



# **IMAM AS SYAFEI BUILDING**

Faculty of Law, Sultan Agung Islamic University Jalan Raya Kaligawe, KM. 4 Semarang, Indonesia

**UNISSULA PRESS** 

ISBN. 978-602-1145-67-8

# The 3<sup>rd</sup> PROCEEDING

"Legal Development in Various Countries"

### IMAM AS SYAFEI BUILDING

Faculty of Law, Sultan Agung Islamic University Jalan Raya Kaligawe, KM. 4 Semarang, Indonesia

Diterbitkan oleh : UNISSULA PRESS

ISBN. 978-602-1145-67-8

# The 3<sup>rd</sup> PROCEEDING

"Legal Development in Various Countries"

#### Reviewer:

Prof. Dr. H. Gunarto, S.H., S.E., Akt., M. Hum

Dr. Hj. AnisMashdurohatun, S.H., M.Hum

Prof. Henning Glaser

Prof. Dr. I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rachmi Handayani, MM

Prof. Shimada Yuzuru

Prof. Associate Dr. Dr. Ahmad ZaharudinSani

### Editor:

Dr. Amin Purnawan., S.H., CN., M.Hum

Dr. Hj. Widayati.,S.H.,M.H

Dr. Hj. Sri EndahWahyuningsih, S.H., M.Hum

Dr. H. Ahmad Khisni., S.H., M.H

M. Abdul Hadi.,SE

## Hak Cipta © 2016, pada penulis

Hak Publikasi pada penerbit UNISSULA PRESS

Dilarang memperbanyak, memperbanyak sebagian atau seluruh isi dari buku ini dalam bentuk apapun, tanpa izin tertulis pada penerbit.

Hal i-x, 1-391

### Cetakan Pertama Tahun 2017

### **Penerbit UNISSULA PRESS**

Jl. Raya Kaligawe Km. 4 Semarang 50112

PO BOX 1054/SM,

Telp. (024) 6583584, Fax. (024) 6594366

ISBN. 978-602-1145-67-8

# INFORMATION OF THE CONFERENCE AND CALL PAPER



This Conference And Call Paperwas held by the Faculty of Law, Sultan Agung Islamic University (UNISSULA) Semarang, on:

Day: Tuesday

Date : September5<sup>th</sup> 2017

Time : 08:00 - 15:00 pm

Place : Imam AsSyafei Building 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor

Faculty of Law, Sultan Agung Islamic University, Semarang, Indonesia

Jl. Raya Kaligawe Km. 4 PO. BOX.1054 Telp. (024) 6583584 Fax.(024)6582455 Semarang 50112

# COMMITTEE OF THE $3^{\rm rd}$ INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE AND CALL FOR PAPER

# "LEGAL DEVELOPMENT IN VARIOUS COUNTRIES"

Responsible Person : Prof. Dr. H. Gunarto.,S.H.,SE.,Akt.,M.Hum (Dean)

Advisory : Dr. Hj. Widayati.,S.H.,MH

Arpangi.,S.H.,M.H

Dr. Hj. AnisMashdurohatun,S.H,M.Hum Dr. H. Ahmad Khisni.,S.H.,M.H Dr.H. Umar Ma'ruf, SH.,Sp.N.,M.Hum

Kami Hartono.,S.H.,M.H

Chairwoman : Dr. Hj. AnisMashdurohatun,S.H,M.Hum Secretary : Dr. Hj. Sri Endah Wahyuningsih,S.H,M.Hum

Treasurer : Dr. Hj. Sri Kusriyah., S.H., M. Hum

Drafting Team : Dr. H. Amin Purnawan., SH., CN., M. HumH

Denny Suwondo.,S.H.,M FaisolAzhari.,S.H.,M.Hum Hj. AryaniWitasari.,S.H.,M.H

Event Division : Anita., S.S., M.H

Secretariat and Supplies

Division

Coordinator : M. Abdul Hadi.,SE Member : Slamet Ariyanto

> Dyan Teguh Aryanto, Amd M. Ngaziz.,S.H.,M.H Hendro Widodo.,S.H.,M.H NailulMokorobin.,S.Psi

AgusPrayoga

Publication and

Documentation Division: Ikrom., S.H

Member Ahmad Mutohar.,S.H

Achmad Arifullah., S.H., M.H

Consumption Division: Shinta Pratiwi

Member Latifah Rosdiyati., S.E

Siti Pardiyah Laili Rohmah.,S.E Laila Najihah.,S.H

Receptionist : Riftia Anggita W.S.,S.H

Auliana

General Assistant : Riswanto

Nur Alamsyah

Rofiq

Security : Rohmani

Arif

Driver : Ismail

Irwanto

(Vice Dean I)

(Vice Dean II)

(Head of PDIH)

(Head of M.Kn)

(Head of MIH)

(Head of PDIH)

(Secretary of PDIH)

(Secretary of MIH)

(Head of S1)

**PREFACE** 

Assalamu'alaikum, Wr. Wb

First of all, let's say Thanks to Allah, who has been giving us guidance, happiness, healthy, and mercy, so we can finish this conference proceeding without any obstacles. Praise and salutation upon our prophet Muhammad saw the last messenger, the best figure of this universe; the person who was able to save us from Jahiliyah era.

We would like to extend our thanks to the invited speakers: **Prof. Henning Glaser** from Thammasat University, Prof. Shimada Yuzuru from Nagoya University, Hilaire Tegnan, Ph.D from Sorbone University, Prof. Dr. I Gusti Ayu Ketut Rachmi Handayani, MM from SebelasMaret University, Dr. Zaharudin from Universiti Utara Malaysia, and Dr. Anis Mashdurohatun, S.H., M.Hum from Sultan Agung Islamic University.

This is our third International conference and call for paper held by Faculty of Law, Sultan Agung Islamic University. This annual conference tries to gain any information and studies done by academician and practitioner to be discussed as guidelines to exchange and discus views on the most important recent on Legal Development happens in both developed and developing countries and its role in shaping a good future, and to discuss the challenges and practical aspects in integrating competition law enforcement and guidelines to develop legal state in accordance with the diversity of all countries around the world. We hope this conference brings benefit for both participants and our faculty.

We are pleased to have your critique, suggestion and correction in order to make us better. Finally, we do thanks to all who helped this conference. May Allah guide us to always develop useful knowledge for human being.

See you in our fourth International and call for paper next year.

Wassalamualaikum, Wr. Wb

Semarang, September 5<sup>th</sup> 2017

Chairman of the Committee,

Dr. AnisMashdurohatun, S.H., M.Hum

NIDN: 06-02105-7002

GREETING FROM THEDEANOF FACULTY OFLAW

As-salamu'alaikum Wr. Wb.

Thank to Allah SWT is an absolute act that we must say after conducting the

International Conference and Call for Paper by theme: "Legal Development in Various

Countries" which is held by Faculty of Law, Sultan AgungIslamic University

(UNISSULA) Semarang, on September5<sup>th</sup> 2017.

This conference tries to reviews different theories of legal development in order to

highlight their similarities and differences. In the end, as in contract theories, no monist

view of legal development possesses the explanatory power needed to understand how law

has come to be and where it may take us in the future. What we do have is a foundation

built on at least two millennia of legal history. The intellectual starting point for this

project is Nathan Isaacs' unfinished work on a cycle theory of legal development. His view

of legal development takes issue with Henry Sumner Maine's thesis that development in

advanced legal systems is progressive in nature. And, more importantly for the current

undertaking, that this progression is linear in nature. Instead, Isaacs' review of thousands

of years of Jewish legal development indicated that legal development perpetually

progressed in cycles.

Therefore, to discuss more about legal development or law reform, Faculty of Law,

Sultan Agung Islamic University is confidence to conduct a conference by the theme "

Legal Development in Various Countries" focusing on the development of law in both

developed and developing countries and its role in shaping a good future.

Finally, we thank to the presenters, article senders, and comittee who have

contributed in this event, so that this international seminar ran well.

Wassalamu'alaikum Wr. Wb.

Semarang, September5<sup>th</sup> 2017

Dean,

Prof. Dr. Gunarto, SH, SE, Akt, M.Hum

NIDN.062004670

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Front Page	i
Information of the InternationalSeminar	ii
Committee Composition	iii
Preface	iv
Greeting From TheDeanFaculty ofLaw	vi
THE IMPACT OF ARTICLE 3(1) OF MALAYSIAN CONSTITUTION TOWARDS JUDGMENT MADE IN CIVIL COURT Ahmad Zaharuddin Sani Sabri	1
INTANGIBLES INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEVELOPMENT CONCEPTS AS BANKING PRINCIPLES IN INDONESIA Anis Mashdurohatun	11
THE HISTORICAL DEVELOPMENT OF THE FRENCH LEGALSYSTEM Hilaire Tegnan	23
JAPANESE CONSTITUTION AND STATE SYSTEM Shimada Yuzuru	29
POWER AND PROCESSES UNDER THE THAI CONSTITUTION 2017" Henning Glaser	38
JURIDICAL NORMATIVE REVIEW OF DIFFERENT RELIGIOUS MARRIAGE Doni Adi Supriyo	38
THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ROLES AND FUNCTIONS OF REGIONAL HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES (DPRD)BASED ON LAW STATE FRAMEWORK TO ACHIEVE GOOD GOVERNANCE Agus Sukadi	65
OPTIMALIZATION OF THE ROLE OF THE DPRD (Regional House of Representative) IN THE PREPARATION OF REGIONAL REGULATIONS Budi Alimudin	81
THE PROGRESSIVE LEGAL THEORY IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF LAW ENFORCEMENT BY THE LAW ENFORCER (POLICE, PROSECUTOR, JUDGE)  Toguh Santago	00
Teguh Santoso	99

CRIMINAL POLICIES IN LEGAL ACCOUNTABILITY AGAINST FACILITA	
OF HEALTH SERVICES AND HEALTH PERSONNEL IN DISTRIBUTION A	
SALES OF HARD DRUGS FOR SALE WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION DOCTOR	
Teguh Santoso	•••••
	ТТОХ
CRIMINAL POLICIES IN LEGAL ACCOUNTABILITY AGAINST FACILITA	
OF HEALTH SERVICES AND HEALTH PERSONNEL IN DISTRIBUTION A	
SALES OF HARD DRUGS FOR SALE WITHOUT PRESCRIPTION DOCTOR	
Yadi Supriyadi	•••••
	CE OF
RECONSTRUCTION OF PATIENT LEGAL PROTECTION HOSPITAL IN US	SE OF
X-RAY IN THE HEALTH BASED FIELD OF JUSTICE	
Andhika Yuli Rimbawan	••••
CORRUPTION ASSET RECOVERY THROUGH STATE CIVIL LAWSUIT	
Sujono	••••
THE EFFECTIVENESS OF GUIDANCE OF CHILD PRISONERS	
IN ADULT PRISON	
Wilsa	
** 115 <b>u</b>	••••
URGENCY OF VOTERS PARTICIPATION ON THE REGIONAL HEAD	
ELECTION IN THE STATE OF DEMOCRACY	
(Study: Voters Participation On Governor and Vice Governor Election	
in Indonesia in2015)	
Dewi Haryanti	
Dewi Haryanu	••••
COMPARATIVE RULES ON DETENTION IN SOME COUNTRIES	
Dewi Haryanti	
Dewi Thai yanti	••••
THE DEVELOPMENT OF LAW OF BUYING AND SELLING LAND IN INDONESIA	1
Lilik Warsito	
INDONESIAN LEGAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRESSIVE LAW APPROACH '	TO
BUILD THE LAW IN INDONESIAN SENSE	
Wendra Yunaldi	
REMOTE SENSING TO THE INDONESIAN SURFACE OF THE	
FOREIGN SATELLITE AND THE SOVEREIGNTY OF INDONESIA	
Ruman Sudradjat	
THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE RAHN SYARIAH LAW	
IN THE LEGAL SYSTEM OF WARRANTIES OF INDONESIA	
Suryati	••••
THE DEVELOPMENT OF ISLAMIC LAWIN THE LEGAL SYSTEM	
IN INDONESIA Sumarwoto	
Juiiai wulu	

CONTRACT ABOLITION DUE TO UNDUE INFLUENCE (LAW RECONSTRUCTION OF OBLIGATION THE CIVIL CODE IN INDONESIA) Bahmid	210
Danning	210
FIDUCIARY GUARANTEE PROBLEMATICS WITH OBJECTS INVENTORY IN CREDIT AGREEMENT LathifahHanim and MS.Noorman	214
LEGAL POLICY OF INVESTIGATOR IN CASE SETTLEMENTCRIMINAL VIOLENCE IN THE HOUSEHOLD Anwar Sanusi Simanjuntak	222
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS COURT'S VERDICT IN THE CASE OF CERTAIN TIME WORKING AGREEMENT (PKWT) BECOME UNCERTAIN TIME WORKING AGREEMENT (PKWTT) (Analysis of Industrial Relations Court's Verdict Number: 37/G/2011/PHI.Mdn) MangarajaManurung	222
DOMESTIC COMPANY LAW "PMDN" AFTER SHARE PURCHASED (ACQUIRED) BY FOREIGN CITIZENS OR FOREIGN LEGAL AGENCIES M. IrfanIslamiRambe	245
GUARANTEE OF RICE FARMS HAVE NOT YET BEEN HARVESTED IN SIMALUNGUN REGENCY RiduanManik	245
LEGAL PROTECTION OF CONSUMERS IN CONSUMER FINANCING AGREEMENTS Imelda Mardayanti	267
THE AUTHORITY OF PERFORMING A DEATH PENALTY ACCORDING TO THE DOCTRINE OF LOVE OF JESUS CHRIST IN THE BIBLE Dame Pandiangan	278
CRIMINAL ACCIDENT OF NARCOTICS, APPLICATION OF LAW NUMBER 35 YEAR 2009 AND JUDICIAL DECISIONS IN THE COURTCOUNTRY KISARAN Muhammad SalimFauziLubis	283
ISLAMIC LAW STUDY ABOUT DAM TAMATU' HAJJ FOR INDONESIAN JAMAAH HAJJ FOR PEOPLE'S CONSULTATION Muthoam	290
IS RICH AND POOR UNIFORM IN PATENT LAW AbdThalib	299

PREVENT VIOLENT ONLINE VIDEO GAMES THROUGH	
LEGAL CONSTRUCTION	
Yenny AS, Charlyna S. Purba, Hendrik	309
COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION IN THE FORMATION	
OF LOCAL REGULATION BASED ON JUSTICE	
(Analysis of Political Interaction and Law)	
NursidWarsonoSetiawan	314
	EOD
THE ROLE OF POLITICAL PARTIES IN RECRUITMENT OF CANDIDATES	
REGIONAL HEAD AND DEPUTY REGIONAL HEADS BASED ON LAW NO. 3	52
YEAR 2004 (CASE STUDY IN PURBALINGGA AND CILACAP)	22.4
Anton Budiarto	324
THE BASICS AND THE FUNCTIONS OF FINGERPRINTS OF MURDER	
PERPETRATORS	242
AchmadSulchan, Annisa	343
RECONSTRUCTION OF DIFFERENT TYPES OF MENS REA TO PROVE	
CORRUPTIONBASED ON JUSTICE VALUES	2.40
ArifAwaludin	349
DDINCIDI EC OF FAID I AND DECICED ATION	
PRINCIPLES OF FAIR LAND REGISTRATION	
(STUDY OF PUBLIC SERVICE OF LAND REGISTRATION IN INDONESIA)	
Shalman	355
INDEPENDENCY AND IMPARTIALITY OF AD HOC JUDGE	
INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS COURT (PHI) IN RESOLVING DISPUTES	250
ResyDesifaNasution	378
CONSTRUCTION WORK CONTRACT IN GOVERNMENT	
BASED VALUE OF BENEFIT	205
MokhamadHilman	387
SHARIA ECONOMICS DISPUTE RESOLUTION	
IN RELIGIOUS COURT INSTITUTIONS	400
Amanah	400
WOMEN DROWEGEION DOLLOW EDOM DUNGLOAL MICHENICE DAGED ON	
WOMEN PROTECTION POLICY FROM PHYSICAL VIOLENCE BASED ON	
JUSTICE VALUES	445
HadjarHandokojati	417
LECAL ANALYSIS ON THE IMBLEMENTATION OF DIDEOU ADDOLLERADA	TT
LEGAL ANALYSIS ON THE IMPLEMENTATION OF DIRECT APPOINTMENT OF PROCEEDINGS OF COMPENSATION OF DIRECT APPOINTMENT OF THE PROCEEDING OF THE PROCEDURE OF THE PROC	11
OF PROCUREMENT SERVICESOF GOVERNMENT'S PROJECT	404
HumalaSitinjak	424
DECONSTRUCTION ON CORDUNTION ACT AND	
RECONSTRUCTION ON CORRUPTION ACT AND	
SHIFTING BURDEN OF PROOF ON THE SETTLEMENT OF CORRUPTION	
IN INDONESIA	40:
IbnuHadiar	434

COMPARATIVE RELIGIOUS APPROACH IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF	
NATIONAL CRIMINAL LAW SYSTEM	
Sri EndahWahyuningsih	443
LEGAL STUDY OF DECISIONSSUPREME COURTS	
NUMBER: 85 K / Pid.Sus / 2012Contract Abolition Due to Undue Influence	
(Law Reconstruction of Obligation the Civil Code in Indonesia)	
Ismail	449
THE EXISTENCE AND RECONSTRUCTION OF SALE AND	
PURCHASE FIQIH MADHAB SYAFI'I IN GLOBALIZATION ERA	
(Sale and Purchase Practice Study in PondokPesantrenTahfidzul Qur'an Al-	
Asy'ariyahWonosobo Central Java and PondokPesantren Al-Munawir	
Krapyak Jogjakarta)	
Machfudz	457
RECONSTRUCTION OF LEGAL SANCTIONS ON BUILDING FAILURE	
IN LAW NO.2 YEAR 2017 ON CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	
BASED ON THE VALUE OF BENEFIT	
SubhanSyarief	466
THE CONSTRUCTION OF RESIDENTIAL SERVICES AND	
CIVIL REGISTRATION BY THE GOVERNMENT OF	
PEMATANGSIANTAR CITY IN PERSPECTIVE OF	
PUBLIC SERVICES LAW NO: 25 2009	
PandapotanDamanik	485
CRIMINAL RESPONSIBILITY AND CIVIL RESPONSIBILITY	
ACCORDING TO COMMON LAW FOR A MAN	
WHO HAS SEXUAL INTERCOURSE BEFORE LEGAL MARRIAGE	
MangembangPandiangan	485
INTERNATIONAL SEMINAR PHOTOS	512

COMPARATIVE RULES ON DETENTION IN SOME COUNTRIES

Muhammad Khambali

hmkhambali@yahoo.com

Lecturer at Law Faculty of Cokroaminoto University, Yogyakarta

**Abstract** 

Detention is a form of deprivation of one's freedom of movement. Within detention there is a conflict between two principles: the right of one's human rights which must be respected on the one hand, and the interests of public order on the other side which must be maintained for the

people or society of the criminal acts of the suspect or defendant. Therefore, detention should be done if necessary once. The mistakes in detention can result in fatalities for many parties

including arrest.

Theories distinguish the validity (rechwaardigheid) and the necessity (noodzakelijkheid)

of detention. The rethare (rechwaardigheid) of detention is objective and absolute, meaning that it can be seen in the laws of what deliberations can be made against the suspect or defendant. Whereas the necessity (noodzakelijkheid) of detention is relative because it determines whether

or not detention is necessary to be held depending on the appraisal of the competent authority

who will make the detention.

Thus a suspect or defendant from the first detention of him in the framework of the

investigation to the cassation level may be held for a maximum of 400 (four hundred) days. Under Article 29 of the Criminal Procedure Code provides that the detention of a suspect or

defendant may be extended again.

**Keywords:** detention, comparison, suspect. Defendant.

Introduction

Article 1 point 21 of the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP) specifies that the detention

is the placement of a suspect or defendant in a certain place by an investigator or public

prosecutor or judge by his opinion, in matters and according to the manner laid down in this law.

Detention is a form of deprivation of one's freedom of movement. Within detention there

is a conflict between two principles: the right of one's human rights which must be respected on

the one hand, and the interests of public order on the other side which must be maintained for the

people or society of the criminal acts of the suspect or defendant. Therefore, detention should be

done if necessary once. The mistakes in detention can result in fatalities for many parties

including arrest.1

Andi Hamzah, 2001, Hukum Acara Pidana Indonesia, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika, page 127.

158

Article 21 of the Criminal Procedure Code regulates the validity as well as the need for detention. Theories distinguish the validity (rechwaardigheid) and the necessity (noodzakelijkheid) of detention. The rethare (rechwaardigheid) of detention is objective and absolute, meaning that it can be seen in the laws of what deliberations can be made against the suspect or defendant. Whereas the necessity (noodzakelijkheid) of detention is relative because it determines whether or not detention is necessary to be held depending on the appraisal of the competent authority who will make the detention. The provisions concerning the validity (rechwaardigheid) of detention are included in Article 21 paragraph (4) of the Criminal Procedure Code, whereas the need (noodzakelijkheid) of detention is included in Article 21 paragraph (1) of the Criminal Procedure Code.

Article 21 paragraph (1) of the Criminal Procedure Code stipulates that the order of detention or continued detention of a suspect or defendant suspected of having committed a crime on the basis of sufficient evidence, is based on the concern of a suspect or defendant:

- 1. Escape.
- 2. Damage or eliminate evidence.
- 3. Repeat offenses.

Article 21 paragraph (4) of the Criminal Procedure Code stipulates that detention shall be imposed on a suspect or defendant committing a crime and / or trial or providing assistance in the offense in the event that the offense is punishable by imprisonment of 5 (five) years or more, (1), Article 351 Paragraph (1), Article 353 Paragraph (1), Article 372, Article 378, Article 379 a, Article 453, Article 454, Article 455, Article 459, Article 480, Article 506 of the Criminal Code, Article 25 and Article 26 of Rechtenordonnantie (Violation of the Customs Ordinance, last modified by Staatsblad Year 1931 Number 471), Article 1, Article 2 and Article 4 of the Criminal Act Immigration (Law Number 8, 1955, State Gazette Year 1955 Number 8), Article 36 Paragraph (7), Article 41, Article 42, Article 43, Article 47 and Article 48 of Law Number 9 Year 1976 regarding Narcotics (State Gazette of the Republic of Indonesia Year 1976 Number 37, Supplement to State Gazette Number 3086).

Details of the detention of a suspect or defendant in Indonesian criminal procedure law, under the provisions of Article 24, Article 25, Article 26, Article 27, Article 28 of the Criminal Procedure Code, as follows:

- 1. Detention by Investigator or Investigator Assistant no later than 20 days.
- 2. Extension by the Prosecutor shall be no later than 40 days.
- 3. Detention by the Prosecutor shall not exceed 20 days.
- 4. Extension by the Chairman of the District Court for a maximum of 30 days.
- 5. Detention by a District Court Judge shall not exceed 30 days.
- 6. Extension by the Chief Justice of the District Court shall be no later than 60 days.
- 7. Detention by a High Court Judge shall not exceed 30 days.
- 8. Extension by the President of the Court of Appeal at the latest 60 days.
- 9. Detention by MARI up to 50 days.
- 10. Extension by the Chair of MARI shall be no more than 60 days.

Thus a suspect or defendant from the first detention of him in the framework of the investigation to the cassation level may be held for a maximum of 400 (four hundred) days. Under Article 29 of the Criminal Procedure Code provides that the detention of a suspect or defendant may be extended again.

### Article 29:

- (1) Excluded from the period of detention referred to in Article 24, Article 25, Article 26, Article 27 and Article 28, for the purpose of examination, the detention of a suspect or defendant may be extended on the grounds that it is reasonable and unavoidable because:
  - a. suspects or defendants suffering from severe physical or mental disorders, as evidenced
     by a doctor's certificate, or
  - b. cases being examined are threatened with imprisonment of nine years or more.
- (2) The extension referred to in paragraph (1) shall be issued for a maximum of thirty days and in the event that such detention is still required, may be extended for a maximum of thirty days.
- (3) The extension of detention on the basis of request and inspection report in the level of:

- a. investigations and prosecutions are given by the chairman of the district court.
- b. examination in the country court is given by the head of the high court.
- c. an appeal-is given by the Supreme Court.
- d. a cassation examination was given by the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court.
- (4) The use of the authority of extension of detention by the official referred to in paragraph (3) shall be done gradually and with full responsibility.
- (5) The provisions referred to in paragraph (2) shall not exclude the possibility of the release of a suspect or defendant from detention before the expiration of the period of detention, if the interests of the examination have been fulfilled.
- (6) After sixty days, although the case has not yet been examined or has not been terminated, the suspect or defendant shall be released from detention by law.
- (7) To the extension of detention in paragraph (2) a suspect or defendant may file an objection at the following levels:
  - a. investigation and prosecution to the chairman of the High Court.
  - the examination of the district court and the appeal to the Chief Justice of the Supreme
     Court.

Article 22 of the Criminal Procedure Code states that there are 3 types of detention of a suspect or defendant, namely:

- 1. detention of detention of state homes.
- 2. house arrest.
- 3. city detention.

Detention of a suspect or defendant may be transferred to the type of detention from one type of detention to another. The authorized official transfers one type of detention to another type of detention is the investigator or the public prosecutor or judge.

## **Problem Formulation**

The formulation of a problem in this paper entitled "Comparative Rules on Detention in Some Countries" is how regulations on the detention of suspects or defendants in other countries such as Malaysia and the Netherlands?

### **Discussion**

In a number of countries such as Malaysia, Japan, Netherlands, America, France have set clearly how long a person should be subject to detention. According to Andi Hamzah, in some of these countries, the suspension of detention takes precedence over detention.<sup>2</sup> In this paper we will discuss comparative regulations on detention in Malaysia and the Netherlands.

# 1. Regulation of detention in Malaysia

In Malaysia the rules of detention are governed by the Criminal Procedure Code, as follows:<sup>3</sup>

- a. Section 28, How person arrested is to be dealt with and detention for more than twenty-four hours:
  - (1) a police officer making an arrest without a warrant shall without unnecessary delay and subject to the provisions herein as to bail or previous release take or send the person arrested before a magistrate.
  - (2) no police officer shall detain in custody a person arrested without a warrant for a longer period than under all the circumstances of the case is reasonable.
  - (3) such period shall not in the absence or after the expiry of a special order of a magistrate under section 117 exceed twenty-four hours exclusive of the time necessary for the journey from the place of arrest to the magistrate.
- b. Section 28A, Rights of person arrested:
  - (1) a person arrested without a warrant shall be informed as soon as may be of the grounds of his arrest by the police officer making the arrest.
  - (2) a police officer shall, before commencing any form of questioning or recording of any statement from the person arrested, inform the person that he may:

\_

https://nasional.sindonews.com/read/1014737/13/ternyata-ada-salah-tafsir-soal-proses-penahanan-sesuai-kuhap-1434728526 accessed December 14, 2016 at 20.00 pm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Criminal Procedure Code, Act 593, published by the Commissioner of Law Revision, Malaysia, Under the Authority of the Revision of Laws Act 1968, 1 November 2012 downloaded from the link <a href="http://54.251.120.208/doc/laws/Act">http://54.251.120.208/doc/laws/Act</a> 593 - Criminal Procedure Code (CPC).pdf dated 9-3-2017 at 21.00 pm.

- (a) communicate or attempt to communicate, with a relative or friend to inform of his whereabouts: and
- (b) communicate or attempt to communicate and consult with a legal practitioner of his choice.
- (3) where the person arrested wishes to communicate or attempt to communicate with the persons referred to in paragraphs (2)(a) and (b), the police officer shall, as soon as may be, allow the arrested person to do so.
- (4) where the person arrested has requested for a legal practitioner to be consulted, the police officer shall allow a reasonable time:
  - (a) for the legal practitioner to be present to meet the person arrested at his place of detention; and
  - (b) for the consultation to take place.
- (5) the consultation under subsection (4) shall be within the sight of a police officer and in circumstances, in so far as practicable, where their communication will not be overheard.
- (6) the police officer shall defer any questioning or recording of any statement from the person arrested for a reasonable time until the communication or attempted communication under paragraph 2(b) or the consultation under subsection (4) has been made.
- (7) the police officer shall provide reasonable facilities for the communication and consultation under this section and all such facilities provided shall be free of charge.
- (8) the requirements under subsections (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (7) shall not apply where the police officer reasonably believes that:
  - (a) compliance with any of the requirements is likely to result in
    - (i) an accomplice of the person arrested taking steps to avoid apprehension; or
    - (ii) the concealment, fabrication or destruction of evidence or the intimidation of a witness; or

- (b) having regard to the safety of other persons the questioning or recording of any statement is so urgent that it should not be delayed.
- (9) subsection (8) shall only apply upon authorization by a police officer not below the rank of deputy superintendent of police.
- (10) the police officer giving the authorization under subsection (9) shall record the grounds of belief of the police officer that the conditions specified under subsection (8) will arise and such record shall be made as soon as practicable.
- (11) the investigating officer shall comply with the requirements under subsections (2), (3),(4), (5), (6) and (7) as soon as possible after the conditions specified under subsection (8) have ceased to apply where the person arrested is still under detention under this section or under section 117.
- 2. Section 117, Procedure where investigation cannot be completed within twenty-four hours:
  - (1) whenever any person is arrested and detained in custody and it appears that the investigation cannot be completed within the period of twenty-four hours fixed by section 28 and there are grounds for believing that the accusation or information is well founded the police officer making the investigation shall immediately transmit to a magistrate a copy of the entries in the diary hereinafter prescribed relating to the case and shall at the same time produce the accused before the magistrate.
  - (2) the magistrate before whom an accused person is produced under this section may, whether he has or has no jurisdiction to try the case, authorize the detention of the accused in such custody as follows:
    - (a) if the offence which is being investigated is punishable with imprisonment of less than fourteen years, the detention shall not be more than four days on the first application and shall not be more than three days on the second application; or
    - (b) if the offence which is being investigated is punishable with death or imprisonment of fourteen years or more, the detention shall not be more

than seven days on the first application and shall not be more than seven days on the second application.

- (3) the officer making the investigation shall state in the copy of the entries in the diary referred to in subsection (1), any period of detention of the accused immediately prior to the application, whether or not such detention relates to the application.
- (4) the magistrate, in deciding the period of detention of the accused person, shall take into consideration any detention period immediately prior to the application, whether or not such detention relates to the application.
- (5) the magistrate in deciding the period of detention of the accused shall allow representations to be made either by the accused himself or through a counsel of his choice.
- (6) if the magistrate has no jurisdiction to try the case and considers further detention unnecessary he may order the accused person to be produced before a magistrate having such jurisdiction or, if the case is triable only by the high Court, before himself or another magistrate having jurisdiction with a view to transmission for trial by the high Court.
- (7) a magistrate authorizing under this section detention in the custody of the police shall record his reasons for so doing.
- c. Section 262, Detention of offenders attending in Court:
  - (1) Any person attending a Criminal Court, although not under arrest or upon a summons, may be detained by that Court for the purpose of examination for any offence of which that Court can take cognizance and which, from the evidence, he may appear to have committed, and may be proceeded against as though he had been arrested or summoned.
  - (2) When the detention takes place after a trial has been begun, the proceedings in respect of that person shall be commenced afresh and the witnesses re-heard.

The duration of detention of a suspect or defendant in Malaysia as set out in Section 28 subsection (3) jo Section 117 subsection (1) of Criminal Procedure Code (CPC) that if a person is arrested and detained and investigation can not be completed within 24 hours as referred to

Section 28 Criminal Procedure Core (CPC) and police are still required for investigation, the police immediately send a request to the judge to extend the detention of the suspect or defendant.

Under Section 117 subsection (2) provides that the authority of detention of the defendant in such a prisoner is as follows:

- 1. If a crime under investigation is punishable by a criminal sentence of less than 14 years imprisonment, the detention is not longer than 4 days, and the extension of detention is not longer than 3 days; or
- 2. If a crime under investigation is punishable by imprisonment or imprisonment of 14 years or more, detention is not longer than 7 days, and an extension of detention is not longer than 7 days.

The current case in Malaysia is the murder of Kim Jong Nam, North Korean ruler's eldest brother Kim Jong Un at Kuala Lumpu International Airport on Monday 13 February 2017. Malaysian Royal Police extended the arrest of Siti Aisyah, an Indonesian citizen suspected of involvement in the case of the murder. The extension period of detention is common with Malaysian law. Malaysian state authorities still need time to find solid evidence to bring the case to court. In Malaysia the maximum temporary suspension for the suspect is 14 days.<sup>4</sup>

# 2. Regulation of detention in the Netherlands

The Dutch criminal procedure law is called Wetboek van Strafvordering (1926, partially partial amendment). In addition to arranging pre-trial detention, Wetboek van Strafvordering organizes arrests by police (ophouden voor verhoor, arrest for questioning). First, the police can arrest a suspect for 6 hours, not counting between midnight (24.00) and morning (9:00 am). for 9 hours is intended to provide an opportunity to rest to the person arrested, so that the concerned should not be checked.<sup>5</sup>

\_

http://www.mediaindonesia.com/news/read/93431/penahanan-siti-aisyah-di-malaysia-diperpanjang/2017-02-22 accessed 9-3-2017 at 21.00 pm.

Andi Hamzah, 2014, Pre-Trial Justice Dan Discretionary Justice Dalam KUHAP Berbagai Negara, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika page 90.

In case it is still required for investigative purposes, police officers may ask the prosecutor or deputy prosecutor (senior police) to place the suspect in police custody for 3 days, and may be extended by the prosecutor for 3 more days, totaling 6 days. Post arrest detention by police or ophouden voor verhoor (maximum 6 hours) and police custody or inverzekeringstelling (maximum 6 days), not pre-trial detention.<sup>6</sup>

The system of detention according to Dutch criminal procedure law, as follows:<sup>7</sup>

- Police arrest for 6 hours (not counted between 24.00 pm and 9.00 am).
- Police detention for 3 days may be extended by the prosecutor, to 6 days.
- Detention of a commissioner (rechtercommissaris) judge at the request of the prosecutor for 14 days.
- A 30-day court order detention based on a prosecutor's request, may be renewed twice (2 x 30 days), at the request of the prosecutor, to be 90 days.

According to Wetboek van Strafvordering, the arrest of detention may be granted, if it is assumed, if the detention is passed, will exceed the length of time imposed in the sentence later. The release may be accompanied by certain conditions or guarantees (such as bail in common law states). As in civil law countries (including Indonesia), freed prisoners while awaiting trial is rarely guaranteed by bail, but with the assurance of others or self.<sup>8</sup>

In the Netherlands, some of the requirements of a detainee being released from detention (in Indonesia called "suspension of detention") are not much different from the requirements for imprisonment, among others, as follows:

- Promises to be present when "suspension of detention" is canceled, and promises not to avoid if the person is convicted by a court later.
- Compulsory rehabilitation therapy in case he or she is a narcotic criminal or is required to attend training during the day in other cases.
- Compulsory to wear electronic devices to be monitored as long as not detained / not jailed.
- Compulsory to wear electronic appliance while under house arrest.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Ibid, page 91.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Ibid, page 93.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ibid, page 95.

- Handing money to the court, as a guarantee for suspension of detention.<sup>9</sup>

### Conclusion

Detention in Indonesia is very long, which is detrimental to the suspect or defendant, the family of the suspect or defendant, and the state itself. Therefore, the rules of detention in the Indonesian Criminal Procedure Code (KUHAP) should be renewed, because they are not fair. Especially when associated with the principle of justice: simple, fast, and low cost.

### References

- Al. Wisnubroto & G. Widiartana, 2005, Pembaharuan Hukum Acara Pidana, Bandung: PT Citra Aditya Bakti.
- Andi Hamzah, 2001, Hukum Acara Pidana Indonesia, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- Andi Hamzah, 2014, Pre-Trial Justice Dan Discretionary Justice Dalam KUHAP Berbagai Negara, Jakarta: Sinar Grafika.
- Criminal Procedure Code, Act 593, published by the Commissioner of Law Revision, Malaysia,
  Under the Authority of the Revision of Laws Act 1968, 1 November 2012 downloaded
  from the link <a href="http://54.251.120.208/doc/laws/Act\_593">http://54.251.120.208/doc/laws/Act\_593</a>
  <a href="http://54.251.120.208/doc/laws/Act\_593">Criminal Procedure Code (CPC).pdf</a>
- https://nasional.sindonews.com/read/1014737/13/ternyata-ada-salah-tafsir-soal-proses-penahanan-sesuai-kuhap-1434728526.
- http://www.mediaindonesia.com/news/read/93431/penahanan-siti-aisyah-di-malaysia-diperpanjang/2017-02-22 accessed 9-3-2017 at 21.00 pm.
- Khambali, Muhammad, 2005, Sistem Peradilan Di Indonesia Pasca Amandemen UUD 1945, (Lensa Hukum, Fakultas Hukum Universitas Cokroaminoto Yogyakarta), 1: 46-61.
- Laws of Malaysia, The Criminal Procedure Code, Act 593.
- Sri Endah Wahyuningsih, 2013, Prinsip-Prinsip Individualisasi Pidana Dalam Hukum Pidana Islam, Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Supriyadi Widodo Eddiyono, 2014, Penahanan Pra Persidangan Dalam Rancangan KUHAP, Jakarta: Institute for Criminal Justice Reform.
- Teguh Prasetyo, 2015, Keadilan Bermartabat, Perspektif Teori Hukum, cetakan pertama, Bandung: Nusa Media.
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 1981 tentang Hukum Acara Pidana.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Ibid, page 96.