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Abstract

Even though there is high need of the excise revenue from cigarette, but the government of Indonesia needs to take into account on the longer run effect of cigarette to the people. There are poverty, health and education issues relating with the cigarette consumption. Therefore, the government intervention can help people to curb the cigarette consumption. This study attempts to find the impact of the government policy through the excise tariff policy, and the rationality of the smoker to the cigarette consumption in Indonesia. This study recommends the government intervention in order to control the cigarette consumptions in Indonesia by pushing the cigarette price and making cigarette less affordable for less rationale smoker (the young and poor people). Secondly, the government can take an action in delimiting the access of cigarette advertising and educate people about the danger of cigarette to the people.
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INTRODUCTION

There are two issues when we are studying about cigarette industry in Indonesia. On one hand, the government need the excise revenue which has contributed significantly to the national budget. In 2008, the excise revenue was budgeted 45.7 trillion rupiahs or about 1% of GDP and in 2009, it was budgeted 54.4 trillion rupiahs or about 1% of GDP. Yet, on the other hand, it is a health and economic impacts of cigarette consumption in Indonesia. High mortality caused by the disease as the result of consuming cigarette, either direct or indirect, seems to be significant. At 2001 the estimation of death related with tobacco is 427,948 per year and the loss is 7.5 fold to the excise revenue or about Rp 127 trillion. Therefore at 2005 the estimation is likely to increase to be Rp 154.84 trillion or equal to 5 fold of the excise revenue, meanwhile it was impacted the death of 399,800 people/year.

This study attempts to find the impact of the government policy through the excise tariff policy, and the rationality of the smoker to the cigarette consumption in Indonesia.

LITERATURE REVIEW

1. Direct and Indirect Tax Concept

According to Law No 28 yearly 2007 on General Stipulation and Tax Regulation (Ketentuan Umum dan Tata Cara Perpajakan – KUP), tax is defined as the statutory contribution to the state by individual or corporation, which has statutory enforcing power, with no direct return and will be
used under state purposes and the citizens prosperous. Boediono (2000) defined tax as the contribution of citizen to the state, statutory, enforceable, indirectly return, purposed to finance the government need in order to governing the government and can be used as medium to govern social economic aspects.

Generally, the tax is classified on direct and indirect tax. Based on its economic aspect, direct tax is tax which its burden cannot be forwarded to other people. For example is income tax. As reverse, indirect tax is tax which its burden can be forwarded to other people. The example is excise.

According to Suparmoko (1986) that generally, indirect tax has several advantages are as follow:

a. As a state revenue source, indirect tax more stable in its yield compared to the result the direct tax;
b. The citizen who has low income who mostly difficult to be imposed by direct tax, can be involved to contribute to the state revenue collection which is intended by the government;
c. Low of the imposing cost;
d. Simple in imposing practice thus less tax administrative;
e. Referring to its purposes as one of the regulation means, the indirect taxes can be controlled by the government faster and relatively easy.

However, the indirect tax has disadvantages as well, such as:

a. The tax burden can be shifted to other economic player by both forward shifting as well as backward shifting;
b. As a tool to enhance the production level, indirect tax cannot or hard to give direct impact to the related industry who has paid the tax.

The above are most commonly reasons of the developing countries tend to use the indirect tax as the main regular state revenue. But if the purpose of the government is to redistribute the income among the citizens, the direct tax will be more effective. In Indonesia, excise as one of the indirect tax is one of the most preferable among the other indirect taxes.

2. **The Concept of Excise**

The definition of excise as mentioned in Law No 39 yearly 2007 on the amendment of Law No 11 yearly 1995 on excise is the state levy which is imposed to the particular goods which has nature and characteristic, i.e.: its consumption need to control; its circulation need to supervise; its use ensuing a negative impact to community or environment; and its use needs to impose a state levy in purpose of justice and balance. Excise has a special characteristic which is different to another kind of taxes. And even though categorized as one of the indirect tax, excise still has its specialties. According Sijbren Cnossen (1997) those specialties are:

a. Selected coverage

The selected goods which can be imposed by excise are the goods which are mentioned by the excise law particularly. This is the most problematic in Indonesia case since in the diversification program of object of excise. In the Law on Excise, the selected goods which are imposed by excise are only for 3 (three) kinds of goods only, even though it is mentioned that in the initial philosophy has been
acknowledged on the possibility of diversification of the excised object.

b. Discrimination in its purposes

Excise is one of the most important indirect tax in several countries mostly in developing countries in terms of its total revenue. Yet, the imposing excise has also other purposes, such as:

a) to control the consumption of particular goods which is beyond the community norms and healthcare, e.g.: cigarette and liquor;

b) to be imposed on luxury goods by concerning its taxpaying capacity;

c) to be imposed on vehicle as burden of the use of the road;

d) to maintain the use of natural sources efficiently;

e) excise on raw material to reduce the waste;

c. Quantitative in the investigation

In the imposing excise, the officer statutorily can conduct a physical supervision to ensure the compliance level of the subjects of the excised goods in this term is the private sectors.

The main philosophy of imposing excise according to OECD is to increase the revenue, to influence the consumer behaviour and utilize the market power to change the consumption pattern. According to those philosophy, most of OECD countries impose the excised goods on three items i.e. liquor, mineral oil and tobacco. In Indonesia, the excised goods consist of three goods which are liquor, ethyl alcohol and tobacco products or cigarette.

f) to provide employment by imposing high excise to the capital goods which is potentially replacing the human labour;

g) to finance research and trade promotion.

3. Advantages and disadvantages of Excise Methods

According to Yurekli (2006) there are choices in deciding which type of excise is better to the existing economy, specific and advalorum. There are advantages and
disadvantages of the types of excise.

a. Specific

Advantages:
  a) Simplicity
     - Tax liability easy to measure
     - Taxes prepaid on sales
  b) Health benefits
     - External costs of smoking same for high and low price brands – tax rate should be the same

Disadvantages:
  a) Revenues do not increase automatically with inflation
  b) Encourages improving “quality” of cigarettes
  c) Encourages substitution to (high-price) imported brands; or smuggled-tax free low-quality alternatives
  d) Increases potential for tax avoidance and revenue loss if tax base set on characteristic of cigarettes (e.g. weight, content, size of cigarettes)

b. Advalorum

Advantages:
  a) Tax liability Indexed to cigarette price inflation
  b) Discourages substitution from low-price to high-price brands – and so generates higher revenues
  c) Discourages investment in advertising to make smoking “glamorous”

Disadvantages:
  a) Difficult to collect at retail points of sale
  b) Creates potential for tax avoidance and revenue loss
  c) Smaller health benefits than equivalent specific tax

Research method and design

Secondary data will be obtained from the Directorate General of Customs and Excise, Fiscal Policy Office and Ministry of Finance database. The literature study also applied to establish the relevant theory for the background of study and to meet the relevant regulations and data.

Then analysis based on the econometric model was applied to meet how the consumer’s ability to purchase a cigarette related to the variability of some variables. This ability to purchase is influenced of several factors such as price, income, taste, advertising etc. In this study, the Rational Models of Addictive Demand approach was applied.

Chaloupka et.al. devide alternative approaches to economic modelling of the demand for addictive substances on three models i.e. conventional approach, myopic models of addictive, and rational models of addictive demand.

The Conventional Approach is a model of the common demand model on a certain period. Myopic can be defined as the short distance vision, therefore the basic of the modelling is the behaviour of the cigarette consumption by minding in the short run. Unlike the conventional demand model, the myopic models of addictive also concerned that the decision to consume cigarette today is as the result of the previous consumption, however when they make their today’s decision, they do not mind their consumption in the future. On this model, the previous consumption influenced the current consumption due to the accumulation of the stock of the previous consumption.

This model predicts that the price elasticity on the demand of the cigarette on the long
term will be higher than the price elasticity of the cigarette on short run on the absolute value. The demand of cigarette on the certain period was determined by the current period and the previous period variables.

Different from the both models above, in Rational Models of Addictive Demand approach the current demand on cigarette is determined by factors on the current period, past period and future period.

The model can be depicted as the equation below:

\[ C(t) = g[ P(t), C(t-1), C(t+1), Y(t), Z(t) ] \]

Where:

- \( C(t) \) = Cigarette consumption on period \( t \)
- \( P(t) \) = Current price of cigarette on period \( t \)
- \( C(t-1) \) = Cigarette consumption on period \( t-1 \)
- \( C(t+1) \) = Cigarette consumption on period \( t+1 \)
- \( Y(t) \) = income
- \( Z(t) \) = vector of variables reflecting tastes
- \( \varepsilon_t \) = error term

\[ \ln C_t = -0.13284 + (0.0068) \ln GDP - (0.00529) \ln P + (0.002855) \text{ Ads} + \]

(0.37 4.9 3)

(-0.75815) (0.562829*)

\[ (0.491765) \ln C_1 + (0.51085) \ln C_2 - (1.46094) \text{AR}(1) - (1.56285) \text{AR}(2) \]

(20.36726***)

\[ (21.47265*** -14.5412*** \]

\[ (-10.7182*** \]

\[ - (1.43195) \text{AR}(3) - (0.89934) \text{AR}(4) - (0.37339) \text{AR}(5) \]

\[ (-9.2092*** \]

\[ (-6.84257*** \]

\[ (-5.04135*** \]

Where:

\[ C(t) \] = Cigarette consumption on period \( t \), million sticks

\[ GDP \] = income, quarterly GDP per capita (=2000)

\[ P(t) \] = Current price of cigarette on period \( t \)

\[ \text{Ads} \] = dummy variable on government restriction on cigarette advertising since year 2000,

\[ 0 \] = no restriction, \( 1 \) = with restriction

\[ C(1) \] = Cigarette consumption on period \( t-1 \), million sticks

\[ C(2) \] = Cigarette consumption on period \( t+1 \), million sticks

\[ \text{AR}(t) \] = lag(t)

**Findings and Analysis**

The data was collected quarterly since 1998 to 2008. Results of the model show that all variables has expected sign except Ads and the adjusted R2 is 0.988007 and positive. Since there is autocorrelation between Log (C1) and Log (C2), therefore the variable AR was included in the model. In order to diminish the heteroskedasticity, the standard error and variances were treated. The equation of the demand of cigarette consumption is formulated as follows:

a. The impact of GDP to Cigarette Consumption

The regression findings show that GDP has a positive sign and affect consumption. The coefficient of GDP is 0.0068 but has no significances. The interpretation is that GDP improves cigarette consumption by 0.0068 percent in every 1% increase of GDP by holding other variables constant.

The variable of Income which has positive

From the income elasticity to demand of cigarette, the model resulted of 0.0068 percent, which means that every increase of 10 percent of income will increase the demand by 0.068 percent. This result shows that the increase of income will not give a significant effect to the percentage of the cigarette consumption.

b. The impact of Price to Cigarette Consumption
The government policy to control the cigarette consumption can be depicted by imposing excise tariff. In this model, the proxy of the excise tariff is cigarette price. This model applied administered price by government (minimum selling price) which is stipulated in the Minister Decree.

In this model, the price of cigarette variable has a negative impact to the cigarette consumption, by the increase of the price cigarette by 1 percent, therefore the cigarette consumption will diminished by 0.00529 percent.

Price Elasticity of consumption of the year 1998 to 2008 is 0.00529 which means for every increase in Price by 1 percent will reduce the cigarette consumption by 0.00529 percent. This elasticity is smaller than the result of Yurekli (2008) for 0.3 percent. From the above result, it is showed that the elasticity of price to cigarette consumption in Indonesia relatively low. As the result, it is understood that consumer in Indonesia does not too sensitive to the increase of cigarette price. In 2006, average excise tariff in Indonesia is about 37% and according to Setyawati (2008) that if excise tariff is less than 65% therefore will not so effective in curbing the cigarette consumption.

The phenomenon of high consumption and willingness to pay of cigarette can be seen on its affordability. Based on study by University of Indonesia (2007), compared to the other ASEAN countries, the cigarette price in Indonesia is relatively lower. The highest price of cigarette in Indonesia is only higher than the Philippine and Vietnam and the lowest price of cigarette in Indonesia are only higher than Cambodia and Laos.

c. Impact of the Advertising Limitation to the Cigarette Consumption Demand
In this study, the advertising variable which is used is dummy variable of the government policy by introducing the cigarette advertising regulation. In the model, 1 is employed as the regulation of cigarette advertising limitation was stipulated, and 0 is assigned as the cigarette advertising limitation has not been stipulated. The regulation which is the Government Decree No 28 yearly 2000 which is limiting the show time to the cigarette advertising in television, as well as the labelling of the impact of cigarette consumption at the cigarette package. The result of the model shows unexpected sign which is positive sign and statistically insignificant. It is inferred that the policy to delimit the cigarette advertising has no impact in reducing the cigarette consumption. The result supports the previous study by Chaloupka and Warner (2000) that partial bans have little
impact on smoking behaviour, given that the tobacco industry can shift its resources from the banned media to those that are not banned.

The above finding can be explained by two reasons:
1. The inconsistency of the advertising limitation policy.
The change in the President of Indonesia impacted in the change to the regulation regarding cigarette. The cigarette as the product which has never been touched by the non tariff regulation was commenced to impose since the political reform in 1999. In 1999, the new government regulation was imposed with its main rules:

- The maximum tar level i.e. 20 mg/stick and Nicotine level of 1.5 mg/stick as follows:
  - Kretak Cigarette – Machine made - 2001
  - Kretak Cigarette – Hand made (Big Companies) - 2004
  - Kretak Cigarette – Hand made (Big Companies) - 2009
- Cigarette advertising was only allowed for printed media and outdoor
- The application of the health warning
  Thus, in 2000, as the government was changed by the election, the government rule changed as well. At 2000, the new government rule imposed on several regulations as follows:

- Extend the transition period of the maximum tar and nicotine level:
  - White cigarette - 2002
  - Kretak Cigarette – Machine made - 2007
  - Kretak Cigarette – Handmade - 2010
- The advertising on TV was allowed with the time limitation from 21.30pm to 05.00am.

Then, by the change in the government there was a change in the rule as well.
2. Minimum coverage of the limitation policy.
The decentralization also gave an impact to the regulation on local government regarding the cigarette. There were several regulations which are stipulated by the local government concerning the cigarette regulations. Those regulations can be mentioned below:

i. Local Rule of Jakarta Province No.2/2005 on Air Quality Control on article 13 there was a regulation on no smoking area in the public area
ii. Government rule No. 75/2005 on Prohibited to smoke Area. This rule concern on the non smoking area on the public service office.
iii. City Head of Surabaya Rule No. 440/2005 on Non Smoking Area
iv. Billboard Tax special on Cigarette and Alcohol in Bogor
d. The impact of Rationality of the smoker to Cigarette Consumption

The Rational Models of Addictive Demand (Chaloupka et.al) approach reflects the dependence of current consumption decisions on past behaviour that characterizes the use of an addictive substance and also implies that the future implications of addictive consumption are considered when making current consumption decisions.

The model shows that the rationality of the smoker has positive sign and significant to the cigarette consumptions. The coefficient of consumption in the previous year is $0.491765$. The interpretation is that
consumption in the previous year improves cigarette consumption by 0.491765 percent in every 1% increase of consumption in the previous year by holding other variables constant. However, the consumption in the next year also gave the same result. The coefficient has a positive sign (expected sign) and significant. By holding other variables remain constant, the increase in the next year consumption by 1%, the current year consumption will increase by 0.51085 percent.

The above results follow Chaloupka et.al. that:
1. Good is defined as addictive if increase in past consumption raises current consumption, and
2. The future implications of addictive consumption are considered when making current consumption decisions.

There are issues regarding the above findings:

a. The prevalence of smoking.
The result supports the data by government. Based on data on the economic census by Central Statistic Agency of Indonesia, the prevalence of smoking is increasing. In 1995 there was 53.4% of male with age more than 15 years old are regular smoker and 1.7% of female are smoker. The data shows that the trend is higher in 2001 and 2004. In 2001, 62.2% of male with age more than 15 years old are regular smoker or increased by 9% yet the female regular smoker seems to decline to be 1.3%. However in 2004, 63.1% of adult male are smoking regularly and the high increase in female regular smoker to be 4.5%. The economic census illustrated that the initial smoking age mostly in the 15 – 19 years old group. In 1995, 54.5% of the initial smoking group age is 15 – 19 years old, meanwhile in 2001 is 58.9% and in 2004 is 63.7%. The initial smoking age of 15 – 19 years old is increased means that the young smokers are the most targeted of cigarette marketing as they are less informed about the effect of cigarette consumption. Moreover, the young smokers are the targeted of the cigarette marketing due to the potential long period of cigarette consumption by its addicted content in cigarette.

b. The poverty and education issue.
The cigarette consumption is likely to be the important expenditure for family in Indonesia. The tendency to expend more for tobacco is described as follow:
In 1995, the cigarette consumption was only taking 6.4% of the monthly expenditure for the poor, yet in 2005 it was increasing to be 12.5% of the monthly expenditure. On the other side, the cigarette consumption is only 4.9% monthly expenditure of the rich and to be 9.7% in 2004, however in 2005 it declined to be 9.3% only. It can be inferred that the cigarette consumption is increased by years or the ability to pay of the family in Indonesia is decreasing. Based on the study by WHO (2006), the percentage of the cigarette consumption in several districts in Indonesia in 2006 was higher than the percentage of the consumption of health and education.

The above situation describes how the cigarette consumption can be potentially the problem in health and education for Indonesia in the long run. The lower budget for education and health can reduce the competitiveness of the people of Indonesia.
POLICY IMPLICATIONS
Based on the findings, this study concluded as follows:
1. There are issues about the health, poverty and education on cigarette consumption. Therefore, in order to curb the cigarette consumption, the government intervention is needed. The intervention can be imposed by pushing the cigarette price and make cigarette less affordable for the young (less rationale) and poor people.
2. The other intervention can be conducted by delimiting the access of cigarette advertising and provide a policy to educate people on the hazard of cigarette, mostly to the poor and young.
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