JURNAL AKTA
Volume 13 No. 2, June 2026
SINTA 2,
Decree No. 164/E/KPT/2021

Investor Protection Model in Digital Retail SBSN with Sharia Compliance Assurance

Sahdani Ritonga[1], Ramlan[2] & Muhammad Arifin[3]
[1]Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara, Indonesia, E-mail: ritonga.sahdani@gmail.com
[2]Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara, Indonesia, E-mail: ramlan@umsu.ac.id
[3]Universitas Muhammadiyah Sumatera Utara, Indonesia, E-mail: muharifingultom@gmail.com

Abstract. *This study aims to analyze and develop an investor protection model in Digital Retail Sovereign Sukuk (SBSN) within the context of digital financial service transformation. The increasing use of digital platforms in retail sukuk distribution has introduced new challenges in investor protection, particularly regarding the adequacy of risk disclosure, the effectiveness of complaint handling mechanisms, and the assurance of sharia compliance in digital transactions. The research employs a socio-legal research approach with qualitative descriptive analysis. The data used are secondary legal materials consisting of laws and regulations on sovereign sukuk, financial technology governance, financial consumer protection, and sharia compliance frameworks. Data collection was conducted through document analysis, while data analysis was performed using qualitative legal interpretation to identify gaps between normative regulation and its implementation in the digital retail SBSN ecosystem. The novelty of this study lies in the formulation of an integrated investor protection model that combines standardized digital risk disclosure, an integrated complaint and dispute resolution system, and a structured sharia compliance assurance mechanism that is auditable and transparent within the digital distribution process. The study concludes that effective investor protection in Digital Retail SBSN requires the strengthening of standardized risk communication, the establishment of a unified complaint handling system with clear escalation procedures, and the implementation of an accountable sharia compliance assurance framework. These components collectively enhance legal certainty, transparency, and investor trust in the digital sovereign sukuk market.*

Keywords: *Assurance; Digital; Integrated; Investor; Protection.*

1. Introduction

Digitalization has transformed sovereign financing mechanisms by reshaping how governments, financial institutions, and retail investors interact within investment ecosystems. The transition from conventional to digital distribution channels enables faster subscription processes, lower transaction costs, and broader access for retail investors (AAOIFI, 2023; Nawaz, 2025). In Indonesia, this transformation is reflected in the increasing use of digital platforms for retail Sovereign Sukuk (SBSN) distribution, which has expanded public participation in state financing (OJK, 2023a; Siddiqi, 2024).

However, the development of digital distribution also introduces new investor protection challenges. The digital retail SBSN ecosystem operates through a multi-actor structure involving platform operators, distribution partners, payment service providers, custodians, and settlement systems. Although these actors form an integrated transaction chain, responsibilities and accountability boundaries are often unclear for retail investors (Chuang & Shrestha, 2025; Slapničar et al., 2022). This condition becomes more critical when operational disruptions occur, such as transaction delays, system failures, or settlement mismatches (Fauzi et al., 2025; Y. Liu et al., 2025).

A key issue in this ecosystem is digital risk disclosure. Digital platforms tend to prioritize efficiency and user experience, which often results in simplified risk communication formats (Haryanto, 2024). As a consequence, important investment risks such as market volatility, liquidity constraints, operational risks, and early redemption conditions may not always be presented in a structured and decision-useful manner for retail investors (Fachsandy & Akbar, 2024; Setiawan & Suwandaru, 2024). This condition highlights the need for standardized, clear, and traceable risk disclosure mechanisms in digital investment platforms (Ferreira et al., 2025).

Another challenge relates to complaint and dispute resolution mechanisms. Digital financial services involve multiple stages of transaction processing, including account verification, order execution, payment processing, and settlement services (Ilmiyah & Muiz, 2023). When complaint channels are fragmented across different institutions, retail investors face difficulties in identifying the appropriate channel and obtaining timely resolution (DSN-MUI, 2020). This fragmentation weakens the effectiveness of investor protection and may reduce trust in digital sovereign investment systems (Isnaini et al., 2025).

In addition, digital retail SBSN incorporates a distinct governance dimension related to sharia compliance assurance. Although sovereign sukuk are structurally designed to comply with Islamic principles, in digital environments compliance is often perceived as declarative rather than continuously verifiable (Ramadhan et al., 2025). Therefore, investor confidence depends on transparent disclosure of sharia foundations, supervisory mechanisms, and compliance reporting structures (Dimyati et al., 2023; Hassan & Raza Rabbani, 2023).

These conditions indicate a broader regulatory and governance gap in the digital retail SBSN ecosystem. Existing investor protection frameworks tend to operate in a fragmented manner across institutions, resulting in inconsistent implementation of safeguards (Q. Liu et al., 2024; Vijayagopal et al., 2024). As a result, protection measures may remain formalistic rather than operationally effective, leaving retail investors vulnerable to practical risks during system failures or dispute events (Almaqtari et al., 2025; OJK, 2023a).

To address these issues, this study analyzes investor protection in digital retail SBSN based on three integrated pillars: standardized digital risk disclosure, integrated complaint and dispute resolution mechanisms, and sharia compliance assurance. Standardized risk disclosure ensures minimum content requirements and auditability of information delivery (Bank Indonesia, 2022). Integrated complaint mechanisms provide a unified entry point with structured escalation pathways and service-level commitments (ASEAN, 2022). Meanwhile, sharia compliance assurance strengthens transparency and accountability through disclosure of underlying sharia bases, supervisory processes, and compliance reporting structures (IOSCO, 2024).

2. Research Methods

This study aimed to formulate a reconstructed investor protection model for digital retail SBSN by integrating standardized digital risk disclosure, an integrated complaints and dispute resolution mechanism, and sharia compliance assurance to produce operational and auditable minimum standards within a multi-actor digital distribution ecosystem. The research applied a socio-legal and normative juridical approach, in which the normative dimension was used to examine the legal framework governing digital retail SBSN, including regulations on sovereign sukuk issuance and distribution, consumer and investor protection, electronic transactions, digital financial services, and sharia-compliant financial governance, while the socio-legal dimension was used to analyze how these norms were implemented in practice across multiple institutional actors. The study utilized secondary data obtained through structured document analysis of regulatory instruments, official policy documents, platform disclosures, institutional guidelines on complaint handling, and sharia governance documents related to sovereign sukuk. Data were collected by compiling relevant documents from official repositories and organizing them into an analytical matrix based on the end-to-end digital SBSN transaction cycle. The data were analyzed through four stages, namely legal mapping of actor responsibilities across transaction stages, gap analysis between normative frameworks and operational practices focusing on risk disclosure, complaint handling, and sharia assurance, risk and control identification to define minimum control objectives and audit requirements, and model formulation through synthesis of these controls into a reconstructed investor protection framework consisting of three integrated pillars: standardized digital risk disclosure, integrated complaint and dispute resolution mechanisms, and sharia compliance assurance, each operationalized through measurable requirements and governance structures. To ensure validity and reliability, document triangulation was conducted across legal texts, institutional reports, and platform disclosures, with consistency checks to ensure that all proposed standards were logically derived from identified gaps and applicable within the regulatory structure of digital retail SBSN.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Standardized Digital Risk Disclosure in Digital Retail SBSN

Digital retail SBSN distribution shifts investor decision-making into interface-based environments, where investment decisions are strongly influenced by digital presentation formats. Digital platforms are known to shape investor perception and behavior in online investment environments through interface design, information framing, and digital nudging mechanisms that affect risk interpretation (OJK, 2023a; Republik Indonesia, 2022). The findings show that risk disclosure practices remain fragmented and not standardized across platforms. This reduces transparency and limits investors' ability to compare risk information across offerings (OJK, 2020). Such fragmentation is consistent with prior studies on digital financial disclosure that highlight variability in risk communication formats across fintech-based investment platforms (Ferreira et al., 2025).

Key risks such as market risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, system failure risk, and cybersecurity risk are not consistently presented in a unified structure. This indicates a gap in disclosure standardization in digital retail SBSN distribution. Prior disclosure research in digital finance also emphasizes that risk information loses decision-usefulness when presented in non-comparable formats across platforms (Setiawan & Suwandaru, 2024). Therefore, standardized digital risk disclosure is required to ensure consistency, comparability, and accessibility of investment information. Disclosure must also be supported by audit mechanisms such as time-stamped delivery and version control to ensure accountability (OJK, 2020). This aligns with regulatory developments in digital financial supervision that emphasize traceability and evidence-based disclosure.

3.2. Integrated Complaint Handling and Dispute Resolution in Multi Actor Digital Distribution

The digital retail SBSN ecosystem involves multiple actors, resulting in fragmented complaint handling mechanisms. This creates uncertainty for investors in identifying responsible parties when disputes occur. The findings indicate that fragmented complaint channels increase resolution time and reduce the effectiveness of investor protection (OJK, 2023b). Investors are required to navigate multiple entry points without a unified system. This condition is consistent with consumer protection studies in multi-actor financial ecosystems where fragmented governance reduces enforceability of user rights (Isnaini et al., 2025). To address this issue, a single-entry complaint mechanism is required. This system allows centralized intake, structured routing, and escalation across responsible institutions (OJK, 2024). Single-entry systems are widely recognized in online dispute resolution literature as an effective mechanism to reduce coordination costs and improve resolution efficiency in digital financial services (Ferreira et al., 2025). Complaint handling must be supported by service-level agreements (SLAs), clear response times, and documented resolution outcomes to ensure enforceable investor rights in digital financial services. The enforceability aspect is critical because dispute resolution effectiveness is not only determined by availability of channels but also by institutional responsiveness and compliance discipline (OJK, 2023a).

3.3. Sharia Compliance Assurance as a Trust and Accountability Mechanism

Sharia compliance in digital retail SBSN functions as both a legal requirement and a trust mechanism. However, in digital environments, compliance may be perceived as a formal label rather than a continuously verifiable governance process. Sharia disclosure literature emphasizes that disclosure quality, governance clarity, and transparency are key determinants of investor confidence in Islamic financial instruments (Ramadhan et al., 2025).

Sharia compliance assurance therefore requires structured disclosure elements, including the sharia basis of the instrument, supervisory structure, scope of supervision, and periodic reporting mechanisms. These elements are essential to ensure that compliance is not only declared but also transparent, understandable, and accessible to retail investors. Studies in Islamic financial governance indicate that structured disclosure significantly reduces information asymmetry between issuers and retail investors (Hassan & Raza Rabbani, 2023).

In Islamic financial governance, transparency and credible supervision are consistently identified as central mechanisms for strengthening investor trust and reducing information asymmetry (OJK, 2023a). This reinforces the importance of governance disclosure as a substantive investor protection tool rather than a mere administrative requirement.

Sharia audit capacity becomes increasingly important in digital distribution systems because transaction processes are executed through automated platforms that may introduce operational and compliance risks. Sharia audit literature highlights the importance of audit readiness, institutional competence, and periodic evaluation in ensuring effective compliance monitoring (Siddiqi, 2024). Accordingly, sharia assurance should include audit evidence, periodic review, and remediation mechanisms as part of minimum compliance standards. From a broader perspective, harmonization of Islamic financial standards strengthens credibility and consistency in sukuk markets. Studies on international frameworks such as AAOIFI indicate that standardized governance improves trust and market integrity, although implementation requires gradual and structured adoption.

3.4. Digital Sukuk Transparency and Technological Accountability

Technological infrastructure plays a significant role in strengthening transparency in digital retail SBSN when it is designed to generate traceable records and enforceable transaction rules. Studies on digital sukuk and blockchain-based financial instruments indicate that distributed ledger technologies and smart contract mechanisms can enhance transparency, accountability, and data integrity, thereby reducing information asymmetry in financial transactions. These technological features are increasingly discussed in fintech governance literature as mechanisms for real-time auditability and system-level accountability (OJK, 2023a).

However, technological transparency alone is insufficient without corresponding investor-facing protections. Digital sukuk studies highlight that while technological innovation improves system efficiency, it also raises challenges related to regulatory readiness and governance consistency in digital financial ecosystems. Therefore, a dual-layer design is required, consisting of back-end technological traceability and front-end regulatory clarity. This dual structure is aligned with regulatory governance principles that distinguish between system-level compliance and user-level investor protection obligations.

3.5. Reconstructed Model for Investor Protection in Digital Retail SBSN

A reconstructed investor protection model is required because protection failures in digital retail SBSN are systemic in nature and arise from interdependencies across disclosure systems, complaint handling mechanisms, and governance structures. Digital investment behavior literature indicates that platform design influences investor decision-making and risk-taking behavior, while dispute resolution studies show that fragmented complaint mechanisms weaken enforceability of investor rights. In addition, sharia governance literature emphasizes that transparency and assurance mechanisms are critical determinants of investor trust. These findings collectively indicate that investor protection in digital sovereign sukuk requires a multi-layer governance integration rather than isolated regulatory interventions.

This study proposes a reconstructed investor protection model consisting of three pillars: standardized digital risk disclosure, integrated complaint and dispute resolution mechanisms, and sharia compliance assurance. Each pillar is operationalized through measurable standards, audit requirements, and clear governance responsibilities.

Table Minimum Regulatory Standards Blueprint for Investor Protection in Digital Retail SBSN

Pillar	Minimum Standard	Operational Requirement	Evidence and Audit Trail	Primary Responsible Actor
Standardized Digital Risk Disclosure	Mandatory risk summary for retail investors	Minimum content includes market risk, liquidity and early redemption terms, operational and system risk, cybersecurity and data risk, and complaint rights	Version control, time stamped delivery, user acknowledgment logs, and archived disclosure pages	Issuer and digital distribution partners
Integrated Complaints and Dispute Resolution	Single entry complaint channel	One entry point for case intake, triage, routing, and escalation across actors with time bound SLAs	Case ID, timeline logs, routing history, resolution rationale, and closure verification	Distribution partners with regulator aligned oversight
Sharia Compliance Assurance	Transparent and verifiable sharia governance	Public disclosure of sharia basis, supervision structure, scope of review, and periodic compliance reporting	Sharia supervisory documentation, audit reports, compliance statements, remediation records	Issuer, sharia supervisory bodies, and oversight institutions
Cross Pillar Governance	Accountability map across end-to-end digital journey	Clear responsibility matrix for onboarding, ordering, payment, settlement, post sale services, and incident response	Responsibility matrix, incident logs, joint SOPs, and coordination records	Issuer, distribution partners, and coordinating authority

The proposed blueprint operationalizes investor protection as measurable regulatory obligations rather than general principles. It establishes minimum standards for digital risk disclosure, integrated complaint handling, and sharia compliance assurance, each supported by clear operational requirements and auditable evidence trails. This approach ensures that investor protection is enforceable within a multi-actor digital distribution ecosystem.

From a regulatory perspective, international discussions on digital financial services and sukuk governance emphasize that disclosure and conduct standards must align with the complexity of digital financial infrastructures and investor behavior patterns. In addition, Indonesian financial services regulation underscores the importance of transparency, accountability, and responsive complaint handling as core components of investor protection frameworks.

Implementation of the proposed model should follow a staged approach to ensure feasibility and regulatory alignment. The initial stage may prioritize standardized risk disclosure templates, establishment of a single-entry complaint system with service-level commitments, and structured sharia compliance disclosure requirements. Subsequent stages may focus on strengthening audit integration, enhancing cross-actor coordination, and implementing technology-enabled traceability mechanisms. This gradual implementation approach supports governance maturity while ensuring that investor protection remains operationally effective in digital retail SBSN markets.

4. Conclusion

The study concludes that investor protection in digital retail SBSN must be reconstructed because digital distribution fundamentally transforms risk exposure, information flow, and accountability structures. The end-to-end but multi-actor nature of digital distribution creates responsibility gaps that weaken legal certainty when operational failures occur. Risk disclosure becomes less effective due to interface-driven presentation that reduces salience and comparability, thereby increasing information asymmetry for retail investors. Complaint handling is also fragmented across multiple institutions, leading to higher coordination costs and weaker enforceability of investor rights, while sharia compliance, as a key trust foundation, risks becoming merely declarative if not supported by traceable governance and verifiable audit mechanisms. These findings confirm that formal compliance is insufficient without operational, auditable, and integrated protection mechanisms across the digital SBSN ecosystem. Accordingly, the proposed reconstructed model integrates three pillars, namely standardized and auditable digital risk disclosure, a single-entry integrated complaint and dispute resolution system, and transparent, traceable sharia compliance assurance, which collectively strengthen legal certainty, accountability, and investor trust. The model further implies the need for Minimum Regulatory Standards covering disclosure content, complaint service-level obligations, escalation pathways, and sharia governance requirements, which should be implemented in a staged manner to ensure regulatory feasibility and institutional readiness. The study is limited by its reliance on secondary document analysis without direct empirical validation from platform users or case-level complaint data, therefore future research is needed to test disclosure effectiveness, measure complaint resolution performance, and evaluate sharia assurance practices in real digital investment environments.

5. References

Journals:

Almaqtari, F. A., Yahya, A. T., Al-Maskari, N., Farhan, N. H. S., & Al-Aamri, A.-M. Y. Y. (2025). Assessing the Integrated Role of IT Governance, Fintech, and Blockchain in Enhancing Sustainability Performance and Mitigating Organizational Risk. *Risks*, *13*(6), 105. https://doi.org/10.3390/risks13060105

Chuang, M. Y., & Shrestha, S. K. (2025). Fintech Converges with Investment and Risk: A Bibliometric Review. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *18*(9), 517. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18090517

Dimyati, D., Rosyadi, M. I., & Fageh, A. (2023). Smart Sukuk Berbasis Blockchain Tinjauan Maqasid Syariah Al-Najjar. *Jurnal Ilmiah Ekonomi Islam*, *9*(3), 4144. https://doi.org/10.29040/jiei.v9i3.10409

Fachsandy, F., & Akbar, N. (2024). How Financial Risk Affects Indonesia Sovereign Sukuk Market Development. *The Journal of Muamalat and Islamic Finance Research*, *21*(1), 127–138. https://doi.org/10.33102/jmifr.534

Fauzi, M. A., Arifin, S., Hardyansah, R., Putra, A. R., & Negara, D. S. (2025). Legal Certainty and Investor Protection in Digital Crowdfunding. *Journal of Science, Technology and Society (SICO)*, *6*(1), 39–50. https://ejournalsico.com/index.php/sico/article/view/58

Ferreira, L. V. A., Alves, C. A. de M., Peotta de Melo, L., & Nunes, R. R. (2025). Internal Audit Strategies for Assessing Cybersecurity Controls in the Brazilian Financial Institutions. *Applied Sciences*, *15*(10), 5715. https://doi.org/10.3390/app15105715

Haryanto, I. (2024). Implementation of Online Dispute Resolution (ODR) in Indonesia. *Jurnal Hukum Dan Keadilan*, *1*(3), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.61942/jhk.v1i3.121

Hassan, M. K., & Raza Rabbani, M. (2023). Sharia governance standards and the role of AAOIFI: a comprehensive literature review and future research agenda. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, *14*(5), 677–698. https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-04-2022-0111

Ilmiyah, I., & Muiz, A. (2023). Analisis Fatwa DSN-MUI No. 137/DSN-MUI/IX/2020 tentang Sukuk dalam Perspektif Fiqih Muamalah. *Journal of Islamic Finance and Syariah Banking*, *1*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.63321/jifsb.v1i1.8

IOSCO. (2024). *Digital Engagement Practices (DEPs): Report* (Issue CR/09/2024).

Isnaini, S., Luthfi, A. H., & Ramdhani, F. Z. (2025). Legal Protection of Sharia Investors in Indonesia: A Maqāṣid-Based Critique and Comparative Study of Malaysia's System. *Az-Zarqa': Jurnal Hukum Bisnis Islam*, *16*(2), 276–299. https://doi.org/10.14421/az-zarqa.v16.i2.4140

Liu, Q., Chan, K.-C., & Chimhundu, R. (2024). Fintech research: systematic mapping, classification, and future directions. *Financial Innovation*, *10*(1), 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-023-00524-z

Liu, Y., Abdul Rahman, A., Imna Mohd Amin, S., & Ja'afar, R. (2025). Navigating fintech and banking risks: insights from a systematic literature review. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *12*(1), 717. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-025-05055-9

Nawaz, T. (2025). Balancing Shariah Authenticity and Market Stability: A Scenario-Based Framework for Implementing AAOIFI Shariah Standard No. 62 in the Global Sukuk Market. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *18*(11), 604. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm18110604

Ramadhan, M. N., Hapiz, M., Syarah, V. R., Hardiansyah, D., & Ramadhani, A. (2025). Legal Protection for Retail Investors in Green Sukuk: An Analysis of Sharia Economic Law. *ASAS*, *17*(2), 59–71. https://doi.org/10.24042

Setiawan, R. A., & Suwandaru, A. (2024). Risk of Islamic securities (SUKUK) and a proposed reforms for development: the Indonesian experience. *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment*, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2024.2337359

Siddiqi, Y. A. (2024). *AAOIFI Shari'ah Standard No. 62: Sukuk (Draft/Overview)*. https://aaoifi.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/11/DRAFT-AAOIFI-SS-62-ArEn.pdf

Slapničar, S., Vuko, T., Čular, M., & Drašček, M. (2022). Effectiveness of cybersecurity audit. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, *44*, 100548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2021.100548

Vijayagopal, P., Jain, B., & Ayinippully Viswanathan, S. (2024). Regulations and Fintech: A Comparative Study of the Developed and Developing Countries. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, *17*(8), 324. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm17080324

Books:

AAOIFI. (2023). *Deadline Extension for Industry Feedback on Exposure Draft of Shari'ah Standard No. 62 (Sukuk)*. Accounting and Auditing Organization for Islamic Financial Institutions.

ASEAN. (2022). *ASEAN Guidelines on Online Dispute Resolution (ODR)*. Association of Southeast Asian Nations.\

Bank Indonesia. (2022). *Sharia Economic and Financial Review 2022*.

DSN-MUI. (2020). *Fatwa DSN-MUI Nomor 137/DSN-MUI/IX/2020 tentang Sukuk*. Dewan Syariah Nasional - Majelis Ulama Indonesia.
OJK. (2023a). *Indonesian Islamic Financial Development Report (LPKSI) 2023*.

Regulation

OJK. (2020). Financial Services Authority Regulation Number 61/POJK.07/2020 concerning Alternative Dispute Resolution Institutions in the Financial Services Sector. Financial Services Authority (OJK).
OJK. (2023b). Financial Services Authority Regulation Number 22 of 2023 concerning Consumer and Public Protection in the Financial Services Sector. Financial Services Authority (OJK).
OJK. (2024). Financial Services Authority Regulation Number 3 of 2024 concerning the Implementation of Technology Innovation in the Financial Sector. Financial Services Authority (OJK).
Republic of Indonesia. (2022). Law Number 27 of 2022 concerning Personal Data Protection.