E-ISSN: 2528-4479, P-ISSN: 2477-5304 http://jurnal.unissula.ac.id/index.php/edulite DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.30659/e.8.1. 209-226

Mood analysis and self-correction to enhance EFL students' conjugation accuracy

Katharina Rustipa*, Endang Yuliani Rahayu, Yulistiyanti Yulistiyanti

English Literature Department, Faculty of Law and Language, Universitas Stikubank, Semarang, Indonesia

*Corresponding Author

Email: katrin@edu.unisbank.ac.id

Received: 03 August 2022 Revised: 13 February 2023 Accepted: 20 February 2023 Published: 28 February 2023

Abstract

This study is more focused on enhancing the accuracy of subject-verb agreement/ conjugation, by employing the mood analysis and self-correction techniques. The purpose of this study is to find out the effectiveness of mood analysis and self-correction practices to enhance EFL students' conjugation accuracy. This research is an experimental study in a classroom context, which was carried out in the English Department of Stikubank University (UNISBANK) Semarang. Fifteen students played a role in an experimental group while fifteen others played a role in a control group. During the treatment, the experimental group did mood analysis and self-correction while the control group did not. The t-test calculation shows that there is a significant difference between the conjugation accuracy of the text written by the experimental group and that written by the control group. The experimental group outperformed the control group. The research findings indicate that mood analysis and self-correction practices are effective to enhance EFL students' conjugation accuracy. Thus, it is recommended that practices of mood analysis and self-correction be applied in a teaching grammar lesson.

Keywords: mood analysis; self-correction; conjugation; grammatical accuracy

INTRODUCTION

Many EFL learners find English grammar problematic although they have learned grammar for years (Vannestål & Lindquist, 2007), (Abbasi & Karimnia, 2011) (Ekaningsih, 2017). Research revealed that the types of grammatical errors commonly committed by EFL learners are among others: inappropriate use of English tenses, and subject-verb agreement/conjugation. One grammatical rule which is considered difficult for EFL learners is the rule of subject-verb agreement (SVA). It is the rule of grammar where the subject matches with the verb (or verbs) used in a sentence (Alahmadi, 2019).

This study is more focused on the accuracy of subject-verb agreement/ conjugation, employing mood analysis and self-corrective feedback. The correctness of subject-verb agreement is important to show professionalism, proficiency in the target language, and convey interpersonal meaning. The mastery of SVA is very important to express ideas, especially in writing, where non-verbal communication feature is absent. Therefore, the EFL students need to master this rule (Stapa & Izahar, 2010). Mood analysis can develop interpersonal meaning, while self-correction can develop self-awareness and deep understanding, a stepping stone to achieve grammatical accuracy.

Based on the background above, we are interested in investigating *Mood Analysis and Self-Correction to Enhance EFL Students' Grammatical Accuracy (conjugation competence).* The research questions can be formulated as 1) How can mood analysis develop interpersonal meaning, a stepping stone to achieve conjugation accuracy? 2) How can self-corrective feedback develop deep understanding, a stepping stone to achieve conjugation accuracy? 3) How can mood analysis and self-corrective feedback enhance EFL Students' grammatical accuracy? 4) What grammatical errors are commonly committed by the students?

Theoretically, this study can develop the theory of applied linguistics. Practically, the result of the study can be used by English teachers as an alternative strategy to teach English grammar.

Conjugation derives from a Latin word referring to the inflection of a verb to show a different person, tense, number, or mood. It teaches us the proper use of a verb which is a basic rule that a foreign language learner should master (Pimsleur, 2013).

Conjugation is one feature to achieve grammatical accuracy which is important for receptive and productive skills because without grammatical accuracy miscommunication may occur. Different inflection morphemes such as '-ing' and '-ed' convey differences in meanings. Therefore, at present, grammar teaching has regained its rightful place in the language curriculum (Richards, 2008) (Azar, 2007). Grammar helps language users to understand and produce texts.

Mood is the term found in functional grammar explaining that language comprises several strata: semantic or meanings, lexico-grammar, and expressions (Eggins, 1994). Various speech functions exist at the strata of meanings which are realized by the system of grammar: mood, namely the structure of subject and finite (Matthiessen, 1995). Question is grammatically realized in interrogative (the mood element is the combination of Finite + Subject). The statement is grammatically realized in declarative (the mood element is the combination of Subject + Finite). While the command and offer are grammatically realized in imperatives (no mood element).

Language conveys ideational, textual, and interpersonal meanings (Halliday, 2014). Interpersonal meanings refer to communicating feelings/attitudes/intentions. It is laid in the subject and finite which carries (1) primary tense, (2) positive and negative form, (3) modality, and (4) agreement (Eggins, 1994).

Corrective feedback (CF) is a feedback received on the learner's linguistic errors (Sheen & Ellis, 2011). In the student-center learning system, students should be encouraged to give self-corrective feedback to their work (Hedge, 2001). Self-correction requires the learners to identify the errors, write the correction, and self-evaluate their answers, evaluating their responses. The research results showed that self-corrective feedback is more efficient (Skinner et al., 1992). Research on indirect corrective feedback reveals the usefulness of self-correction (Maharani, 2017).

Previous studies of grammatical accuracy have been done. Research on grammatical errors investigating SVA in the texts written by Saudi students revealed that the most committed errors are SVA errors with singular subjects (Alahmadi, 2019).

SVA is a common mistake made by Malaysian students. The problem is also faced by students at the postgraduate level whose first language is not English (Darus & Subramaniam, 2009)(Stapa & Izahar, 2010).

A study at Sudan University concluded that the EFL learners found problems in using inflectional morphemes. Their sentences had no subjectverb agreement. The mistakes were because of the misapplication of rules and overgeneralization (Adam & Eljack, 2020).

A study at the University of Madura explored English writings by Indonesian EFL students. It is found that the grammatical problems mostly faced by the students are problems of tense and SVA. And it is found that the main cause of the errors in the Indonesian language is interference (Budiharto, 2019).

All of the previous studies investigated SVA, to find out the errors and the causes of the errors. Different from the previous studies, this research employed mood analysis and self-correction to enhance the EFL students' SVA mastery. Doing MA repeatedly will implant the knowledge of subject-finite agreement or conjugation. Thus, we assume that in grammar teaching, knowledge of formal grammar and functional needs to be taught. To strengthen the EFL students' knowledge of conjugation, the practice of giving self-corrective feedback needs to be added since it will make the students do self-reflection that will impact their self-awareness. Self-correction here refers to an analysis of their work. The practice of analyzing problems is recommended by the Indonesian Education Minister to enhance the students' higher thinking skills.

METHOD

Research design

This research is experimental research carried out in a classroom context in Grammar 3 class which focuses on independent and dependent clauses: Simple sentences, Compound sentences, and Complex sentences.

Respondents

A total of 30 2nd-year undergraduate students of intermediate English proficiency level participated in this research which was carried out in the English Department of Stikubank University (UNISBANK) Semarang, Indonesia. Fifteen students played a role in an experimental group while fifteen others played a role in a control group.

Hypothesis

The null hypothesis of this research can be formulated as "There is no significant difference between the grammatical accuracy of the text written by the students who did mood analysis - practiced self-correction and the grammatical accuracy of the text written by the students who did not do mood analysis – did not practice self-correction."

Procedures

The research procedure is as the following:

Pre-test

It is to reveal the students' initial grammatical accuracy/ conjugation accuracy competence. In the research, the researchers gave a pre-test to all of the students. They wrote a one-paragraph text with their topic. The SVA competence was assessed by dividing the correct clauses in terms of SVA by the total number of clauses in a text.

Treatment

Both the control and the experimental groups follow the instructional procedure: 1) Building the context, 2) Modeling, 3) Text analysis, 4) Joint construction, and 5) Individual construction. The difference is in the EFL learners' activities in the steps of Text analysis, Joint construction, and Individual construction. Different from the previous studies that didn't explore the effective techniques to teach conjugation, this research attempted to reveal the more effective techniques employed in genre-based approach to teach conjugation.

Building the context stage is to activate the students' previous knowledge and introduce the context of the topic and the teaching materials. Explicit teaching concerning the language rule is also done here (Purba et al., 2020). Both experimental and control groups had the same activities in this step. The teacher started the class by brainstorming activities and explaining the sentence structure and tenses. This step made the students more motivated and prepared to learn the new materials. This is in line with the purpose to open a class, i.e. preparing, motivating, and drawing the students' attention (Cheng & Dörnyei, 2007).

In the modeling stage, the sentences were presented in a text to make the sentences more meaningful. Various knowledge of the world was conveyed in the modeling texts. This is in line with the text-based approach principle that the students not only master the language knowledge and skills but also achieve literacy (Mumba & Mkandawire, 2019). The modeling text was used as a stimulus, followed up with practices such as identifying sentence types, comprehension questions, and guided composition. Both experimental and control groups had the same activities in this step.

In the step of Text analysis, the experimental group did a mood analysis of the text provided by the teacher, namely by analyzing the mood elements, subject and finite, predicator, and the residue of each clause in the text. Example:

Different from the experimental group, the control group did a 'conventional' analysis of the text provided by the teacher, namely by only identifying the subject and verb of each clause in the text, without mentioning the finite, predicator, and residue. Example:

<u>Tina studied hard,</u>

so she gets a good score. $\underline{s \ v}$

Both experimental and control groups worked in groups of 3 in the classroom. For the assignment done outside the classroom, they found a text and analyzed the text in the same way but they worked individually. The control group only identified the subject and the verb without mentioning the finite, predicator, and residue.

Having a sufficient and deeper concept of the sentence types, the students started writing their texts in groups with the teacher's help in the Joint construction stage. They worked in groups: the whole class, small groups. This is in line with Vygotsky's sociocultural theory that initially the student needs scaffolding or assistance from his peer and teacher (Haider & Yasmin, 2015). This stage focuses on the process of creating a text. Thus, the sentences are presented in a meaningful text to make them aware that learning a language is learning to communicate meaning. The students must initiate the text topic, not the teacher. The teacher only assists. The teacher gradually reduces the contributions. Having finished writing the text, they analyzed the text in the same way as they did in the step of 'Text analysis.' For the assignment done outside the classroom, they wrote a different text with a different topic, then analyzed the text in the same way. The experimental group wrote a text and did a mood analysis of the text, while the control group wrote a text and identified the subject and verb of each clause in the text.

Having passed the 4 stages, the students are ready to write a text containing simple, compound, and complex sentences independently without help from others. The teacher who is one of the researchers assigned all of the students to write one-paragraph text. After finishing writing the text, each student in the experimental group proofread his/ her text, gave self-corrective feedback on her/ his work, and revised it based on the feedback before submitting it to the teacher. Self-corrective feedback is part of the writing process to raise EFL students' awareness concerning their errors. This is a way of allowing them to find the errors and correct the errors by themselves. This way will guide the students to be responsible and independent learners.

In this research, each student in the experimental group was asked to proofread his/her work, find any errors, give comments, then correct and rewrite the text. Here are some examples of the comments:

- 1. The verb doesn't match the time
- *2. The verb doesn't match with the subject*
- 3. No verb
- 4. No inflection

This is in line with the notion that self-corrective feedback is indirect feedback allowing the students to perceive the correct form by themselves (Ramírez Balderas & Guillén Cuamatzi, 2018). This is a good way to develop problem-solving skills and this in turn will develop deep understanding because of deep learning.

Different from the experimental group, each student in the control group wrote a text and directly submitted it to the teacher without giving selfcorrective feedback on her/ his work and the teacher gave feedback to the text.

Post-test

Each student in both groups wrote a story about her/ his impressive experience for 60 minutes. In order that the writing reflects the students' competence, they were not allowed to consult a dictionary or open gadget while writing. The writing products in this post-test become the data to be analyzed in the research.

Comparing the grammatical accuracy of the texts produced by the control group and the experimental group

To know whether the difference is significant or not, a t-test calculation was done.

And to know the students' opinions concerning their mood analysis and self-corrective feedback practice, the researchers also did an open-ended interview. The interview to experimental group students was carried out as a follow up of the statistical analysis result, to verify the strength, benefit, obstacles, and challenges of mood analysis and self-corrective feedback practices. It was to reveal the students' opinion, perception of learning grammar using mood analysis and self-corrective feedback techniques. Therefore, an open-ended question was asked to the students: 'What is your opinion, perception of learning grammar using mood analysis and selfcorrective feedback techniques?' Furthermore, the interview results were analyzed using content analysis. The way to analyze the interview data was (1) coding the interview results, (2) reading the excerpt, (3) grasping what were conveyed by the interviewees. In this way, the students' opinion, perception of learning grammar using mood analysis and self-corrective feedback techniques were revealed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

The pre-test results show that the average score of the control group is 58.5 while that of the experimental group is 57. It means that both groups have similar competence before the treatment.

After the treatment, the conjugation competence of all the students in the control and experimental groups increases. However, the experimental group's average score is 82, which is higher than the control group's average score of 73. The t-test calculation shows that the t-calculated score is -4.972 with a significance value of 0.000. It means that the experimental group's average score differs significantly from the control group's one.

The students did a post-test by writing a one-paragraph text entitled 'my impressing experience.' Like the pre-test, the post-test was assessed by dividing the correct clauses by the total number of clauses. Here is the result of the post-test.

Expe	erimental gro	oup	C	Control grou	р	
Student	Score	Code	Student	Score	Code	
1	82	ES1	1	75	CS1	
2	96	ES2	2	65	CS2	
3	84	ES3	3	75	CS3	
4	75	ES4	4	75	CS4	
5	85	ES5	5	73	CS5	
6	84	ES6	6	74	CS6	
7	85	ES7	7	70	CS7	
8	74	ES8	8	76	CS8	
9	76	ES9	9	74	CS9	
10	82	ES10	10	73	CS10	
11	75	ES11	11	76	CS11	
12	83	ES12	12	69	CS12	
13	90	ES13	13	75	CS13	
14	85	ES14	14	74	CS14	
15	74	ES15	15	71	CS15	
Average	82			73		

Table 1. The result of the post-test

The scores above are classified based on the grading system below:

96 - 100	: Excellent
86 - 95	: Very good
76 - 85	: Good
66 – 75	: Fairly good
56 - 65	: Fair
36 - 55	: Poor
0-35	: Very poor

The data above indicates that after the treatment, the experimental group masters the conjugation well, while the control group masters the conjugation fairly well. Here is the result of the t-test calculation:

Group Statistics					
	Group	Ν	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
Score_group	Control	15	73.00	3.047	.787
	Experimental	15	82.00	6.313	1.630

	Independent Samples Test									
		Levene'	s Test							
		for Equa	ality of							
		Variar	nces			t-test	for Equality	of Means		
									95% Cor	nfidence
									Interval	of the
						Sig. (2-	Mean	Std. Error	Differ	ence
		F	Sig.	t	df	tailed)	Difference	Difference	Lower	Upper
Score_	Equal	5.079	.032	-4.972	28	.000	-9.000	1.810	-12.708	-5.292
group	variances									
	assumed									
	Equal			-4.972	20.187	.000	-9.000	1.810	-12.773	-5.227
	variances									
	not									
	assumed									

The table shows that the t-score is -4.972, with a significance score of 0.000, meaning that the difference is significant.

Errors were found in the students' work. The errors committed by the students were analyzed and classified in the table below.

		ommon errors encountered by the students	
No	Types	Examples	Code
1	Subject-Verb	In the morning of May 1 st 2018, we are	EG8
2	agreement (SVA) Tense shift	surprised to know our father's serious disease. I climbed Merbabu mountain with my friends	EG1
		two years ago; and that experience was impressing and unforgettable up to now.	
3	Zero verb	When I a little girl, I went to the playground with my family.	EG15

Table 2. Common errors encountered by the students

To know the reason(s) to make an error, an interview question was asked to the error maker, 'Why do you make such an error?' And their answers can be classified as shown in the table below.

		Table 3. Reasons for errors	
No.	Types	Sample perceptions	Code
1	First-language	I translate word by word from	REG15
	interference	Indonesian into English.	
2	Overgeneralization	I overgeneralize that in one sentence, I	REG10
		use the same tenses for all the clauses.	
3	Tense sense	I often ignore the concept of each clause	REG12
		in my sentence, whether it is an activity	
		in the past, in the present, or an	
		opinion.	

The researchers were curious to find out how and why mood analysis and self-corrective feedback can develop the students' grammatical accuracy from the students' point of view. Thus, an open-ended interview was carried out to reveal their opinion by asking 'What is your opinion, perception of learning grammar using mood analysis and self-corrective feedback techniques? The question was asked to 10 students whose post-test achieve excellent, very good, good, and fairly good competence. Content analysis was employed to grasp what were conveyed by the students. It revealed that from the students' point of view, mood analysis and self-corrective feedback practices were beneficial, important, challenging, and awareness raising. Table 4 below shows sample perceptions.

Table 4. Students'	perceptions	of mood	analysis a	nd self-corre	ctive feedback
	perceptions	01 111004	analy one a		ouro recubacii

No.	Students	Student's perception	Code
1	Achieving excellent competence	The activities are challenging and made me aware that a verb must conjugate with the subject and time.	OEG2
2	Achieving very good competence	Those make me realize that I must think of conjugation when I write a sentence.	OEG13
3	Achieving good competence	Firstly, it's confusing and seems useless but finally, it makes me aware that mood is important, primary, and the residue is secondary.	OEG6
4	Achieving fairly good competence	<i>Now, I learn that verb must exist in a sentence; the main verb in a sentence consists of predicator and finite.</i>	OEG11

Discussion

Mood analysis to develop a sense of interpersonal meaning

Literature, and Culture, 8 (1), 21-32. http://dx.doi.org/10.30659/e.8.1.209-226

In speaking and writing a language, people simultaneously convey ideational, interpersonal, and textual meanings, namely meanings about the field, modes, and tenors (refer to who are taking part), realized by mood elements: subject and finite. Interpersonal meanings are related to what the people want and need to make in interacting with others (Halliday, 2014). Through interpersonal meanings, we communicate our feelings/attitudes/intentions.

When we talk about interpersonal meanings and mood, we talk about clause as an exchange because, in communication, the mood is exchanged. Speaking or writing is an interactive event inherently involving a speaker or writer and an addressee (listener or reader). A response is expected (Gerot & Wignell, 1995) (Linda & Peter, 1995). Example: I didn't; Who did?; He did; Yes he did; No she didn't. The mood elements are exchanged in communication, while the other elements such as the predicator, complement, and adjunct/ adverb called residue are not exchanged. This implies the importance of mood elements (SVA) mastery in learning English as a foreign language.

In communicating, spoken or written, we have intentions/ speech functions or interpersonal meanings to be conveyed to the interlocutors. These meanings are realized by the grammatical system of mood (SVA). Mood features are realized structurally through the ordering of subject and finite (Matthiessen, 1995). Question is grammatically realized in interrogative (the mood element is the combination of Finite + Subject). The statement is grammatically realized in declarative (the mood element is the combination of Subject + Finite). While the command and offer are grammatically realized in imperatives (no mood element). Thus, understanding mood elements (SVA) has a key role for EFL students to master English.

The experimental group did move analysis to enhance their awareness of the existence of the mood elements and to implant the habit of employing mood elements appropriately based on the context of the text. Here is an example of the mood analysis. Example 1: (ES2)

~)				
	A per	son can	be	said to be confident
-	S	F:	Р	
		modal		
-	Μ	lood		R
	5	ave confide F R	ence in	their own abilities.
	Mood			
		Do you F S	want t P	o be confident?
		Mood	R	

E-ISSN: 2528-4479, P-ISSN: 2477-5304
http://jurnal.unissula.ac.id/index.php/edulite
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.30659/e.8.1. 209-226

Confidence means	
S F: does P: mean	
Mood R	
you believe in yourself. F: do P: believe	
Mood R	
Lack of self-confidence becomes a barrier for young	;
S F: does P: become	
Mood R	
These hesitets to two sources this so	
They hesitate to try new things. S F: P:	
do hesitate	
Mood R	
We must try to face our future positively.	
S F: modal P	
Mood R	
We experienced failures in the past.	
S F: did P: experience	
Mood R	
We should be more optimistic in the future.SF:P	
S F: P modal	
Mood R	
Note:	
S: Subject	
F: Finite P: Predicator	

The sample analysis above shows that the student includes simple, compound, complex sentences meaningfully in a text. The data show that the finite anchors the writer's proposition, primary tense, modality, and polarity. Thus, finiteness combines the specification of the polarity, temporal or modal reference of the speech event of what the language user is saying or writing.

Examples:

- *We should be more optimistic about the future*: positive polarity, and median modality.
- *We experienced failures in the past*: positive polarity, and past tense.
- *We must try to face our future positively*: positive polarity, and high modality.
- *They hesitate to try new things*: positive polarity, present.

Through the primary tense, the writer shows when an entity occurs: past, present, future. Modality shows the writer's judgment of the probabilities or the obligations of what she is saying. Finite also shows polarity: positive or negative. Sometimes a finite element and a lexical verb are fused.

Mood refers to interpersonal meanings through which social relations are created and maintained. By doing mood analysis, the understanding of the mood elements and mood system will be developed which simultaneously will develop the students' sense of interpersonal meaning.

Self-corrective feedback to develop a deep understanding

Cognitive theories assume that corrective feedback assists acquisition. The students are commonly primarily focused on meaning, thus, ignoring and committing errors. Students should be allowed to self-correct and, if it fails, then let other students do the correction, and the last is the teacher (Hedge, 2001).

Mood analysis and self-correction to effectively develop grammatical accuracy

The t-test calculation shows that there is a significant difference between the grammatical accuracy of the text written by the students who did mood analysis - practice self-correction and that of the students who did not do mood analysis - practice self-correction. This calculation indicates that the practice of mood analysis and self-correction can effectively develop EFL students' grammatical accuracy, in this case, SVA/ conjugation. The experimental group masters the conjugation well (average score: 82), while the control group masters the conjugation fairly well (average score: 73). Below is the explanation of how and why the practice of mood analysis and self-correction can effectively develop EFL students' grammatical accuracy.

The previous sections mentioned that mood analysis and self-correction enhance self-awareness, deep understanding, a habit of mind, tense sense, problem-solving, and critical thinking skills. Mood analysis and self-corrective feedback promote learners to be thinkers; they think of the texts they analyze. In this study, the students thought of the texts from the perspectives of mood elements and conjugation. And these activities or learning experiences promote their self-awareness of the importance of mood elements/conjugation in a sentence. Self-awareness theory states that the acts of self-evaluation and thinking promote self-awareness (Ackerman, 2020). This argument is also supported by the students' perception. Sample perceptions:

1. The activities are challenging and made me aware that a verb must conjugate with the subject and time. (OEG2)

2. Firstly, it's confusing and seems useless but finally, it makes me aware that mood is important, primary, and the residue is secondary. (OEG6)

Mood analysis and self-correction promote learning and deeper understanding because the students do deep thinking and deep learning (Hattie & Yates, 2014). Here are some students' perceptions:

- 1. Those make me know that I must think of conjugation when I write a sentence. (OEG13)
- 2. Now, I learn that verb must exist in a sentence; the main verb in a sentence consists of predicator and finite. (OEG11)

Mood analysis and self-correction develop the students' habit of mind toward mood elements and conjugation. A habit of mind refers to having a character toward behaving intelligently (Costa & Kallick, 2008). A habit of mind among others consists of sensitivity, capability, and commitment. The habit of mind was acquired because of the students' repeated practice of mood analysis and self-correction. This is in line with behaviorism theory stating that habit is formed through repeated behavior established through learning (Nilsen et al., 2012). The students' perceptions (OEG2) (OEG6) (OEG13) (OEG11) above imply that they have acquired a new habit of mind.

Mood analysis and self-correction improve the students' tense sense because finite carries (1) primary tense, (2) positive and negative form, (3) modality, and (4) agreement. By manipulating the subject and finite, we manipulate the mood, the interpersonal meaning, and the intent (Eggins, 1994). By having a tense sense, the students will understand the rhetorical concept of each clause and then can decide when to shift tense. The tense shift is problematic for the students as revealed in an interview. Here is one student's perception:

I often ignore the concept of each clause in my sentence, whether it is an activity in the past, in the present, or an opinion. (REG12)

Mood analysis and self-correction develop problem-solving and critical thinking skills. Twenty-first-century teaching and learning prepare the students for living in the 21st century that requires the students to have a higher order of thinking skills such as critical thinking and problem solving (Mantra et al., 2022) (Ratu Betta Rudibyani, 2020). In doing mood analysis and in giving self-corrective feedback, the students need to think critically; they need to analyze and evaluate a text. Therefore, the student's critical thinking skills will be strengthened.

Self-correction requires students to look at a problem and solution, cover the problem and solution, write the problem and solution, and self-evaluate their answers, evaluating their responses (Skinner et al., 1992). Thus, problem-solving skills will be strengthened by the practice of self-correction. The practice of analyzing problems is recommended by the Indonesian Education Minister to enhance the students' higher thinking skills (Dikti, 2021).

The explanation above implies that the practices of mood analysis and self-correction promote the students' grammatical accuracy competence.

Common errors committed by the students

The errors commonly committed by the students can be classified into Subject-Verb agreement (SVA), Tense shift, and Zero verb. Here are some examples:

- 1. SVA
 - a. In the morning of May 1st 2018, we **are** surprised to know our father's serious disease. (EG8)
- *b.* Up to now, my mother always **reminds** me to behave politely. (EG9) 2. Tense shift
 - a. I climbed Merbabu mountain with my friends two years ago; and that experience **was** impressing and unforgettable up to now. (EG1)
 - b. I experienced a terrible motorcycle accident two years ago; I still **felt** terrified about it now. (EG10)
- 3. Zero verb
 - a. When I a little girl, I went to the playground with my family. (EG15)
 - b. It not easy to win a competition, but I will try. (EG6)

The first errors show that the verbs do not conjugate with the time and with the subject. The second errors show that the students use the same tenses for the whole clauses in one sentence regardless of the rhetorical concept. The third errors show that the students do not include a verb in a clause. These findings are similar to those of the previous studies (Alahmadi, 2019) (Darus & Subramaniam, 2009) (Stapa & Izahar, 2010) (Adam & Eljack, 2020) (Budiharto, 2019).

Here are some students' statements concerning the reasons for making the errors:

- 1. I translate word by word from Indonesian into English. (REG15)
- 2. I overgeneralize that in one sentence, I use the same tenses for all the clauses. (REG10)
- 3. I often ignore the concept of each clause in my sentence, whether it is an activity in the past, in the present, or an opinion. (REG12)

CONCLUSION

Mood analysis and self-correction are effective to enhance EFL Students' SVA/ conjugation accuracy. These practices enable the EFL students to master SVA/ conjugation well. The rationale can be explained as follows:

1. Mood analysis and self-correction promote learners to be thinkers; they think of the texts they analyze. And these activities promote their self-awareness of the importance of mood elements/ conjugation in a sentence.

- 2. Mood analysis and self-correction promote deeper understanding because the students do deep thinking and deep learning.
- 3. Mood analysis and self-correction develop the students' habit of mind toward mood elements and conjugation.
- 4. Mood analysis and self-correction improve the students' tense sense because finite carries (1) primary tense, (2) positive and negative form, (3) modality, and (4) agreement. And this in turn will develop the students' sense of interpersonal meaning.
- 5. Mood analysis and self-correction develop problem-solving and critical thinking skills because in doing mood analysis and in giving self-corrective feedback, the students need to think critically; they need to analyze and evaluate a text. Self-correction requires students to look at a problem and solution, cover the problem and solution, and write the problem and solution.

Based on the conclusion above, it is recommended that mood analysis and self-correction be applied in a teaching grammar lesson.

AUTHOR STATEMENT

Author 1: Conceptualization, data collection and management, data analysis, writing the abstract, introduction, methodology, results and discussion, and conclusion. Author 2: supporting results writing, editing and refining the overall manuscript. Author 3: reference management software and proofreading.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Stikubank University for having funded the research. Special thanks also go to the students for the good cooperation during the research.

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, M., & Karimnia, A. (2011). An analysis of grammatical errors among Iranian translation students: Insights from interlanguage theory. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 25(4), 525–536. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Amin-Karimnia/publication/260349950_An_Analysis_of_Grammatical_Errors_among_I ranian_Translation_Students_Insights_from_Interlanguage_Theory/links/542442 e40cf26120b7a72ff4/An-Analysis-of-Grammatical-Errors-among-Iranian-Translation-Students-Insights-from-Interlanguage-Theory.pdf
- Ackerman, C. (2020). What is self-awareness and why is it important. PositivePsychology. Noudettu. https://positivepsychology.com/team/courtneyackerman/
- Adam, M. O. K., & Eljack, N. S. A. (2020). Investigating Morphological Problems Encountered by EFL University Students in Using Inflectional Morphemes. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/347962707_Investigating_Morphologi cal_Problems_Encountered_by_EFL_University_Students_in_Using_Inflectional_M

orphemes

Alahmadi, N. (2019). A study of grammatical errors of subject verb agreement in writing made by Saudi learners. *International Journal of English Language and Linguistics Research*, 7(6), 48–59.
https://www.eajournals.org/journals/international-journal-of-english-language-and-linguistics-research-ijellr/vol-7-issue-6-december-2019/a-study-of-grammatical-errors-of-subject-verb-agreement-in-writing-made-by-saudi-learners/

- Azar, B. (2007). Grammar-Based Teaching: A Practitioner's Perspective. *Tesl-Ej*, 11(2), 1–12. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1064995
- Budiharto, R. A. (2019). Native language interference on target language writings of Indonesian EFL students: An exploratory case study. *Indonesian EFL Journal*, 5(1), 107–116. <u>https://doi.org/10.25134/ieflj.v5i1.1630</u>
- Cheng, H.-F., & Dörnyei, Z. (2007). The use of motivational strategies in language instruction: The case of EFL teaching in Taiwan. International Journal of Innovation in Language Learning and Teaching, 1(1), 153–174. <u>https://doi.org/10.2167/illt048.0</u>
- Costa, A. L., & Kallick, B. (2008). Learning and leading with habits of mind: 16 essential characteristics for success. ASCD. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=9lps41vfVOsC&lpg=PR11&ots=PG0K3fJ3i&dq=Learning%20and%20leading%20with%20habits%20of%20mind%3A%20 16%20essential%20characteristics%20for%20success&lr&hl=id&pg=PR11#v=one page&q=Learning%20and%20leading%20with%20habits%20of%20mind:%2016% 20essential%20characteristics%20for%20success&f=false
- Darus, S., & Subramaniam, K. (2009). Error analysis of the written English essays of secondary school students in Malaysia: A case study. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(3), 483–495.

https://www.academia.edu/2284335/Error_Analysis_of_the_Written_English_Es says_of_Secondary_School_Students_in_Malaysia_A_Case_Study

Eggins, S. (2004). An introduction to systemic functional grammar. London: Printer Publishers.

https://books.google.co.id/books?id=sS7UXugIIg8C&lpg=PR13&ots=xduwTqXuW h&dq=eggin%20An%20introduction%20to%20systemic%20functional%20gramm ar&lr&hl=id&pg=PR13#v=onepage&q=eggin%20An%20introduction%20to%20syst emic%20functional%20grammar&f=false

Ekaningsih, N. (2017). Enhancing Students'English Grammar Ability with Online Website Link. EduLite: Journal of English Education, Literature and Culture, 2(2), 431–444. <u>https://doi.org/10.30659/e.2.2.431-444</u>

Gerot, L., & Wignell, P. (1995). Making Sense of Functional Grammar Sydney: Antipodean Educational Enterprises. https://openlibrary.telkomuniversity.ac.id/home/catalog/id/104961/slug/maki ng-sense-of-functional-grammar.html

- Haider, M., & Yasmin, A. (2015). Significance of scaffolding and peer tutoring in the light of Vygotsky's theory of zone of proximal development. *International Journal* of Languages, Literature and Linguistics, 1(3), 2015. https://doi.org/10.18178/IJLLL.2015.1.3.33
- Halliday, M. A. K. (2014). Language as social semiotic. *The Discourse Studies Reader*. *Amsterdam: John Benjamins*, 263–272. <u>https://doi.org/10.1075/z.184.52hal</u>
- Hattie, J. A. C., & Yates, G. C. R. (2014). Using feedback to promote learning. Applying Science of Learning in Education: Infusing Psychological Science into the Curriculum, 45–58. https://psycnet.apa.org/record/2013-44868-004
- Hedge, T. (2001). Teaching and learning in the language classroom (Vol. 106). Oxford university press Oxford, UK. http://teslej.org/wordpress/issues/volume5/ej19/ej19r4/?wscr=
- Linda, G., & Peter, W. (1995). Making Sense of functional grammar. NSW: Antipodean Educational Enterprises. https://openlibrary.telkomuniversity.ac.id/home/catalog/id/104961/slug/maki ng-sense-of-functional-grammar.html
- Maharani, M. M. (2017). The Application of Indirect Feedback to Improve Students' Paragraph Writing. *Edulite: Journal of English Education, Literature and Culture,* 2(2), 389–404. <u>https://doi.org/10.30659/e.2.2.389-404</u>
- Mantra, I. B. N., Handayani, N. D., & Pramawati, A. A. I. Y. (2022). Problem-Based Learning and Project-Based Learning Integration in Online Learning to Enhance Students' Critical and Creative Thinking Skills. Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif, 12(1), 184–195. <u>https://doi.org/10.23960/jpp.v12.i1.202215</u>
- Matthiessen, C. (1995). *Lexicogrammatical cartography: English systems*. Internat. Language Sciences Publ. https://cir.nii.ac.jp/crid/1130282271590349568
- Mumba, C., & Mkandawire, S. B. (2019). The Text-based Integrated Approach to Language Teaching: Its Meaning and Classroom Application. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Language and Social Sciences Education*, 2(1), 123–142. https://library.unza.zm/index.php/mjlsse/article/view/113
- Nilsen, P., Roback, K., Broström, A., & Ellström, P.-E. (2012). Creatures of habit: accounting for the role of habit in implementation research on clinical behaviour change. *Implementation Science*, 7(1), 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1186/1748-5908-7-53
- Pimsleur, P. (2013). *How to learn a foreign language*. Simon and Schuster. https://books.google.co.id/books?id=sTb6AAAAQBAJ&lpg=PR9&ots=r2S069Ieo&dq=How%20to%20learn%20a%20foreign%20language&lr&hl=id&pg=PR9#v=on epage&q=How%20to%20learn%20a%20foreign%20language&f=false
- Purba, R., Thao, N. Van, & Purba, A. (2020). Using Genre-Based Approach to Overcome Students' Difficulties in Writing. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, 7(4), 464–470. https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ1278440

- Ramírez Balderas, I., & Guillén Cuamatzi, P. M. (2018). Self and peer correction to improve college students' writing skills. *Profile Issues in TeachersProfessional Development*, 20(2), 179–194. <u>https://doi.org/10.15446/profile.v20n2.67095</u>
- Ratu Betta Rudibyani, R. B. R. (2020). The Effectiveness of Problem Solving-Based Student Worksheet to Improve Students' Critical Thinking Skills. Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif, 10(2), 279–291. https://doi.org/10.23960/jpp.v10.i2.202012
- Richards, J. C. (2008). Teaching listening and speaking (Vol. 35, Issue 4). Cambridge university press Cambridge. https://www.cambridge.org/elt/blog/2016/01/05/teaching-listening-speakingtheory-practice/
- Sheen, Y., & Ellis, R. (2011). Corrective feedback in language teaching. Handbook of Research in Second Language Teaching and Learning, 2, 593–610. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9780203836507-41/corrective-feedback-language-teaching-younghee-sheen-rod-ellis
- Skinner, C. H., Shapiro, E. S., Turco, T. L., Cole, C. L., & Brown, D. K. (1992). A comparison of self-and peer-delivered immediate corrective feedback on multiplication performance. *Journal of School Psychology*, *30*(2), 101–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4405(92)90024-Y
- Stapa, S. H., & Izahar, M. M. (2010). Analysis of errors in subject-verb agreement among Malaysian ESL learners. *3L, Language, Linguistics, Literature, 16*(1).
- Vannestål, M. E., & Lindquist, H. (2007). Learning English grammar with a corpus: Experimenting with concordancing in a university grammar course. *ReCALL*, 19(3), 329–350. <u>https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344007000638</u>

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2023 Rustipa, Rahayu, and Yulistiyanti. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY</u>). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.