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ABSTRACT

Background: Separator placement is the first step in orthodontic treatment, which aims to create space between teeth before molar band placement. This procedure can cause pain for the patient. Pain management after separator placement can be done through pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches.

Method: The aim of this rapid review was to determine the efficacy of laser therapy and ibuprofen for pain management after elastomeric separator placement in orthodontic treatment.

Result: Ten articles were included in this review, four articles gave laser therapy interventions and six articles gave ibuprofen therapy interventions. The result of the quality assessment using Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT) was laser therapy intervention has one good quality articles and three limited-quality articles, while ibuprofen therapy has two good quality articles and four limited-quality articles.

Conclusion: The efficacy of laser therapy and the efficacy of ibuprofen on pain after separator placement is good, with the strength of the clinical recommendation of ibuprofen is better than laser therapy.

INTRODUCTION

Separators are used to create space for placement of band that later anchors the fixed orthodontic appliance. The use of elastic separators is widely spread in orthodontic treatment, not only for their convenience in handling, but also due to storage, and produced significantly more space compared to the spring separators.

Placement of separator induces pain in patients. Pain usually begins at 4 hours after separator placement, increases to a peak level at 24 hours, remains bother for the next 3 days, and diminishes over the next 6-8 days. The pain experienced is due to the constant pressure, ischemia, inflammation, and edema in the periodontal ligaments of the teeth that are moved during orthodontic movements.

Pain is a subjective response which shows large individual variation. It is affected by some factors such as age, gender, individual pain threshold, magnitude of force applied, present emotional state and stress, cultural differences and previous pain experiences. Pain due to orthodontic treatment is defined as a moderate level of pain and a tolerable mild-to-moderate jaw discomfort. Pain has been the prime reason for discontinuation of orthodontic treatment.

Several methods have been invented to alleviate orthodontic pain in clinical practice,
including pharmacological approaches, mechanical approaches, laser irradiation therapy and behavioural approaches.\textsuperscript{11,12} Ibuprofen is a nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug that has antinociception, anti-inflammatory, and antipyretic effects. It acts as a non-selective inhibitor of the cyclo-oxygenase 1 (COX-1) and COX-2.\textsuperscript{13} Ibuprofen is significantly effective in reducing pain.\textsuperscript{5,13–15} Laser, a highly popular technological application in recent times, is also being used as an alternative to reduce pain without affecting tooth movement.\textsuperscript{8,16} Laser is thought to control pain by hyperpolarization of the nerve cell membrane, which increases the patient’s pain threshold.\textsuperscript{16}

The aim of this rapid review was to determine the efficacy of laser therapy and ibuprofen for pain management after elastomeric separator placement in orthodontic treatment.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

The eligibility criteria were used in the selection of the articles:


Intervention: article containing administration of laser therapy or ibuprofen to control pain after elastomeric separator placement.

Comparison: article containing administration of placebo or no treatment after elastomeric separator placement.

Outcome: efficacy of laser therapy and ibuprofen in reducing the pain scale based on the VAS score.

Specific search strategies per database were used to identify relevant articles using the AND and OR boolean operators and the limit function of each database if available. The search was carried out using keywords: VAS, pain, orthodontic, and separator by MeSH.

The inclusion criteria were articles in English and in Indonesian, articles containing clinical trials of administration of ibuprofen for pain management after elastomeric separator placement, articles containing clinical trial of laser therapy for pain management after elastomeric separator placement, and accessible full-text articles. The exclusion criteria were non-human trial articles, split-mouth design, absence of a control group, thesis, dissertation, scientific papers, and research that did not use VAS to measure pain.

The present review was done according to the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines. A total of 361 articles were identified through a database search (PubMed = 48 articles, Google Scholar = 216 articles, ScienceDirect = 36 articles, Science.gov = 25 articles, Cochrane Library = 8 articles, and The Angle Orthodontist = 28 articles). The identified articles were exported to the Mendeley Desktop application (version 1.19.4)\textsuperscript{17} to remove duplicates, and a total of 243 articles remained after the duplicates were removed. A total of 230 articles were excluded because they were irrelevant based on the title and abstract, while the other 13 articles were included in a full-text evaluation. A total of 10 articles met the eligibility criteria and were included in the review, the remaining 3 articles were excluded because 2 articles were split-mouth design and 1 article was an ongoing study. The flow diagram of the study selection process is presented in Figure 1.
All included articles were a randomised control trial design, 3 articles \cite{18,19,20} were a parallel study design, 3 articles \cite{19,21,22} were a prospective design, 1 article \cite{23} was a retrospective design, and 1 article \cite{24} was a preliminary clinical study design. In total, 773 participants were included. A total of 4 included articles \cite{23-26} comprised low level laser therapy interventions, while 6 articles \cite{18-22,27} comprised ibuprofen interventions. One included article \cite{26} reported laser irradiation was significantly effective in reducing pain compared to the placebo group. Three included articles \cite{23-25} reported laser irradiation was significantly effective in reducing pain compared to the untreated control group, but 2 of them \cite{24,25} reported that there was no significant difference in pain in the laser group compared to the placebo group. Six articles \cite{18-22,27} reported ibuprofen was significantly effective in reducing pain compared to the placebo group. The characteristics of the included studies is presented in Table 1.

The risk of subjectivity of the included studies was made according to Cochrane Risk of Bias Tools for randomized control trial is presented in Figure 2.

Table 1. The characteristics of the included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author's name</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Regiment</th>
<th>Evaluation period</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al (2013) \cite{25}</td>
<td>RCT, single blind</td>
<td>Baseline (final): 88 (88)</td>
<td>AlGaInP diode laser, wavelength of 635 nm, energy of 10 mJ, output 6 mW</td>
<td>No irradiation as control group</td>
<td>5 minutes, 1 hour, 6 hours, 12 hours and then at days 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7 after the separators were applied.</td>
<td>The laser group showed a statistically significant decrease in pain scores compared with the control group (P = 0.003). The laser group showed significantly lower maximum pain level than those of the LED placebo and control groups 1 day after separator placement. During the first 6 hours, the pain scores in the placebo group were not significantly different from those of the laser group. At 12 and 24 hours after placement of separators, the pain scores of the placebo group were not significantly different.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There were significant differences in mean pain scores between the two groups favoring the group that received LLLT in all measures, for both spontaneous and occlusion pain, except on day 5.
Shetty et al (2013)\textsuperscript{18} RCT, parallel, double blind

Baseline: 68 (68) Piroxicam 20 mg
(first): one hour prior to separator placement, 3 hours, 6, 12 and 24 hours after separator placement.

Age: 18 years
F/M: 45/23 Ibuprofen 400 mg Placebo

Subjects who had taken piroxicam and ibuprofen group significantly showed less pain level (P<0.05) compared to those on the placebo group at 2 hours and 6 hours evaluation during biting.

Nik et al (2016)\textsuperscript{27} RCT, triple blind

Baseline (final): 101 acetaminophen 650 mg, (89)
Age: 15.6 liquified ibuprofen years 400 mg
F/M: ? Placebo

The mean pain scores in acetaminophen group and liquefied ibuprofen group were significantly lower than the placebo group.

Law et al (2000)\textsuperscript{21} RCT, prospective study, double blind

Baseline (final): 66 ibuprofen 400 mg, (63) placebo
Age: 13 years
F/M: 38/25

(1) ibuprofen taken orally 1 hour before separator placement and a lactose placebo taken orally immediately after the appointment.
(2) a lactose placebo taken orally 1 hour before separator placement and ibuprofen taken orally immediately after the appointment.
(3) a lactose placebo taken orally 1 hour before separator placement and again immediately after the appointment.

There was no significant difference in pain levels between groups at 2 hours after separator placement.

(1) Subjects who had taken ibuprofen before their appointment reported significantly decreased levels of pain (9.5 ± 11.6; mean ± SD) when compared with subjects who had taken preoperative placebo and postoperative ibuprofen (20.9 ± 21.7) or the placebo medication both preoperatively and postoperatively (25.2 ± 27.8).

(2) Piroxicam and ibuprofen group significantly showed less pain level (P<0.05) compared to those on the placebo group at 2 hours and 6 hours evaluation during biting.
chewing, fitting front teeth together, and fitting back teeth together bedtime during fitting anterior teeth together. Piroxicam and ibuprofen group showed less pain level compared to those on the placebo group at 2 hours and 6 hours during fitting posterior teeth together, significance not stated.

Kohli et al (2011) Prospective study, parallel-arm, double blind Baseline (final): 90 (90) ibuprofen 400 mg, piroxicam 20 mg, Age: 13 years 9 months - 18 years 2 months Placebo 1 hour before separator placement 2 hours, 6 hours, nighttime on the day of appointment, 24 hours after the appointment, and 2 days, 3 days, and 7 days after separator placement during, chewing, biting, fitting front teeth, and fitting back teeth together The ibuprofen and piroxicam groups had significantly lower pain level (P <0.05) compared to the placebo group at 2 hours and 6 hours after separator placement during biting. The ibuprofen and piroxicam groups had significantly lower pain perceptions (P <0.05) compared to the placebo group at 2 hours, 6 hours, and night time during fitting front teeth. The ibuprofen and piroxicam groups had lower pain perceptions compared to the placebo group at the 2 h and 6 h during fitting posterior teeth, significance not stated.

Minor et al (2009) Parallel arm, double blind Baseline (final): 51 (51) placebo ibuprofen 400 mg Age: 13-30 years F/M: ? one hour prior to separator placement, 3 hours and 7 hours after separator placement, immediately after, 2 hours, 6 hours, bedtime, on awakening, and 24 hours after separator placement during, biting, chewing, fitting front teeth together, and fitting back teeth together The group that received preemptive and posttreatment ibuprofen (group A) experienced less pain at 2 hours compared to the group that took ibuprofen only after separator placement (B) and the placebo group (C), but did not statistically significant. The group that received preemptive and posttreatment ibuprofen (group A) experienced significantly less pain at 6 hours and at bedtime on the night of separator placement. Group A also reported less pain on the morning after.
Sudhakar et al (2014)[22] performed an RCT prospective study, which was double blind. The baseline was as follows: (final): 154 (138) participants. The mean age was 14-21 years. Females and males were 72/66. Treatments included paracetamol 650 mg, ibuprofen 400 mg, aspirin 300 mg, and placebo. Over 7 days, the teeth were not touching, biting back teeth together, and chewing food.

- The ibuprofen, paracetamol, aspirin group showed lower pain level than the placebo group during spontaneous pain, posterior occlusion, and chewing 2 hours after separator placement, significance not stated.
- The aspirin and ibuprofen groups showed lower pain level than the paracetamol and the placebo groups during chewing and posterior occlusion 6 hours after separator placement, significance not stated.
- The ibuprofen group showed lower pain level compared to the placebo group during all time evaluation, significance not stated.

The table below summarizes the bias assessment results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Random sequence generation (selection bias)</th>
<th>Allocation concealment (selection bias)</th>
<th>Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)</th>
<th>Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias)</th>
<th>Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias)</th>
<th>Selective reporting (reporting bias)</th>
<th>Other bias</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

The heterogeneity of study designs such as differences in intervention components, study evaluation periods, comparison groups, and differences in the inclusion and exclusion criteria included in each article may lead to finding different results between studies. One article included subjects aged 6-9 years with a mixed dentition period. Children’s pain is very different from that which is experienced in adults. Different emotional and psychological factors can affect the children’s pain comprehension and stimulate their response. Another difference is the nociceptive system, the number of nociceptors and neuromediators is higher in children, meaning a higher sensitivity to pain in childhood. The number of nociceptors and neuromediators is higher in children, meaning a higher sensitivity to pain in childhood.

Optimal penetration of lasers in humans seems to be in the range 690 nm and 860 nm. Lasers in a range of 400nm-700nm can penetrate tissue for about 8mm, whereas the infrared lasers have approximately 2-3cm penetration depth. The receptors that produce orthodontic pain are located in deep areas, and thus the application of infrared wavelength is more suitable for pain management.

The insignificant differences between laser and placebo group might be the result of placebo effect, such as emotional modulation and subjective stress reduction during pain stimulation. The placebo effect can be defined as the improvement in the patient’s symptoms after the administration of an inert substance in a context inducing positive expectations about its effects. The placebo effect was affected by expectations and closely related to emotional factors. The analgesic effect was obtained by suggestion through patient’s expectations when taking a tablet that they believe was an analgesic.

All articles containing ibuprofen for pain management after elastomeric separator placement gave it 1 hour prior to separator placement. Ibuprofen was rapidly and completely absorbed 1-2 hours after oral administration. The aim of analgesics administration before treatment was to block the afferent nerve impulses before they reach to the central nervous system. The body has enough time to absorb and distribute the drug before tissue damage and prostaglandin sequence production, and consequently would reduce inflammation reactions.

All included articles mentioned that the pain after separator placement peaked after 24 hours. This result is consistent with other studies evaluating pain after elastomeric separator placement. Separators compressed the periodontal ligament and induced inflammatory reactions. The pain peaked 24 hours after separator placement was associated with increased production of inflammatory mediators such as interleukin-1β (IL-1β), substance P, and prostaglandin E2 at 24 hours after separator placement. Therefore, orthodontists should provide information to patients about pain and its management prior to separator placement.

All included articles did not mention any side effects from the use of either laser therapy or ibuprofen. Ibuprofen was contraindicated in patients with gastrointestinal disorders, allergies, and can adversely affect orthodontic tooth movement. Ibuprofen inhibits prostaglandin production which was an important mediator of bone resorption.
contributing to orthodontic tooth movement. However, Alqahtani, et al in their study found that patients prescribed ibuprofen did not report any problem with tooth movement along with a significant reduction in pain after separator placement. The use of lasers for pain management in orthodontics, to date, has had no negative side effects.

Quality of evidence of the included study was assessed using Strength of Recommendation Taxonomy (SORT) show that 3 articles have good quality evidence (level 1) and 7 articles have limited quality evidence (level 2). The strength of recommendation for clinical practice of low level laser therapy is moderate (B) based on a 1 article with good quality evidence and 3 articles with limited quality evidence. The strength of recommendations for clinical practice of ibuprofen therapy is strong (A) based on 2 articles with good quality evidence and 4 articles with limited quality evidence.

Oshagh et al (2014) on their study compared the efficacy of ibuprofen and low level laser therapy on pain after separator placement concluded that laser therapy had no analgesic effect, whereas ibuprofen was most effective at 1 hour after administration. Laser therapy was a rather expensive treatment modality and harder to obtain, whereas ibuprofen seemed to be a cheaper, simpler and rather cost-effective treatment option. The use of an analgesic drug should only be adopted for patients with less tolerant of pain, meanwhile a single application of low level laser therapy does not seem to provide a fully effective protocol for this purpose. Therefore, ibuprofen could be used for pain management after elastomeric separator placement. While, low-level laser therapy can be used as an alternative to non-pharmacological pain management in patients who have contraindications to the use of ibuprofen.

CONCLUSION
The efficacy of laser therapy and the efficacy of ibuprofen on pain after separator placement is good, with the strength of the clinical recommendation of ibuprofen is better than laser therapy.
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