FOR ESSAY WRITING

ELEMENTS	COMPONENTS		SCORE	
FORMAT	There is a title.	1	1	
5 points	The title is centered.	1	1	
	The first line of every paragraph is indented.	1	1	
	There are margins on both sides.	1	1	
	The paragraph is doubled-spaced.	1	1	
MECHANICS 5 points	There is a period, a question mark, or an exclamation mark after every sentence.		2	
	Capital letters are used correctly.	1	1	
	The spelling is correct.	2	2	
CONTENT	The essay fits the assignment	10	10	
AA 1-+		-	-	

REFLECTION

1. Tell your experiences while you do timed-handwriting.

1 teit your experiences winne you uo unneo-nanowrinng. It is really my first time to do a timed-essay. While waiting for the starting time, I didn't avoid to feel nervous and pressured at the same time. Being a novice in this kind of activity is somehow one of the reasons why I think, my output is not that too excellent. I am not also used to create an essay without reading related articles ahead. Therefore, in my view, my finished timed-essay has no salient information and also lack of supports and evidences for each claims.

2. Weakness of your original compositions

As I have mentioned on the first question, it is truly my first time to create an essay with just a limited time. Thus, seeing weak spots in my output won't be that much surprising. One of its weakness is in technicalities. My indentions after each lines are not on the same length which is not necessary in writing an essay. Another is in the content. I myself is not satisfied with the flow of thoughts and their impact. Considering that there's no ample time of preparation given, my content is not that really credible since related articles which could serve as evidences isn't encouraged.

3. Strengths of your original compositions

As for strengths, I found it somehow better than how I perceived it the time when we are not starting the timed-essay yet. I am partially confident that the way I utilized morphemes and arranged each sentences are in accordance with proper sentence structure. I am also surprised that although I am just a newbie in this kind of novice activity, the thoughts were still delivered in a clear and precise manner. I also utilized punctuations and placed

e. Revision (Computerized)

An Ideal English Teacher

English teachers have been one of the most pertinent pillars of knowledge of all time, more especially for the present learners. Considering that 21st century learners are indulged with more complex educational systems and are viewed as pilots of the present globally-competitive standards wherein the sense of forging comprehensive language competency has been recognized with utmost significance. This henceforth expands the roles of English teachers and eventually made their responsibilities more toilsome however certainly relevant. Their growing strenuous commitments are of course correlated with their chosen field of ceptrise--the English language. In Erectore, English teachers are more likely to be perceived as the most ideal embodiment of perfection and recognizable excellence in teaching as well as utilizing the English language. In this sense, one might think of the grounds on how an English teacher becomes a representation of applaudable excellence. Now, what does it really take to be an ideal English teacher?